## LHCb实验重子CP破坏研究



强子物理在线论坛 第112期 2025.04.09



## First observation of CP violation in baryons by LHCb

#### 25/03/2025

#### A new piece in the matterantimatter puzzle



The LHCb experiment at CERN has revealed a fundamental asymmetry in the behaviour of particles called baryons

25 MARCH, 2025



View of the LHCb experiment in its underground cavern (image: CERN)

Yesterday, at the annual <u>Rencontres de Moriond</u> conference taking place in La Thuile, Italy, the <u>LHCb</u> collaboration at CERN reported a new <u>milestone</u> in our understanding of the subtle yet profound differences between matter and antimatter. In its analysis of large quantities of data produced by the Large Hadron Collider



CONFERENCE LATEST POSTS PHYSICS RESULTS

By Joel Closie

## Observation of the different behaviour of baryonic matter and antimatter.

🕚 MAR 25, 2025 🛛 🗣 #baryon, #bottom, #cp violation, #Lambdab

#### First observation of CP violation in baryon decays – an important milestone in the history of particle physics.

Yesterday, at the Rencontres de Moriond EW, the LHCb collaboration reported the first observation of CP violation in baryon decays. The corresponding publication, submitted to Nature, appeared on arXiv. Differences in the properties of matter and antimatter, arising from the so-called phenomenon of CP violation, had been observed in the past using the decays of K, B and D mesons, i.e. of particles composed of a quark-antiquark pair containing strange, beauty and charm quarks, respectively. However, despite decades of experimental searches, CP violation has not been observed yet in the decays of baryons, composed of three quarks, i.e., the type of matter that makes up the visible universe. The result announced today constitutes the first observation of CP violation in baryon decays.





- What is LHCb
- What is CP violation
- CP violation in mesons
- CP violation in baryons



JINST 3 (2008) S08005 IJMPA 30 (2015) 1530022

 $\mathbf{A}$ 

• Dedicated experiment at CERN for measurement of b, c hadrons



#### 主要研究内容

- 重味物理与CP破坏
- 稀有衰变与新物理

重离子物理, ...

- 强子产生与谱学,QCD
- 电弱物理与Higgs物理

Excellent vertexing, hadron PID, momentum; flexible trigger ...

LHCb合作组: 24个国家, 100家单位, 1800多名成员

LHCb中国组:清华大学、华中师范大学、高能物理研究所、中国科学院大学、武汉大学、湖南 大学、华南师范大学、北京大学、兰州大学、中国科学技术大学、西北工业大学、河南师范大学

## LHCb cares about charged hadron species

 b-hadrons have many decay modes (500+ known) Each is unique and contributes to relevant measurements Measured exclusively!

But one decay could pollute the other, due to mis-identification (misID) of decay products, in particularly among decays with  $p^{\pm}, K^{\pm}, \pi^{\pm}$  Core flavor physics at LHCb





## LHCb data

- pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$ , 8, 13, 13.6 TeV,  $\int \mathcal{L} = 20 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
- All species produced with large rates

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}X, 13 \text{ TeV}) \approx 0.5 \text{ mb}$   $B^+: B^0: B_s^0: \Lambda_b^0 \approx 4: 4: 1: 2$ 



JHEP 05 (2017) 074 PRL 118 (2017) 052002

PRD 100 (2019) 031102(R)



- What is LHCb
- What is CP violation
- CP violation in mesons
- CP violation in baryons

## Particle and antiparticle follow different laws

• Quest for fundamental elements and laws of nature





Charge conjugation





Charge-Parity violation

$$K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$$







Parity



## Why CP violation

• Matter and antimatter imbalance in Universe (BAU)



• Sakharov conditions

## CP violation in the SM

- CKM mechanism
  - > Quark mixing matrix



$$V_{CKM} = egin{pmatrix} |V_{ud}| & |V_{us}| & |V_{ub}|e^{-i\gamma} \ -|V_{cd}| & |V_{cs}| & |V_{cb}| \ |V_{td}|e^{-ieta} & -|V_{ts}|e^{ieta_s} & |V_{tb}| \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$$

A single phase parameter gives rise to quark CPV

- ✓ Unitarity: four independent parameters
- $\checkmark\,$  CP violation: phases and dynamics



- CKM established and tested through precision measurements
- But insufficient to explain BAU

$$J_{Y} \sim J_{CP} \prod \frac{\left(m_{U_{i}}^{2} - m_{U_{j}}^{2}\right)}{\nu^{2}} \prod \frac{\left(m_{D_{i}}^{2} - m_{D_{j}}^{2}\right)}{\nu^{2}} \ll \frac{n_{b}}{n_{\gamma}}$$

CP violation beyond SM needed! Leave no stones unturned

## Three types of CP violation

• CPV in the decay occurs if  $|A_f|^2 \neq |\bar{A}_{\bar{f}}|^2$  $D = f \neq \bar{D} = \bar{f}$ 

Direct CP violation, only possible one for baryons



• Indirect CPV in **interference** between *mixing* and *decay* occurs if  $\phi_f \equiv arg(q\bar{A}_{\bar{f}}/pA_f) \neq 0$ 

Figure by Serena Maccolini

$$D \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} 2 \overline{D} \xrightarrow{f} 2$$

$$+ \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} \neq + \xrightarrow{D} \xrightarrow{D} f$$

$$D \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} \overline{f} \xrightarrow{f} \overline{D} \xrightarrow{f} f$$

## Direct CP violation

• Interference between two decay paths

Strong phase difference Weak phase difference  $A_{CP} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_f - \overline{\Gamma}_{\bar{f}}}{\Gamma_f + \overline{\Gamma}_{\bar{f}}} = \frac{2|\mathcal{A}_2/\mathcal{A}_1|\sin(\delta_1 - \delta_2)\sin(\phi_1 - \phi_2)}{1 + |\mathcal{A}_2/\mathcal{A}_1|^2 + 2|\mathcal{A}_2/\mathcal{A}_1|\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_2)\cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2)}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} |V_{ud}| & |V_{us}| & |V_{ub}|e^{-i\gamma} \\ -|V_{cd}| & |V_{cs}| & |V_{cb}| \\ |V_{td}|e^{-i\beta} & -|V_{ts}|e^{i\beta_s} & |V_{tb}| \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\succ$  Tree diagrams (measuing  $\gamma$ )

Tree and loop diagrams





- What is LHCb
- What is CP violation
- CP violation in mesons
- CP violation in baryons

## CPV in K mesons

CPV in  $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$  mixing

$$A(K^0 \to \overline{K}^0) \propto 1 - \overline{\epsilon}$$
$$A(\overline{K}^0 \to K^0) \propto 1 + \overline{\epsilon}$$

$$|K_L\rangle \propto (1+\bar{\epsilon})|K^0\rangle - (1-\bar{\epsilon})|\overline{K}{}^0\rangle$$



## Direct CPV $\eta_{+-} \equiv \frac{\langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-}|K_{L} \rangle}{\langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-}|K_{S} \rangle} \neq \eta_{00} \equiv \frac{\langle \pi^{0}\pi^{0}|K_{L} \rangle}{\langle \pi^{0}\pi^{0}|K_{S} \rangle}$ $\epsilon' / \epsilon \sim 10^{-3}$



## Direct CPV in charm



CP asymmetries difference between  $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$  and  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^ \Delta A_{CP} \equiv A_{CP}(K^+ K^-) - A_{CP}(\pi^+ \pi^-)$  $= (-1.54 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-3}$ PRL 122 (2019) 211803



## Beauty: CPV in mixing

$$|B_1\rangle = p|B_{(s)}^0\rangle + q|\bar{B}_{(s)}^0\rangle$$
$$|B_2\rangle = p|B_{(s)}^0\rangle - q|\bar{B}_{(s)}^0\rangle$$

Oscillation asymmetry  
$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm SL}^{d} = \frac{N(\overline{B}^{0}(t) \to \ell^{+}\nu_{\ell}X) - N(B^{0}(t) \to \ell^{-}\overline{\nu}_{\ell}X)}{N(\overline{B}^{0}(t) \to \ell^{+}\nu_{\ell}X) + N(B^{0}(t) \to \ell^{-}\overline{\nu}_{\ell}X)}$$



$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm SL}^{d} = -0.0021 \pm 0.0017$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm SL}^{s} = -0.0006 \pm 0.0028$$
$$\iff |q_d/p_d| = 1.0010 \pm 0.0008$$
$$\iff |q_s/p_s| = 1.0003 \pm 0.0014$$

No hint of CPV in mixing

SM: 
$$\mathcal{A}_{SL}^d \sim 10^{-4}$$
,  $\mathcal{A}_{SL}^s \sim 10^{-5}$ 

Eur.Phys.J.ST 233 (2024) 359

## Beauty: mixing induced CPV



## Beauty: mixing induced CPV

 $\phi_s^{c\bar{c}s}$  with  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi$ ,  $D_s^+D_s^-$  decays





Combination:  $\phi_s^{c\bar{c}s} = -0.052 \pm 0.013$  rad Evidence of CP violation

Consistent with global fit [CKMFitter]  $\phi_s^{\text{SM}} = -0.037 \pm 0.001 \text{ rad}$  Charmless decays









- What is LHCb
- What is CP violation
- CP violation in mesons
- CP violation in baryons

## Long list of efforts by LHCb

Decay	Methods	Data	Reference
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K_s^0 \pi^-$	$A_{CP}$	1 fb <sup>-1</sup>	<u>JHEP 04 (2014) 087</u>
$\Lambda^0_b  o \Lambda h h'$	$A_{CP}$	$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<u>JHEP 05 (2016) 081</u>
$\Lambda^0 \rightarrow n\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	TDA energy test	3 fb <sup>-1</sup>	Nature Physics 13 (2017) 391
$M_b \rightarrow p n n n$	TTA, energy test	$6.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<u>PRD 102 (2020) 051101</u>
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	$A_{CP}$	$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<u>JHEP 06 (2017) 108</u>
$\Lambda_c^+  o ph^-h^+$	$A_{CP}$	$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<u>JHEP 03 (2018) 182</u>
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- / p \pi^-$	$A_{CP}$	$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<u>PLB 787 (2018) 124</u>
$\Lambda_b^0  ightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$	TPA	$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<u>JHEP 08 (2018) 039</u>
$\Lambda_b^0  ightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$	$A_{CP}$	$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<u>EPJC 79 (2019) 745</u>
$\Xi_b^- \to p K^- K^-$	Amplitude	$5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<u>PRD 104 (2020) 052010</u>
$\Xi_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+$	kNN	$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<u>EPJC 80 (2020) 986</u>
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p D^0 K^-$	Miranda S <sup>i</sup> <sub>CP</sub>	9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	<u>PRD104 (2021) 112008</u>
$\Lambda^0_b  o \Lambda \gamma$	photon polarization	$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	PRD105 (2022) L051104
$\Lambda^0_b  o ph^-$	A <sub>CP</sub>	9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	arXiv:2412.13958, submitted to PRD
$\Lambda^0_b  o \Lambda^+_c h^-$	Decay parameter	9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	<u>PRL 133 (2024) 261804</u>
$\Lambda^0_b  o \Lambda h h'$	$A_{CP}$	9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	PRL 134 (205) 101802
$\Lambda_b^0  o p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$A_{CP}$	9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	arXiv:2503.16954, submitted to Nature

## Why and how

- EW-type baryogenesis requires large CP violation in baryons
- Baryons share the same decay dynamics with mesons in the SM

 $\Rightarrow$  Large CP violation in *b*-baryons is possible

• Methods explored to search for CPV in baryons (complementarity) Symmetry 15 (2023) 522

Triple product asymmetry (TPA)



Angular/Amplitude analysis Decay parameter

Decay rate asymmetry





 $A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma_b - \Gamma_{\overline{b}}}{\Gamma_b + \Gamma_{\overline{b}}}$ 

Precision: b baryon  $\mathcal{O}(10\% \sim 0.1\%)$ , c baryon  $\mathcal{O}(0.1\%)$ , hyperon  $\mathcal{O}(1\% \sim 0.1\%)$ 

## Triple product method

• Triple products in  $\Lambda_b^0$  rest frame

$$\Lambda_{b}^{0:} \ C_{\hat{T}} \equiv \overrightarrow{p}_{p} \cdot (\overrightarrow{p}_{\pi_{\text{fast}}} \times \overrightarrow{p}_{\pi^{+}}) \propto \sin \Phi$$
$$\bar{\Lambda}_{b}^{0:} \ \bar{C}_{\hat{T}} \equiv \overrightarrow{p}_{\bar{p}} \cdot (\overrightarrow{p}_{\pi_{\text{fast}}} \times \overrightarrow{p}_{\pi^{-}}) \propto \sin \bar{\Phi}$$

• P-odd asymmetries

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{b}^{0} : \quad A_{\widehat{T}} &= \frac{N_{A_{b}^{0}}(C_{\widehat{T}} > 0) - N_{A_{b}^{0}}(C_{\widehat{T}} < 0)}{N_{A_{b}^{0}}(C_{\widehat{T}} > 0) + N_{A_{b}^{0}}(C_{\widehat{T}} < 0)}, \\ \bar{\Lambda}_{b}^{0} : \quad \bar{A}_{\widehat{T}} &= \frac{N_{\overline{A}_{b}^{0}}(-\overline{C}_{\widehat{T}} > 0) - N_{\overline{A}_{b}^{0}}(-\overline{C}_{\widehat{T}} < 0)}{N_{\overline{A}_{b}^{0}}(-\overline{C}_{\widehat{T}} > 0) + N_{\overline{A}_{b}^{0}}(-\overline{C}_{\widehat{T}} < 0)} \end{split}$$



• CP-violating observable:

• P-violating observable:

$$a_{CP}^{\widehat{T}\text{-}\mathrm{odd}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( A_{\widehat{T}} - \overline{A}_{\widehat{T}} \right)$$

$$a_P^{\hat{T}\text{-odd}} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\hat{T}} + \bar{A}_{\hat{T}})$$

J.-P. Wang, Q. Qin, F.-.S. Yu, Complementary CP violation induced by T-odd and T-even correlations arXiv:2211.07332



CPV of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$  with TPA

• Evidence of CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  decay (3.3 $\sigma$ ) in Run 1 data



Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 391

#### However, not confirmed including 2015-2017 data

PRD 102 (2020) 051101

• No significant CP violation found in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-K^+K^-$  and  $\Xi_b^0 \to pK^-K^-\pi^+$  using Run 1 data (~1% precision)

## CPV with amplitude/angular analysis

• Three body charmless  $b \to u\bar{u}s$  transition, analogy to  $B \to K\pi\pi$  decays Branching fraction similar to  $\mathcal{B}(B \to K\pi\pi)$  decays

 $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{h}^{-} \to pK^{-}K^{-}) = (2.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-6}$ 

• Amplitude analysis with 6  $\Lambda/\Sigma$  resonances

$A^{CP} \; (10^{-2})$
$-27 \pm 34 \; (\text{stat}) \pm 73 \; (\text{syst})$
$-1 \pm 24 \text{ (stat)} \pm 32 \text{ (syst)}$
$-5 \pm 9 \text{ (stat)} \pm 8 \text{ (syst)}$
$3 \pm 14 \text{ (stat)} \pm 10 \text{ (syst)}$
$-47 \pm 26 \; (\text{stat}) \pm 14 \; (\text{syst})$
$11 \pm 26 \text{ (stat)} \pm 22 \text{ (syst)}$

Entries / (0.10 GeV) GeV + Data 🕂 Data 80 LHCb LHCb - Fit - Fit  $\Lambda(1405)$  $5 \, {\rm fb}^{-1}$ --· A(1405)  $5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 70 (0.11 A(1520) •••• A(1520) A(1670)60 (1670) $\Sigma$ (1385)  $- \Sigma(1385)$  $\Sigma(1775)$ -50  $\cdot \cdot \Sigma(1775)$ Entries ....  $\Sigma(1915)$ ....  $\Sigma(1915)$ Comb bkgd 20 Comb bkgd Crsfd bkgd Crsfd bkgd 15 30 10 20 $m_{\rm high}(pK^{-})$  [GeV]  $m_{\rm low}(pK^{-})$  [GeV] Multiple solutions, No evidence of CPV

 $m_{\rm low}(pK^-)$ 

CPC 48 (2024) 053001

## CPV in $\Xi_h^- \to pK^-K^-$ decays

 $m_{\rm high}(pK^-)$ 



## Baryon decay parameters

- Proposed by Lee & Yang to study parity (P) violation in hyperon decay  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^+$
- Clean observables, less polluted by experimental effects



Parity violating observables:  $\alpha(\Lambda, \overline{\Lambda}), \beta(\Lambda, \overline{\Lambda}), \gamma(\Lambda, \overline{\Lambda})$ CP violating observables:  $A_{CP}^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{\alpha(\Lambda) + \alpha(\overline{\Lambda})}{\alpha(\Lambda) - \alpha(\overline{\Lambda})} \dots$ 

Complementary to decay rate asymmetry

**ESI** Decay parameters and CPV in hyperons

• Pioneering work to probe CPV in  $J/\psi \to \Lambda \overline{\Lambda}$ 

φ, θ<sub>2</sub>,



• Many other  $\psi$  to hyperon channels explored, no sign of CP violation

Decay	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$	$\Sigma^+\overline{\Sigma}^-$	$\Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$	$\Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0$
	-0.0025	-0.004	-0.006	-0.0054
$A_{CP}$	$\pm 0.0046$	$\pm 0.037$	$\pm 0.013$	$\pm 0.0065$
	$\pm 0.0012$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.0031$
	PRL129 (2022) 131801	PRL125 (2020) 052004	Nature 606 (2022) 64	PRD108 (2023) 3

Nat. Phys. 15 (2019) 631

## Decay parameters and CPV in charm baryons

Decay	$\alpha_{\Lambda_c^+} \alpha$	$\alpha_{\overline{\Lambda}_c^-} \alpha_+$	$lpha_{\Lambda_c^+}$	$lpha_{\overline{\Lambda}_c^-}$	$\mathcal{A}^{lpha}_{C\!P}$
$\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}$	$-0.418\pm0.053$	$-0.442\pm0.053$	$-0.566 \pm 0.076$	$-0.592 \pm 0.106$	$-0.023 \pm 0.116$
$\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda K^{+}$	$-0.582\pm0.006$	$-0.565 \pm 0.006$	$-0.784\pm0.010$	$+0.754 \pm 0.020$	$+0.020 \pm 0.015$
$\Lambda_c^+\! ightarrow\!\Sigma^0\pi^+$	$+0.43\pm0.18$	$-0.37\pm0.21$	$-0.58\pm0.26$	$-0.49\pm0.31$	$+0.08\pm0.38$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^+$	$-0.340{\pm}0.016$	$-0.358 \pm 0.017$	$-0.452 \pm 0.032$	$+0.473 \pm 0.042$	$-0.023\pm0.045$



BELLE

#### No sign of CP violation



Beauty and charm baryon decay parameters





 $\Lambda$  rest frame

 $P_z$ 

Beam

Lab frame

#### 2000 $\rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}(\rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+})\pi^{-}$ $\rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+} (\rightarrow \Lambda K^{+}) \pi^{-}$ + Data + Data( $\times 10$ ) -Fit -Fit (×10) 1500 Yields / 0.05 000 500 LHCb. 9.0 fb<sup>-</sup> 0.5 -0.50.5 0 0 $\langle \cos\theta \rangle$ $\langle \cos\theta \rangle$ $\Lambda^0_b \to \Lambda^+_c (\to \Lambda \pi^+) \pi$ $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ (\rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+) \pi$ LHCb, 9.0 fb<sup>-</sup> 2000F + Data + Data -Fit -Fit Yields / 0.05 Yields / (0.05 $\pi$ rad) $\rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}(\rightarrow \Lambda K^{+})\pi$ $\Lambda^0_h \to \Lambda^+_c (\to \Lambda K^+) \pi^-$ 1500 1500 + Data( $\times 10$ + Data( $\times 10$ ) Fit (×10) 1000 1000 500 500

0.5

0

 $\langle \cos\theta_{2} \rangle$ 

-2

0

 $\langle \phi_{\gamma} \rangle$  [rad]

-0.5

PRL 133 (2024) 261804

2

## P and CP violation

#### P violating $\alpha$ parameters

- First time for  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ h^-$  decays
- Most precise for  $\Lambda_c^+$  decays
- Confirmation of BESIII for  $\alpha(\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^{-})$



#### Consistent with Belle and BESIII

CP violating  $A_{CP}^{\alpha}$  parameters

$$A^{\alpha}_{CP} = \frac{\alpha(\Lambda) + \alpha(\overline{\Lambda})}{\alpha(\Lambda) - \alpha(\overline{\Lambda})}$$

### Consistent with CP symmetry



More parameters for  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda h^+$  decays

- No CP violation in  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  or phases
- Weak phases consistent with zero, non-zero strong phases



Used for global fit: H.-Y. Cheng, F. Xu, H. Zhong, PRD111 (2025) 034011



$$\gamma_{\Lambda_c^+} = \sqrt{1 - (\alpha_{\Lambda_c^+})^2} \cos \Delta_{\Lambda_c^+}$$

 $\beta_{\Lambda_c^+} = \sqrt{1 - (\alpha_{\Lambda_c^+})^2 \sin \Delta_{\Lambda_c^+}}$ 

 $\Delta_{\Lambda_c^+}$  phase difference between two helicity amplitudes

## CPV with decay rate

$$A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma_b - \Gamma_{\overline{b}}}{\Gamma_b + \Gamma_{\overline{b}}}$$

## Crucial to control systematics

• Subtraction of experiment induced asymmetries (~ 1%, similar to/larger than CPV itself)



• Data driven corrections and use control mode  $(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ (pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-)$  to cancel

$$A_{CP}^{pK^{-}} = \Delta A_{\rm raw} - \Delta A_{\rm D}^{p} - \Delta A_{\rm D}^{K^{-}} - \Delta A_{\rm PID} - \Delta A_{\rm P}^{\Lambda_{b}^{0}} - \Delta A_{\rm T} - A_{\rm D}^{\pi^{-}} - A_{\rm D}^{\pi^{+}} + A_{CP}^{\Lambda_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}} A_{CP}^{p\pi^{-}} = \Delta A_{\rm raw} - \Delta A_{\rm D}^{p} - \Delta A_{\rm D}^{\pi^{-}} - \Delta A_{\rm PID} - \Delta A_{\rm P}^{\Lambda_{b}^{0}} - \Delta A_{\rm T} - A_{\rm D}^{K^{-}} - A_{\rm D}^{\pi^{+}} + A_{CP}^{\Lambda_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}}$$

Usually good cancellation, only limited by  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$  sample size

CPV for charm decay  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow ph^+h^-$ 

• Charm baryon CPV expected to be small,  $\sim 0.1\%$  I. I. Bigi, arXiv:1206.4554

Golden channel:  $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow pK^{+}K^{-}, p\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ 

- $\succ$  Singly Cabibbo-suppressed (*D*<sup>0</sup> → *KK*, ππ)
- ➤ Large yields and high S/B ratio
- $\succ$  Rich resonances

#### Challenging to control systematics

Measurement with Run 1 data (3 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

$$\Delta A_{CP}^{\text{wgt}} = A_{CP}(pK^-K^+) - A_{CP}^{\text{wgt}}(p\pi^-\pi^+)$$
  
= (0.30 ± 0.91 ± 0.61) %,



#### JHEP 03 (2018) 182



• Global and local  $A_{CP}$  around resonances studied, difference to  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$  decay

$$\begin{split} & \Delta \mathcal{A}^{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-) = (+1.1 \pm 2.5 \pm 0.6) \,\% \\ & \underline{\Delta \mathcal{A}^{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) = (+3.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6) \,\%} \\ & \underline{\Delta \mathcal{A}^{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- K^+ \pi^-) = (-6.9 \pm 4.9 \pm 0.8) \,\%} \\ & \Delta \mathcal{A}^{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- K^+ K^-) = (+0.2 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.6) \,\% \end{split}$$

- No evidence of CPV (~1% precision)
- CPV hint for  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay
- Rule out global CPV  $\gg 5\%$

## CP violation in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph^-$ decays

- Dynamics analogy to  $B^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-$  decays
- Large yield and high purity
- CP violation predicted: ~5%

PRD 102 (2012) 034033 PRD 95 (2017) 093001

• Sizable CP violation ruled out

 $A_{CP}^{pK^{-}} = (-1.1 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4)\%$ 





Why CP violation so small

• 
$$A_{CP} \propto \left|\frac{P}{T}\right| \sin(\delta_T - \delta_P) \sin(\phi_T - \phi_P)$$

One diagram overwhelming? small strong phase difference?

韩佳杰、余纪新、

arXiv:2409.02821

李亚等,

• Dynamics more complex than mesons



#### Tree amplitude dominating

	Amplitudes	$\operatorname{Real}(S)$	$\operatorname{Imag}(S)$	$\operatorname{Real}(P)$	$\operatorname{Imag}(P)$
			$\Lambda_b \to p\pi^-$		
	T	701.19	-51.38	967.54	-265.17
$\Lambda^0 \rightarrow n\pi^-$	$C_2$	-26.61	12.43	-41.51	0.14
$n_b \rightarrow pn$	$E_2$	-55.01	-38.14	-36.23	62.89
	B	-4.00	9.60	-12.73	-19.93
	Tree $\mathcal{T}$	615.57	-67.49	877.08	-222.06
	$PC_1$	57.90	-1.12	1.88	-11.11
	$PC_2$	-5.88	-12.00	4.62	14.20
	$PE_1^u$	0.39	-9.47	-3.65	8.04
	PB	0.85	-1.06	-1.46	-0.53
	$PE_1^d + PE_2$	-0.55	-3.83	1.37	-0.31
	$\text{Penguin} \ \mathcal{P}$	52.71	-27.49	2.77	10.28
			<b>C</b>	I	)
				ſ	_

- $\mathbf{T}$   $\mathbf{W}^{+}$   $\mathbf{\bar{d}}, \mathbf{\bar{s}}$   $\mathbf{\bar{d}}, \mathbf{\bar{s}}$   $\mathbf{P}$   $\mathbf{\bar{d}}, \mathbf{\bar{s}}$   $\mathbf{\bar{d}}, \mathbf{\bar{s}}$
- Possible cancellation of S and P amplitudes



## Favoring multiple body decays

CP asymmetry in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda h_1^+ h_2^-$  decays

- Three  $\Lambda_b^0$  decays  $\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $\Lambda K^+ \pi^-$ ,  $\Lambda K^+ K^-$ , and  $\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda K^- \pi^+$  decay
- $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ (\to \Lambda \pi^+) \pi^-$  as control channel

$$\begin{array}{l} \Delta \mathcal{A}^{CP} \left( \Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \right) &= -0.013 \pm 0.053 \pm 0.018, \\ \Delta \mathcal{A}^{CP} \left( \Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ \pi^- \right) &= -0.118 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.021, \\ \Delta \mathcal{A}^{CP} \left( \Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^- \right) &= 0.083 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.016, \\ \Delta \mathcal{A}^{CP} \left( \Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda K^- \pi^+ \right) &= 0.27 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} 3.1\sigma, \text{ evidence for CPV in baryons} \\ 3.1\sigma, \text{ evidence for CPV in baryons} \end{array}$$



## Local CP asymmetry for $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-$

- In analogy to  $B^+ \to K^+ K^+ K^-$  decay
- Two resonance-dominated regions

 $m_{K^+K^-} < 1.1 \; \mathrm{GeV}$ 

 $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \phi (\to K^+ K^-)$  or non-resonant:

 $\varDelta A_{CP}(\Lambda \phi) = 0.150 \pm 0.055 \pm 0.021$ 

$$\begin{split} m_{\Lambda K^+} &< 2.9 \text{ GeV} \\ \Lambda_b^0 &\to N^{*+} (\to \Lambda K^+) K^-: \text{ possibly via } b \to u \bar{u} s \\ \Delta A_{CP} (N^{*+} K^-) &= 0.165 \pm 0.048 \pm 0.017 \text{ (local } 3.2\sigma) \end{split}$$

• Many  $N^{*+}$  contributing to  $\Lambda_b^0 \to N^{*+}K^-$ Several related  $N^{*+}$  channels to cross-check

 $N^{*+} \to \Lambda K^{+} \implies \Lambda_{b}^{0} \to N^{*+} (\Lambda K^{+}) K^{-}$  $N^{*+} \to p \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \implies \Lambda_{b}^{0} \to N^{*+} (p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}) K^{-}$  $N^{*+} \to p \pi^{0} \implies \Lambda_{b}^{0} \to N^{*+} (\to p \pi^{0}) K^{-}$ 



J.P. Wang, F.S. Yu, CPC 48 (2024) 101002

 $m_{\Lambda K^+} < 2.3 \text{ GeV}$   $\Lambda_b^0 \to N^{*+} (\to \Lambda K^+) \pi^ \Delta A_{CP} (N^{*+} \pi^-) = -0.078 \pm 0.051 \pm 0.027$ 

$$m_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 1.7 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda f(\pi^+\pi^-)$$

$$\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda f) = 0.088 \pm 0.069 \pm 0.021$$





## Study of $\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays with Run 1+2

arXiv:2503.14954

• Contributed by tree and loop diagrams



 $\begin{array}{c} W^{-} & \overline{u} \\ & & u \\ & & u \\ & & u \\ & & u \\ & & & u \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b \\ & & & \overline{u}/\overline{d} \\ & & & u/d \\ u \\ & & & & u \\ \end{array} \end{array}$ 

Control channel:  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ (pK^-\pi^+)\pi^$ same final state, no CP violation expected

$$A_{CP} = \Delta A_{\text{yield}} - \Delta A_{\text{prod}} - \Delta A_{\text{exp}}$$

$$A_{\text{yield}}\left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-\right) = (1.25 \pm 0.23)\%$$

$$\Lambda_{b}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}$$

$$\overline{\Lambda_{b}^{0}} \rightarrow \overline{\Lambda_{c}^{-}}\pi^{+}$$

$$\overline{\Lambda_{b}^{0}} \rightarrow \overline{\Lambda_{$$

$$\Lambda_b^0 \to N^{**+}(p\pi^+\pi^-)K^-, \ pK^{**}(K^-\pi^+\pi^-)$$
$$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda^{**}(pK^-)f(\pi^+\pi^-), \ N^{**0}(p\pi^-)K^{**}(\pi^+K^-)$$

• Rich resonances

> Maximum-likelihood fits to mass spectra to extract signal yield



$$A_{\text{yield}} = (3.71 \pm 0.39)\%$$

 $N_{\text{yield}} =$ (4.184 ± 0.025)×10<sup>4</sup>  $\overline{N}_{\text{yield}} = (3.885 \pm 0.023) \times 10^4$ 

Corrections for experimental bias

$$A_{CP} = \Delta A_{\text{yield}} - \Delta A_{\text{prod}} - \Delta A_{\text{exp}}$$

- Production asymmetry: cancelled by matching  $\Lambda_b^0$  kinematics of control to signal mode
- Detection asymmetry: candidate by candidate correction depending on final state kinematics



$$\Delta A_{\rm prod} = 0$$

 $\Delta A_{\rm exp} = 0.01\%$ 

#### From experimental bias

Contribution	Run 1	Run 2
Detection asymmetry difference	$(0.055 \pm 0.128)\%$	$(0.081 \pm 0.050)\%$
PID asymmetry difference	$(0.026 \pm 0.141)\%$	$(-0.028 \pm 0.002)\%$
Trigger asymmetry difference	$(-0.039 \pm 0.029)\%$	$(-0.050\pm0.008)\%$
Total nuisance asymmetry difference	$(0.042 \pm 0.193)\%$	$(0.003 \pm 0.051)\%$

From signal extraction

Contribution	Run 1	Run 2	
Nuisance asymmetry difference	0.193%	0.051%	
Mass fit	0.044%	0.067%	
Total systematic uncertainty	0.198%	0.084%	0.10%





Rule out CP symmetry at 5.2 $\sigma$ , and large CP violation

48



LHCb 9 fb<sup>-1</sup>

2.5

 $m(p\pi^{-})$  [GeV/ $c^2$ ]

LHCb 9 fb<sup>-1</sup>

 $m(K^{-}\pi^{+})$  [GeV/ $c^{2}$ ]

1.5

2

1.5



2.5

Decay topology	Mass region (GeV/ $c^2$ )	$\mathcal{A}_{CP}$
$\Lambda^0_b \to R(K^-\pi^+\pi^-)p$	$m_{K^-\pi^+\pi^-} < 2.0$	$(2.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.3)\%$





## Predictions?

- CP violation in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to N^{*+} (\to p \pi^+ \pi^-) K^-$  similar to  $\Lambda_b^0 \to N^{*+} (\to \Lambda K^+) K^ A_{CP} = (5.4 \pm 0.9)\%$   $A_{CP} = (16.5 \pm 5.1)\%$
- Generally difficult to calculate for multiple body decays
- Complicated by many resonances
- Predictions exploiting  $N\pi$  scattering data compatible with LHCb

decay processes	Scenarios	global CPV	CPV of $\cos\theta < 0$	CPV of $\cos \theta > 0$
	S1	5.9%	8.0%	3.6%
$\Lambda^0_b \to (\Delta^{++}\pi^-)K^-$	S2	5.8%	6.3%	5.3%
	S3	5.6%	4.3%	7.0%
	S1	-4.1%	-5.4%	-2.4%
$\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Delta^{++}\pi^-)\pi^-$	S2	-3.9%	-3.9%	-3.9%
	S3	-3.6%	-2.3%	-5.3%
	S1	5.8%	8.2%	2.7%
$\Lambda_b^0 \to (p\pi^0) K^-$	S2	5.8%	8.0%	3.0%
	S3	5.8%	7.8%	3.3%
	S1	-3.9%	-3.9%	-3.7%
$\Lambda^0_b \to (p\pi^0)\pi^-$	S2	-3.9%	-3.8%	-4.3%
	S3	-3.8%	-3.6%	-4.8%



J.P. Wang, F.S. Yu, CPC 48 (2024) 101002 • CP violation do exist in baryons, a milestone in study of CP violation



- CP violation unexpectedly small for baryons
- Is it SM or new physics? Likely SM, but more studies needed to quantify
- Baryon dynamics more complex than mesons. New ideas needed?



 $\boxed{\mathbb{R}}$  reference search  $\bigcirc$  5 citations

## Baryon CP violation in (near) future

- LHCb will take data with Upgrade I detector for 50  $\rm fb^{-1}$ 
  - ≻ Run3 (→2026): > 20 fb<sup>-1</sup> data and 2× better trigger efficiency

## Era of 0.1% precision



# $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\$

## Opportunities for baryon CPV

- Confirmation
- $\succ$  More decays
- ➤ More dynamics
- ➤ Charm baryon
- ➤ Unexpected observations ?



## Backup slides

## Global analysis of CKM mechanism (4 parameters)

#### When LHC started 1.5 1.5 r excluded area has CL > 0.95 excluded area has CL > 0.95 γ 1.0 1.0 $\Delta m_d \& \Delta m_s$ $\Delta m_d \& \Delta m_s$ sin 2B sin 2B 0.5 0.5 $\Delta m_d$ $\Delta m_d$ ε<sub>k</sub> Л 0.0 Ц 0.0 α α α -0.5 -0.5 $\epsilon_{\rm K}$ -1.0 -1.0 CKM fitter γ sol. w/ cos $2\beta < 0$ (excl. at CL > 0.95) -1.5 -1.5-0.5 -1.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 ρ $\overline{\rho}$

**Current status** 

 $A = 0.826^{+0.018}_{-0.015}$ 

#### $\bar{\rho} = 0.159 \pm 0.010$ $\bar{\eta} = 0.348 \pm 0.010$ $\lambda = 0.22500 \pm 0.00067$

 $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = (173 \pm 6)^{\circ}$ 

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{8}\lambda^4 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda + \frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^5[1 - 2(\rho + i\eta)] & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{8}\lambda^4(1 + 4A^2) & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3[1 - (1 - \lambda^2)(\rho + i\eta)] & -A\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}A\lambda^4[1 - 2(\rho + i\eta)] & 1 - \frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^4 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^6)$$

## LHCb Upgrade II sensitivities

Table 10.1: Summary of prospects for future measurements of selected flavour observables. The projected LHCb sensitivities take no account of potent detector improvements, apart from in the trigger. Unless indicated otherwise the Belle-II sensitivies are taken from Ref. [568].

Observable	Current LHCb	LHCb 2025	Belle II	Upgrade II	GPDs Phase II	
EW Penguins						
$\overline{R_K} \ (1 < q^2 < 6 \mathrm{GeV}^2 c^4)$	0.1  [255]	0.022	0.036	0.006	—	
$R_{K^*} \ (1 < q^2 < 6 \mathrm{GeV}^2 c^4)$	0.1  [254]	0.029	0.032	0.008	-	S - 106
$R_{\phi},\ R_{pK},\ R_{\pi}$		0.07,  0.04,  0.11	—	0.02,  0.01,  0.03	-	0 \ 170
<u>CKM tests</u>						
$\gamma$ , with $B_s^0 \to D_s^+ K^-$	$\binom{+17}{-22}^{\circ}$ [123]	4°	_	$1^{\circ}$	_	
$\gamma$ , all modes	$\binom{+5.0}{-5.8}^{\circ}$ [152]	$1.5^{\circ}$	$1.5^{\circ}$	$0.35^{\circ}$	_	<b>T</b> T / • /
$\sin 2\beta$ , with $B^0 \to J/\psi K_{ m s}^0$	0.04 [569]	0.011	0.005	0.003	_	Uncertainty
$\phi_s$ , with $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi$	49  mrad  [32]	$14 \mathrm{mrad}$	-	$4 \mathrm{mrad}$	22  mrad  [570]	1 11
$\phi_s$ , with $B_s^0 \to D_s^+ D_s^-$	170  mrad  [37]	35  mrad	_	$9 \mathrm{\ mrad}$	-	reduced by
$\phi_s^{s\bar{s}s}$ , with $B_s^0 \to \phi\phi$	150  mrad  [571]	60  mrad	-	$17 \mathrm{mrad}$	Under study [572]	
$a_{sl}^s$	$33 \times 10^{-4} \ [193]$	$10 \times 10^{-4}$	-	$3  imes 10^{-4}$	-	factor ~10
$\left V_{ub} ight /\left V_{cb} ight $	$6\% \ [186]$	3%	1%	1%	—	
$B^0_s, B^0{ ightarrow}\mu^+\mu^-$						
$\overline{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)} / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	90% [244]	34%	-	10%	21% [573]	
$\tau_{B^0_c \to \mu^+ \mu^-}$	22% [244]	8%	_	2%	-	10/10001
$S_{\mu\mu}$		-	-	0.2	-	
$oldsymbol{b}  ightarrow cl^- ar{ u_l}  {f LUV}  {f studies}$						nrecision
$\overline{R(D^*)}$	$9\% \ [199, 202]$	3%	2%	1%		precision
$R(J/\psi)$	25% [202]	8%	-	2%	—	
Charm						
$\Delta A_{CP}(KK - \pi\pi)$	$8.5 \times 10^{-4}$ [574]	$1.7  imes 10^{-4}$	$5.4  imes 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	H	ligh precision
$A_{\Gamma} \ (\approx x \sin \phi)$	$2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ [222]	$4.3  imes 10^{-5}$	$3.5  imes 10^{-5}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$		
$x\sin\phi$ from $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$	$13 \times 10^{-4}$ [210]	$3.2  imes 10^{-4}$	$4.6 \times 10^{-4}$	$8.0  imes 10^{-5}$	C	harm physics
$x\sin\phi$ from multibody decays	—	$(K3\pi) \ 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$(K_{\rm S}^0\pi\pi) \ 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$(K3\pi) \ 8.0 \times 10^{-6}$	-	<u> </u>

## More information for decay parametters

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_{0}\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_{1}\mathrm{d}\phi_{1}} &\propto 1 + \alpha_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\cos\theta_{1} + P_{z}\cdot\left(\alpha_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\cos\theta_{0} + \alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\cos\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\right.\\ &\quad -\gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{1}\cos\phi_{1} + \beta_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{1}\sin\phi_{1}\right) \\ \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}^{5}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_{0}\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_{1}\mathrm{d}\phi_{1}\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_{2}\mathrm{d}\phi_{2}} &\propto \left(1 + \alpha_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\cos\theta_{1} + \alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\cos\theta_{2} + \alpha_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\beta_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\sin\phi_{2}\right) \\ &\quad + P_{z}\cdot\left(\alpha_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\cos\theta_{0} + \alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\cos\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1} + \alpha_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\cos\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{2} \\ &\quad + \alpha_{\Lambda}\cos\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2} - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{1}\cos\phi_{2} + \alpha_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{1}\cos\phi_{2} \\ &\quad + \gamma_{\Lambda_{c}^{0}}\cos\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2} - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{1}\cos\phi_{1}\cos\phi_{2}\sin\phi_{1}} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\cos\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{2} + \beta_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{1}+\beta_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{1}\cos\phi_{2} + \beta_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\gamma_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\beta_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\sin\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2}\cos\phi_{1}\cos\phi_{2} \\ &\quad + \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\beta_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\gamma_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\beta_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\beta_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\sin\phi_{1}\cos\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\beta_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\sin\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\beta_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\sin\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\beta_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\sin\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}\beta_{\Lambda_{c}^{+}}\alpha_{\Lambda}\sin\theta_{0}\cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\sin\phi_{1}\sin\phi_{2} \\ &\quad - \gamma$$



## Beauty: evince of CPV in b to charmonium



61



## CP asymmetry for $\Lambda_h^0 \to DpK^-$

- Sensitive to measure  $\gamma$  with in baryon decays  $r_B \sim \left| \frac{V_{ub} V_{cs}^*}{V_{cb} V_{us}^*} \right| \sim 0.4$ Possibly large interference  $A_{CP} \propto r_B / r_D \sin \gamma \sin \delta$
- CPV studied for DCS decay  $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$

PRD104 (2021) 112008



There

## Beauty: phase $\gamma$

Interference between  $b \rightarrow u$  and  $b \rightarrow c$ , theoretically clean

 $\gamma$  combination



JHEP 04 (2021) 081

LHCb-CONF-2024-004

 $B^0_s$  decays  $B^0$  decays

 $B^+$  decays

All Modes

68.3%

95.4%

 $\gamma$  [°]

100

90

110



#### 21/Mar/2025

实验上是否在重子衰变中发现了CP破坏?

#### 

是的,LHCb实验在重子衰变中首次观测到了**CP破坏**现象。这一重要发现于2017年公布,标志着粒子物理学领域 的一个重大突破。\_\_\_\_\_

#### 背景:

- CP破坏(电荷共轭--宇称破坏)是粒子物理学中的一个重要现象,它解释了宇宙中物质与反物质的不对称性。
- 此前,CP破坏主要在介子(如K介子和B介子)的衰变中被观测到,但在重子(由三个夸克组成的粒子,如质子、中子等)衰变中尚未被发现。

#### LHCb的发现:

## 提问方式(时间)不对?

- LHCb实验通过研究Ab<sup>0</sup>重子的衰变过程,首次在重子系统中观测到了CP破坏。
- 具体来说,实验分析了Λb<sup>o</sup>重子衰变为质子、π<sup>-</sup>和K<sup>+</sup>的过程,发现其衰变率与对应的反粒子衰变率存在显著差 异,这是CP破坏的直接证据。

#### 意义:

• 这一发现填补了粒子物理学中的一个重要空白,进一步验证了标准模型的预测。