

# Testing electroweak SUSY for muon g-2 and dark matter @ LHC and Beyond

### Lei Wu

#### **Nanjing Normal University**

Collaborators: M. Abdughani, K. Hikasa, J. M. Yang and J. Zhao

arXiv:1909.07792



- Why electroweak SUSY ?
- SUSY Muon g-2 and neutralino DM
- Implications for EWSUSY and collider tests
- Conclusions

# Why EWSUSY?

### Success of the Standard Model





### Problems of the Standard Model

#### > Higgs Naturalness



> Neutrino Mass

$$|v_e\rangle = \frac{v_1}{v_2} + c_3 |v_t\rangle$$

#### Dark Matter



Matter-antimatter asymmetry



## Supersymmetry

- Supersymmetry (SUSY): a symmetry between bosons and fermions.
- Introduced in 1973 as a part of an extension of the special relativity.
- Super Poincare algebra.



**Dark Matter** 



#### **Supersymmetric Dark Matter**

•R-parity must be introduced in supersymmetry to prevent rapid proton decay

•Another consequence of Rparity is that superpartners can only be created and destroyed in pairs, making the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) stable

•Possible WIMP candidates from supersymmetry include:



 $\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{H} \longleftarrow 4$  Neutralinos  $\tilde{\gamma} \longleftarrow 3$  Sneutrinos





haven't seen it.

### • Searches for SUSY --- LHC



### Searches for SUSY --- Dark Matter



Colored mediators are strongly disfavored,

## Searches for SUSY ---- Low energy observables

Quantity	Value	Standard Model	Pull
$M_Z$ [GeV]	$91.1876 \pm 0.0021$	$91.1884 \pm 0.0020$	-0.4
$\Gamma_Z$ [GeV]	$2.4952 \pm 0.0023$	$2.4942 \pm 0.0008$	0.4
$\Gamma(had)$ [GeV]	$1.7444 \pm 0.0020$	$1.7411 \pm 0.0008$	
$\Gamma(inv)$ [MeV]	$499.0 \pm 1.5$	$501.44\pm0.04$	
$\Gamma(\ell^+\ell^-)$ [MeV]	$83.984 \pm 0.086$	$83.959 \pm 0.008$	
$\sigma_{\rm had}[{\rm nb}]$	$41.541 \pm 0.037$	$41.481\pm0.008$	1.6
$R_e$	$20.804 \pm 0.050$	$20.737 \pm 0.010$	1.3
$R_{\mu}$	$20.785 \pm 0.033$	$20.737 \pm 0.010$	1.4
$R_{ au}$	$20.764 \pm 0.045$	$20.782 \pm 0.010$	-0.4
$R_b$	$0.21629 \pm 0.00066$	$0.21582 \pm 0.00002$	0.7
$R_c$	$0.1721 \pm 0.0030$	$0.17221 \pm 0.00003$	0.0
$A_{FB}^{(0,e)}$	$0.0145 \pm 0.0025$	$0.01618 \pm 0.00006$	-0.7
$A_{FB}^{(0,\mu)}$	$0.0169 \pm 0.0013$		0.6
$A_{FB}^{(0, au)}$	$0.0188 \pm 0.0017$		1.5
$A_{FB}^{(0,b)}$	$0.0992 \pm 0.0016$	$0.1030 \pm 0.0002$	-2.3
$A_{FB}^{(0,c)}$	$0.0707 \pm 0.0035$	$0.0735 \pm 0.0001$	-0.8
$A_{FB}^{(0,s)}$	$0.0976 \pm 0.0114$	$0.1031 \pm 0.0002$	-0.5
$\bar{s}_{\ell}^2$	$0.2324 \pm 0.0012$	$0.23154 \pm 0.00003$	0.7
-	$0.23148 \pm 0.00033$		-0.2
	$0.23104 \pm 0.00049$		-1.0
$A_e$	$0.15138 \pm 0.00216$	$0.1469 \pm 0.0003$	2.1
	$0.1544 \pm 0.0060$		1.3
	$0.1498 \pm 0.0049$		0.6
$A_{\mu}$	$0.142 \pm 0.015$		-0.3
$A_{\tau}$	$0.136 \pm 0.015$		-0.7
	$0.1439 \pm 0.0043$		-0.7
$A_b$	$0.923 \pm 0.020$	0.9347	-0.6
$A_c$	$0.670\pm0.027$	$0.6677 \pm 0.0001$	0.1
$A_s$	$0.895 \pm 0.091$	0.9356	-0.4







2019/10/12

### EWSUSY (top-down)

#### Gaugino mediation scenarios for muon g-2 and dark matter

Peter Cox, Chengcheng Han (Tokyo U., IPMU), Tsutomu T. Yanagida (Tokyo U., IPMU & Shanghai Jiaotong U.), Norimi Yokozaki (Tohoku U.)

Nov 30, 2018 - 17 pages

JHEP 1908 (2019) 097 (2019-08-20) DOI: <u>10.1007/JHEP08(2019)097</u> IPMU18-0193, TU-1077 e-Print: <u>arXiv:1811.12699</u> [hep-ph] | <u>PDF</u>

#### Reconciling the muon g-2, a 125 GeV Higgs boson, and dark matter in gauge mediation models

Ilia Gogoladze, Qaisar Shafi (Delaware U. & Delaware U., Bartol Inst.), Cem Salih Ün (Uludag U.)

Sep 25, 2015 - 13 pages

Phys.Rev. D92 (2015) no.11, 115014 (2015-12-17) DOI: <u>10.1103/PhysRevD.92.115014</u> e-Print: <u>arXiv:1509.07906</u> [hep-ph] | <u>PDF</u>

#### Reconcile muon g-2 anomaly with LHC data in SUGRA with generalized gravity mediation

Fei Wang (Zhengzhou U. & Beijing, Inst. Theor. Phys.), Wenyu Wang (Beijing U. of Tech.), Jin Min Yang (Beijing, Inst. Theor. Phys.)

Apr 2, 2015 - 13 pages

JHEP 1506 (2015) 079 (2015-06-12) DOI: <u>10.1007/JHEP06(2015)079</u> e-Print: <u>arXiv:1504.00505</u> [hep-ph] | <u>PDF</u>

\*\*\*\*\*

### sorry for missing your papers

# SUSY muon g-2 and DM

## • SM muon g-2



Contributions to the SM:



• Main theoretical uncertainty comes from LO Hadronic loop contributions (quarks and gluons)

$$20.6 imes 10^{-10} < \Delta a_{\mu} < 36.6 imes 10^{-10}$$
 (1 $\sigma$ )  
 $12.6 imes 10^{-10} < \Delta a_{\mu} < 44.6 imes 10^{-10}$  (2 $\sigma$ )

where

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \equiv a_{\mu}^{exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM}$$

## SUSY muon g-2 @ 1 loop

One-loop contributions come from the following diagrams:



#### Sneutrino-chargino diagram

- Typically dominant contribution
- Needs light charginos/sneutrinos

#### Smuon-neutralino diagram

• Bino-smuon loop can be dominant with light binos and large  $\tilde{\mu}_{L,R}$  mixing



From Iwamoto

SUSY muon g-2 @ 2 loop



These corrections are also significant and even logarithmically enhanced for heavy squark, about 10%.

• lighter SUSY-particles  $\implies$  larger  $a_{\mu}^{SUSY}$ • larger tan  $\beta$ 

## DM relic density and Direct detections



For a wino/higgsino-like neutralino DM,it is too heavy to produce the required g-2.

For a bino-like neutralino DM,

- 1. Co-annihilating with stop/stau/wino...
- 2. Mixed with Higgsinos(+Wino)
- 3. Resonant annihilation via Z, h, A, H

# **Implications for EWSUSY and Collider Tests**

• Possible scenarios of EWSUSY for both g-2 and DM

**Bino-Higgsino-Slepton (BHL)** 

**Bino-Wino-Slepton (BWL)** 

**Bino-Higgsino-Wino-Slepton (BHWL)** 

### Parameter scan

#### Scan ranges

$$\begin{split} \text{BWL}: & 0 \text{ TeV} \leq M_1, M_2 \leq 3 \text{ TeV}, \quad 3 \text{ TeV} \leq \mu \leq 5 \text{ TeV} \\ \text{BHL}: & 0 \text{ TeV} \leq M_1, \mu \leq 3 \text{ TeV}, \quad 3 \text{ TeV} \leq M_2 \leq 5 \text{ TeV} \end{split}$$

Other SUSY parameters in both scenarios are taken as

 $\begin{array}{ll} 100 \ {\rm GeV} \leq M_{L_{1,2}} = M_{E_{1,2}} \leq 3 \ {\rm TeV} & 1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 50 \\ \\ 3 \ {\rm TeV} \leq M_{\widetilde{t}_R} \leq 5 \ {\rm TeV} & -5 \ {\rm TeV} \leq A_t = A_b = A_\tau \leq 5 \ {\rm TeV} \\ \\ M_{L_3} = M_{E_3} = M_3 = 5 \ {\rm TeV} & A_u = A_d = A_e = 0 \end{array}$ 

#### • Constraints

- > Higgs mass
- > Vacuum stability
- LEP and LHC
- Muon g-2
- > DM Relic density and direct detection

• Result-1: Muon g-2



- LSP and slepton are lighter than about 700 GeV and 800 GeV, respectively.
- 2. Bino-wino coannihilation (dominant)+ bino-slepton coannihilation.



In the BHL,

- LSP and slepton are lighter than about 350 GeV.
- 2. Bino-slepton coannihilation.



1. In the BWL, the LSP is extremely bino-like, and thus the SI and SD cross sections are very small, which can be much below the LZ-projected sensitivities.

800

PandaX[2017]

LUX - ZEPLIN(projected)

300

400

 $M_{\gamma^{0}}(GeV)$ 

500

600

700

800

LUX[2017]

200

BWL

BHL

100

 $10^{-49}$ 

- 2. In the BHL, the LSP has certain higgsino component so that it can scatter with the nucleons sizably and are tightly constrained by current direct detection limits.
- 3. Sneutrino DM is excluded by direct detection.

500

600

700

400

 $M_{\vec{Y}_{1}}(GeV)$ 

X[2017]

XENON17(2017) PandaX(2017)

LZ - projected

300

BWL BHI

200

 $10^{-50}$ 

10-51

10-52

100



#### In the BWL,

- 1. Samples with sizable mass splitting of slepton and the LSP have been largely excluded by the LHC search for 2lepton+MET events.
- 2. Besides, the light wino-like neutralino in the co-annihilation region are not allowed.

In the BHL,

There is still no LHC bound because:

- 1. Samples have heavy higgsino-like neutralino
- 2. Heavy compressed slepton and LSP

21

### • Result-4: HL-LHC, HE-LHC



TABLE I. The cut flow for the cross sections of the signa	al and backg	ounds at th	ne 27 TeV HE	-LHC
for the BWL benchmark point $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 137.4$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} =$	$= m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}} = 153$	.7 GeV, tar	$\alpha \beta = 50$ . The	cross
sections are in units of fb.	A1			
Cuts	$t\bar{t}$	$\operatorname{diboson}$	Drell-Yan	BWL
$E_T > 200 \text{ GeV}$	37512.99	1721.53	246.54	618.83
$N(\ell) = 2$ , OSSF, $p_T(\ell_1) > 5$ GeV, $p_T(\ell_2) > 4$ GeV	956.16	38.536	15.60	51.03
$N(j) \ge 1, N(b) = 0, p_T(j_1) > 100 \text{ GeV},$				
$\Delta\phi(j_1, P_T^{\text{miss}}) > 2, \ \Delta\phi(j, P_T^{\text{miss}}) > 0.4$	74.16	16.43	9.36	34.53
$m_{\tau\tau} \notin [0, 160) \text{ GeV}, 1 \text{ GeV} < m_{\ell\ell} < 60 \text{ GeV},$				
$m_{\ell\ell} \notin (3, 3.2) \text{ GeV}, \ \Delta R_{\ell\ell} > 0.05$	23.84	3.64	3.12	27.68

TABLE II. The cut flow for the cross sections of the signal and backgrounds at the 27 TeV HE-LHC
for the BHL benchmark point $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 197.8$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{\ell}} = 218.9$ GeV, $\tan \beta = 17.5$ . The cross sections
are in units of fb.

7.94

2.65

2.26

1.38

3.12

3.12

24.22

20.15

 $\mathbb{E}_T/H_T^{\rm lep} > \max(5, 15 - 2m_{\ell\ell})$ 

 $\Delta R_{\ell\ell} < 2$ 

Cuts	$t\bar{t}$	diboson	Drell-Yan	BHL
$E_T > 200 \text{ GeV}$	37512.99	1721.53	246.54	69.97
$N(\ell) = 2$ , OSSF, $p_T(\ell_1) > 5$ GeV, $p_T(\ell_2) > 4$ GeV	956.16	38.54	15.60	7.21
$N(j) \ge 1, N(b) = 0, p_T(j_1) > 100 \text{ GeV},$				
$\Delta\phi(j_1, P_T^{\text{miss}}) > 2, \ \Delta\phi(j, P_T^{\text{miss}}) > 0.4$	74.16	16.43	9.36	4.71
$m_{\tau\tau} \notin [0, 160) \text{ GeV}, 1 \text{ GeV} < m_{\ell\ell} < 60 \text{ GeV},$				
$m_{\ell\ell} \notin (3, 3.2) \text{ GeV}, \Delta R_{\ell\ell} > 0.05$	23.84	3.64	3.12	2.18
$E_T/H_T^{\text{lep}} > \max[3, 15 - 2[m_{T_2}^{100}/(1\text{GeV}) - 100]]$	13.24	3.15	3.12	1.73

### • Result-4: HL-LHC, HE-LHC



**1.** A large portion of the samples in both scenarios will be excluded by HL-LHC.

2. Future HE-LHC can exclude the whole BHL and most part of BWL scenarios.

Result-5: CEPC



Due to EW corrections and large tanb enhancement, the Higgs-bottom coupling can still be increased by about 2%, which is below the HL-LHC sensitivity but can be readily covered by the Higgs factory ILC, FCC-ee, or CEPC.



- EWSUSY is the one of the most favored scenarios by current data. It has been becoming a competitive study at the LHC.
- Muon g-2 and DM experiments produce strong bounds for EWSUSY.
- Future HE-LHC and CEPC can cover the full parameter space of EWSUSY for muon g-2 and DM.





 $\mathbf{a}_{\mu}$  is now measured to 540 ppb; Goal is 140 ppb



Resonant annihilation and Mixture of bino-higgsino are tightly constrained