

对撞机物理唯象学基础与前沿

岩斌 中国科学院高能物理研究所

2025年新物理冬季学校 2025年1月6日

1



- ≻ W/Z 相关物理简介
- ▶顶夸克物理简介
- ▶希格斯物理简介
- ▶前沿进展:横向极化效应

The history of electroweak theory

Beta decay

原子核衰变的能谱:



The conservation of Energy and momentum requires the electron have a single value of energy.

Beta decay

1914, Chadwick



Fermi Theory



1934: 费米提出描述beta衰变的有效理论





 $\Psi_i \propto \sqrt{E}$ $\sigma \propto G_F^2 s$

▶ 费米理论是宇称守恒理论

宇称破坏和弱相互作用

- $\theta \tau$ 疑难
- $θ \rightarrow \pi\pi$, $\tau \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi$, fightarrow fightarro



同一粒子还是不同粒子?

Parity Violation





(Lee and Yang, Nobel prize 1957)

Chien-Shiung Wu

V-A theory

Feynman & Gell-man; Sudarshan, Marshak (1958)



 $\mathcal{H} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} J^{\dagger}_{\mu} J^{\mu} \qquad J_{\mu} = \underbrace{J^{\ell}_{\mu}}_{\text{leptonic}} + \underbrace{J^{h}_{\mu}}_{\text{hadronic}}$ $J^{\ell}_{\mu} = \bar{e}^{-} \gamma_{\mu} \left(1 - \gamma^{5}\right) \nu_{e} + \bar{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \left(1 - \gamma^{5}\right) \nu_{\mu}$ $= 2 \left[\bar{e}_L \gamma_{\mu} \nu_{eL} + \bar{\mu}_L \gamma_{\mu} \nu_{\mu L} \right]$ • $\psi_L = P_L \psi = \frac{1-\gamma^5}{2} \psi$ (vector - axial) • $G_F \simeq 1.17 \times 10^{-5} \ {
m GeV}^{-2}$ (Fermi constant)

The optical theorem

考虑S矩阵元: (S = 1 + iT) 其中 T为S矩阵中非平庸的部分

$$\langle f|T|i
angle = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (p_i - p_f) M(i o f)$$

*S*矩阵幺正性: $S^+S = 1$ \longrightarrow $i(T^+ - T) = T^+T$

S矩阵元关系:
$$\langle f|i(T^+ - T)|i\rangle = i \langle i|T|f\rangle^* - i \langle f|T|i\rangle$$

= $i(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_i - p_f)(M^*(f \to i) - M(i \to f))$
 $\langle f|T^+T|i\rangle = \sum_X \int d\Pi_X \langle f|T^+|X\rangle \langle X|T|i\rangle$
= $\sum_X (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_f - p_X)(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_i - p_X) \int d\Pi_X M(i \to X) M^*(f \to X)$

光学定理将散射振幅和散射截面关联起来

$$M(i \to f) - M^*(f \to i) = i \sum_X \int d\Pi_X (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_i - p_X) M(i \to X) M^*(f \to X)$$

The optical theorem

初末态相同情况

考虑两粒子态,在质心系的散射截面

$$\sigma(A \to X) = \frac{1}{4E_{\rm CM}|\vec{p_i}|} \int d\Pi_X (2\pi)^4 \,\delta^4(p_A - p_X) |\mathcal{M}(A \to X)|^2.$$

Im
$$\mathcal{M}(A \to A) = 2E_{\rm CM} |\vec{p}_i| \sum_X \sigma(A \to X)$$

散射振幅的虚部正比于总散射截面

分波幺正性

考虑散射过程: $A(p_1) + B(p_2) \to A(p_3) + B(p_4)$

$$\sigma(AB \to AB) = \frac{1}{32\pi E_{\rm cm}^2} \int d\cos\theta |M(\theta)|^2$$

散射振幅分波展开:

$$M(\theta) = 16\pi \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j (2j+1) p_j(\cos \theta)$$

Legendre Polynomials

正交性:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} p_j(\cos\theta) p_k(\cos\theta) d\cos\theta = \frac{2}{2j+1} \delta_{jk}, \quad p_j(1) = 1$$

总截面:

$$\sigma(AB \to AB) = \frac{16\pi}{E_{\rm cm}^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1)|a_j|^2$$

分波幺正性

考虑向前散射过程 $\theta = 0$, 光学定理为:

$$ImM(AB \to AB, \theta = 0) = 2E_{\rm cm}|\vec{p_i}|\sum_X \sigma(AB \to X) \ge 2E_{\rm cm}|\vec{p_i}|\sum_X \sigma(AB \to AB)$$

利用分波展开:
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1)Im(a_j) \ge \frac{2|\vec{p}_i|}{E_{cm}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1)|a_j|^2 \qquad |a_j|^2 \ge Im(a_j)$$

考虑极高能情况:
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1)Im(a_j) \ge \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1)|a_j|^2 \longrightarrow |a_j|^2 = Im(a_j)$$

 $Im(a_j)$
分波幺正性条件:
 $|a_j| \le 1, \quad 0 \le Im(a_j) \le 1, \quad |Re(a_j)| \le \frac{1}{2}$















ZXY SAYS NO!



流要和中微子相到m





主要較射通过P-波来进行(S道的传播改是花或z玻ebJ=1)



作业:使用CALCHEP 重复此读曲线

> 各种图的高能破坏行 为彼此抵消

幺正性破坏问题

- ➢ 幺正性检验成为理论自治性的关键检验➢ WW scattering: 能量破坏效应最强的过程
 - b) $W_{a}^{+}W_{a}^{-} \rightarrow W_{a}^{+}W_{a}^{-}$ 2°, 8, H z°,Y,H Szh $\mathcal{M}(s,t) = 16\pi \sum_{T} (2\bar{j}+1) \mathcal{A}_{\bar{j}}(s) \hat{P}_{\bar{j}}(\omega s \theta)$ $\Omega_{\overline{J}} = A \left(\frac{g}{M_{W}}\right)^{4} + B \left(\frac{g}{M_{W}}\right)^{2} + C$ Wtw 系统的这角动量为了=0,1.2

幺正性破坏问题

① A-term (
$$E^{4}$$
):
 $J=2$: (contact (對) + (士道之, Y)) = 0
 $J=1$: (contact)) + (5道之 Y)) + (士道之 Y)) = 0
 $J=0$: (contact)) + (士道之 Y)) = 0

$$\begin{split} \overline{J} = o \ \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \overline{\mathcal{M}} &: \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{O}} \left(w_{s}^{\dagger} w_{\overline{s}}^{-} \rightarrow w_{s}^{\dagger} w_{\overline{s}}^{-} \right) &= \frac{-G_{\overline{F}} M_{H}^{2}}{8 \overline{v} \sqrt{z}} \left[2 + \frac{M_{H}^{2}}{s - M_{H}^{2}} - \frac{M_{H}^{2}}{S} \ln \left(1 + \frac{S}{M_{H}^{2}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{S > M_{H}^{2}} > - \frac{G_{\overline{F}} M_{H}^{2}}{4 \overline{v} \sqrt{z}} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{L}} 2 \widehat{\mathcal{P}} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}, \qquad \frac{G_{\overline{F}} M_{H}^{2}}{4 \overline{v} \sqrt{z}} \leq 1 \implies M_{H}^{2} \leq \frac{4 \pi \sqrt{z}}{G_{\overline{F}}} \leq 1.5 \ TeV^{2} \\ \left(M_{H} \leq 1.2 \ TeV \right) \end{split}$$





- ▶ 希格斯与规范玻色子的相互作用保证了散射过程的幺正性
- ▶ LHC的主要使命
- ▶ 实验和理论要求存在:带电流+中性流+希格斯粒子
- ▶ 规范对称性

Electroweak gauge couplings

费米子规范相互作用: $E_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix}_L$, $Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L$ $T^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^1 \pm i\sigma^2) = \sigma^{\pm}$

 $\mathcal{L} = \overline{E}_L(i\mathcal{D})E_L + \overline{e}_R(i\mathcal{D})e_R + \overline{Q}_L(i\mathcal{D})Q_L + \overline{u}_R(i\mathcal{D})u_R + \overline{d}_R(i\mathcal{D})d_R$

协变导数: $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - i \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (W_{\mu}^{+} T^{+} + W_{\mu}^{-} T^{-}) - i \frac{g}{\cos \theta_{w}} Z_{\mu} (T^{3} - \sin^{2} \theta_{w} Q) - i e A_{\mu} Q$



W/Z decay branching ratios





$$\Gamma\left(W^{-} \to \bar{\nu}_{l}l^{-}\right) = \frac{G_{\rm F}M_{W}^{3}}{6\pi\sqrt{2}} \qquad \qquad \Gamma\left(Z \to \bar{f}f\right) = N_{f}\frac{G_{\rm F}M_{Z}^{3}}{6\pi\sqrt{2}}\left(|v_{f}|^{2} + |a_{f}|^{2}\right)$$

$$\Gamma\left(W^{-} \to \bar{u}_{i}d_{j}\right) = N_{C} |\mathbf{V}_{ij}|^{2} \frac{G_{F}M_{W}^{3}}{6\pi\sqrt{2}} \qquad |V_{CKM}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97435 \pm 0.00016 & 0.22501 \pm 0.00068 & 0.003732_{-0.000085}^{+0.00090} \\ 0.22487 \pm 0.00068 & 0.97349 \pm 0.00016 & 0.04183_{-0.00069}^{+0.00079} \\ 0.00858_{-0.00017}^{+0.00019} & 0.04111_{-0.00068}^{+0.00077} & 0.999118_{-0.000034}^{+0.00029} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Br(W^- \to \bar{\nu}_l \, l^-) = \frac{1}{3 + 2N_C} = 11.1\%$$

轻子相互作用普适性?

矢量流和轴矢流相互作用的符号?

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = 1 - \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2}, \qquad \qquad M_W^2 \sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2} G_F}$$

$$a_f = T_3^f \text{ and } v_f = T_3^f \left(1 - 4|Q_f| \sin^2 \theta_W \right).$$
 22

轻子普适性检验

	$\Gamma_{\tau\to\nu_\tau\mu\bar\nu_\mu}/\Gamma_{\tau\to\nu_\tau e\bar\nu_e}$	$\Gamma_{\pi \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu}} / \Gamma_{\pi \to e \bar{\nu}_{e}}$	$\Gamma_{W\to\mu\bar\nu_\mu}/\Gamma_{W\to e\bar\nu_e}$	
$ g_{\mu}/g_{e} $	0.9999 ± 0.0020	1.0017 ± 0.0015	0.997 ± 0.010	
	$\Gamma_{\tau \to \nu_\tau e \bar{\nu}_e} / \Gamma_{\mu \to \nu_\mu e \bar{\nu}_e}$	$\Gamma_{\tau \to \nu_{\tau} \pi} / \Gamma_{\pi \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu}}$	$\Gamma_{\tau\to\nu_\tau K}/\Gamma_{K\to\mu\bar\nu_\mu}$	$\Gamma_{W\to\tau\bar\nu_\tau}/\Gamma_{W\to\mu\bar\nu_\mu}$
$ g_{ au}/g_{\mu} $	1.0004 ± 0.0023	0.9999 ± 0.0036	0.979 ± 0.017	1.037 ± 0.014
	$\Gamma_{\tau\to\nu_\tau\mu\bar\nu_\mu}/\Gamma_{\mu\to\nu_\mu e\bar\nu_e}$	$\Gamma_{W\to\tau\bar\nu_\tau}/\Gamma_{W\to e\bar\nu_e}$		
$ g_{ au}/g_{e} $	1.0002 ± 0.0022	1.034 ± 0.014		







中性流相互作用

- ▶ 中微子散射截面具有v ↔ a 对称性
- $▶ \bar{v}_{\mu}e$ 和 $v_{\mu}e$ 具有正负号任意性
- ▶ v_ee和v_ee可消除此不确定性
- ▶ 综合所有中微子实验

$$a = 0, v = -0.5$$
 或者 $a = -0.5, v = 0$

→ 破除 v ↔ a 对称性对于检验标准 模型规范相互作用直观重要





存在弱相互作用与电磁相互 作用的干涉





$$A_{FB} \equiv \frac{\int_{0}^{1} d\cos\theta \ \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega s\theta} - \int_{1}^{0} d\cos\theta \ \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega s\theta}}{\int_{0}^{1} d\cos\theta \ \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega s\theta}} = \frac{\nabla_{F}(\omega s\theta > o) - \nabla_{F}(\omega s\theta < o)}{\nabla_{F}(\omega s\theta > o)} \xrightarrow{\text{d} \sigma} \xrightarrow{\text{b} \sigma} \xrightarrow{\text{b}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{das\theta} \sim a + b\cos^2\theta + \cos^2\theta$$

$$\implies \mathcal{O}_{tot} \sim 2a + \frac{2b}{3}, \quad \mathcal{O}_F - \mathcal{O}_B \sim C$$

$$\implies A_{FB} \sim \frac{C}{2a + \frac{2b}{3}}$$

中性流相互作用







结合中微子散射以及正 负电子对撞机实验,完 全确定中性流相互作用



Status of Zbb couplings

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{g}{c_W} Z_\mu (g_{Lb} \bar{b}_L \gamma^\mu b_L + g_{Rb} \bar{b}_R \gamma^\mu b_R) = \text{S. Gori, J. Gu, L. T. Wang, JHEP04(2016)062}$$



Strong constraint for the left-handed Zbb coupling and large deviation of the right-handed Zbb coupling

电弱精确检验

The precisely measurements for the SM Higgs production can also test the electroweak properties of the SM





Bin Yan, C.-P. Yuan, PRL 127 (2021) 5, 051801

$${\cal L} = ar b \gamma_\mu (\kappa_V g_V - \kappa_A g_A \gamma_5) b Z_\mu$$

The degeneracy of the anomalous Zbb could be resolved by the LHC data

The other possible methods:

Bin Yan, C.-P. Yuan, Shu-Run Yuan, PRD 108 (2023) 5, 053001 F. Bishara, Zhuoni Qian, JHEP 10 (2023) 088 Hongxin Dong, Peng Sun, Bin Yan, C.-P. Yuan, PLB 829 (2022) 137076 Hai Tao Li, Bin Yan, C.-P. Yuan, PLB 833 (2022) 137300 Bin Yan, Zhite Yu, C.-P. Yuan, PLB 822 (2021) 136697





2) Transverse mass (M_T) \overrightarrow{F} \overrightarrow{F}



$$M_{W}^{2} = (P_{\ell} + P_{\nu})^{2} = P_{\ell}^{2} + P_{\nu}^{2} + 2P_{\ell} \cdot P_{\nu}$$

$$= 2 (E_{\ell} \cdot E_{\nu} - P_{z} \cdot P_{$$

- Z于每年事例(P(R)和PT), 我们都有得到-个MT 比MT数值给出MW的下配(MT ≤ MW)
 PT(R)= ^{mw} 叶 MT = MW → do do AmT Peaks at MT = MW。



Top quark physics
Top quark



Top quark: 172 GeV Higgs boson: 125 GeV



Top quark



1977: Forward-Backward Asymmetry of bottom quark $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\overline{b}$

Weak isospin of bottom quark $T_3 = -\frac{1}{2}$ $T_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ state must exit: Top quark

Top quark discovered in 1995 by CDF and D0

~20 years

Top quark Properties





▶ 顶夸克寿命极短,在强子化之前已经衰变

▶ 标准模型中唯一的裸夸克

▶ 顶夸克的极化可以通过末态产物进行重构

Top quark Production at hadron colliders



Top quark Production at hadron colliders

有效 Bjorken *x*: $\langle x \rangle = \frac{2m_t}{\sqrt{s}}$ > Tevatron: $\langle x \rangle \sim 0.2$ 价夸克主导

Ievatron: $\langle x \rangle \sim 0.2$ 阶兮兄王守 $\sigma(q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}) \gg \sigma(gg \rightarrow t\bar{t})$

▶ LHC: 8 TeV ⟨x⟩~0.04 胶子主导
▶ LHC: 14 TeV ⟨x⟩~0.02

 $\sigma(gg \to t\bar{t}) \gg \sigma(q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t})$



Top quark Production at hadron colliders

C)顶夸克对产生的实验侵号(1995年CDF)



Note:

1)顶夸克对产生过程是所有新物理的背景。 顶夸克对羟过程中含有最容易探测的实验经多 Heavy flavor jets
 S charged leptons The usual New Physics Signal \bigotimes Ē-

2)顶套对程过程是移相到的用诱导。 Mt >> / RCD~300 MeV ⇒ tE过程是检验pQcD的完美过程



Single top quark at hadron colliders

顶夸克也可以通过弱相到的用来单独产生。



*Preliminary

√s [TeV]

LHC comb. IHEROS (2019)08

NNLO MCFM, JHEP 02 (2021) 040 PDF4LHC (CT18, MSHT20, NNPDF3.1) scale \oplus PDF $\oplus \alpha_s$ uncertainty aNNLO + aN3LL JHEP05 (2021) 278 PDF4LHC (CT18, MSHT20, NNPDF3.1) tW: tt contribution removed

scale \oplus PDF $\oplus \alpha$, uncertainty

 $\mu_p = \mu_r = m_{top}$ scale \oplus PDF $\oplus \alpha_s$ uncertainty

s-channel

13

CT10nlo_MSTW2008nlo_NNPDE2_3nlo

Charged Lepton: Spin Analyzer



Charged Lepton tends to follow the direction of Top-quark spin.

Helicity fractions

在顶夸克静止系下,带电轻子在W静止系下的夹角:



W boson helicity fractions 敏感 tbW 有关的相互作用

$$\mathcal{L}_{Wtb} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{b} \gamma^{\mu} (V_L P_L + V_R P_R) t W_{\mu}^{-} -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{b} \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{M_W} (g_L P_L + g_R P_R) t W_{\mu}^{-} + \text{h.c.}$$

Top quark anomalous couplings



Linear polarization of W boson



- Measuring longitudinal polarization of boosted top
- New top tagger against QCD jets

A new tool to probe the NP effects,

e.g. the CP violation in top quark decay

Lepton energy

Charged Lepton tends to follow the direction of Top-quark spin.

 $x_\ell \equiv 2E_\ell/E_t$

E. L. Berger. Q. H. Cao, J. H. Yu, H. Zhang, PRL 109 (2012) 152004





 $\mathcal{R}(x_c) = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_0^{x_c} \frac{d\Gamma}{dx_\ell} dx_\ell \equiv \frac{\Gamma(x_\ell < x_c)}{\Gamma}$

Top quark Neutral current & NP



Distinguishing the vector and axial vector components of Ztt coupling=> different NP models

Top quark Neutral current

 $\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_W}{2c_W} \bar{t} (v_t - a_t \gamma_5) \gamma_\mu t Z^\mu$ E.L. Berger, Q.-H. Cao and I. Low, PRD80,074020(2009) $v_t^{\rm SM} = 0.35, \quad a_t^{\rm SM} = \frac{1}{2}$ R. Rontsch and M. Schulze, JHEP07,091(2014) O. Bessidskaia Bylund et al, JHEP05,052(2016) g0.8 13 TeV 0.4 0000000000 0.0 \boldsymbol{q} δa_t -0.8-1.2-1.6 b -2.0. -1.0 -0.5 0.00.5 δV_{t}

1.0

How to distinguish the top quark couplings



Top quark Yukawa coupling

• Theoretically, it connects to various new physics directly

F. Bezrukov, M. Shaposhnikov, J.Exp.Theor.Phys. 120 (2015) 335

- 1. Vacuum stability
- 2. Hierarchy problem (Naturalness problem)
- 3. Nature of electroweak symmetry breaking



4. Deviation from SM prediction can lead to many new physics models



• Experimentally, it can be measured in many processes at colliders

Top Yukawa coupling at LHC

$$\mu = \frac{\sigma_{obs.}}{\sigma_{exp.}} \sim |y_t|^2$$

• Indirect probe: gluon fusion



• Htj associated production



• Htt associated production



• Multi-top production



Indirect and direct measurements at 13 TeV LHC

 $\mu = \frac{\sigma_{obs.}}{\sigma_{exp.}} \sim |y_t|^2$

• Indirect probe: gluon fusion



 $\mu(gg \rightarrow h) = 1.07 \pm 0.09$ ATLAS-CONF-2018-031

 $\mu(gg \rightarrow h) = 1.23 \pm 0.13$

CMS-PAS-HIG-17-031

• Htt associated production



$$\mu(gg \to t\bar{t}h) = 1.32^{+0.28}_{-0.26}$$

$$1806.00425$$

$$\mu(gg \to t\bar{t}h) = 1.26^{+0.31}_{-0.26}$$

1804.02610

Indirect and direct measurements at 13 TeV LHC

 $\mu = \frac{\sigma_{obs.}}{\sigma_{exp.}} \sim |y_t|^2$

• Htj associated production



Multi-top production



CMS: 1811.09696

the data favor a positive value of the top quark Yukawa coupling

Evidence by ATLAS: 2007.14858

Q-H. Cao, S-L. Chen, Y. Liu, 17' Q-H. Cao, S-L. Chen, Y. Liu, R. Zhang, Y. Zhang, 19'

Top quark Properties

Fundamental Parameter of the SM:

 The top quark plays a critical role in the SM of particle physics. Its properties, such as mass, charge, and spin, are fundamental parameters that influence predictions and calculations within the SM

Electroweak Symmetry Breaking:

 The top quark's mass is close to the electroweak scale. It could have a significant role in electroweak symmetry breaking

Higgs Boson Interactions:

 The top quark interacts strongly with the Higgs boson, affecting Higgs production and decay rates. Accurate measurements of top quark properties are essential to refine our understanding of the Higgs boson and its properties

• Testing Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD):

 As the heaviest quark, the top quark decays before it hadronizes, providing a unique opportunity to study QCD in a relatively clean environment. This helps in testing and refining QCD predictions

Top quark Properties

Probing for New Physics:

 Precise measurements can reveal deviations from SM predictions, hinting at new physics beyond the SM, such as supersymmetry, extra dimensions, or other exotic phenomena

Calibrating Detectors and Analyses:

 Top quark events are used to calibrate particle detectors and analysis techniques, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of measurements

• Enhancing Collider Physics:

 Understanding top quark production and decay processes enhances the overall physics program at the LHC, enabling more precise searches for rare processes and new phenomena.

The Era of the Higgs Physics

The Era of the Higgs Physics

2013 NOBEL PRIZE IN PHYSICS François Englert Peter W. Higgs



Understanding of origin of mass of subatomic particles



8 October 2013

The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences has decided to award the Nobel Prize in Physics for 2013 to

François Englert and Peter Higgs 🛛

"for the theoretical discovery of a mechanism that contributes to our understanding of the origin of mass of subatamic particles, and which recently was confirmed through the discovery of the predicted fundamental particle, by the ATLAS and CMS experiments at CERN's Large Hadron Collider"

Snowmass 2021, 2209.07510





Brout-Englert-Higgs Mechanism

• **Spontaneous broken symmetry**: the Lagrangian is invariant under the symmetry, but the ground state of the theory is not



Brout-Englert-Higgs Mechanism (1964)



Brout



Englert



Peter Higgs

Goldstone's theorem: Spontaneous breaking of continuous global symmetries implies the existence of massless particles

Englert, Higgs 2013 Nobel Prize

Example: Linear sigma model

复标量场: U(1) global symmetry $\phi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}\phi(x)$

$$\mathcal{L} = (\partial_{\mu}\phi^{\star})(\partial_{\mu}\phi) + m^{2}\phi\phi^{\star} - \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^{2}\phi^{\star 2}$$

势能项:
$$V(\phi) = -m^2 |\phi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} |\phi|^4$$
 $\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2m^2}{\lambda}}$

将标量场进行重新参数化:

$$\phi(x) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2m^2}{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma(x)\right)e^{i\frac{\pi(x)}{F_{\pi}}}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\sigma)^2 + \left(\sqrt{\frac{2m^2}{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma(x)\right)^2 \underbrace{f_{\pi}^2(\partial_{\mu}\pi)^2}_{F_{\pi}^2(\partial_{\mu}\pi)^2} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{R} \bar{q} \bar{d} \bar{k} \bar{m}, \\ \bar{m} \bar{L} \bar{h} \bar{g} \bar{m} \\ \text{Goldstone} \\ - \left(-\frac{m^4}{\lambda} + m^2\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda}m\sigma^3 + \frac{1}{16}\lambda\sigma^4\right) \end{array}$$

Brout-Englert-Higgs Mechanism

An Abelian Example: 电磁场+复标量场

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu})^2 + |D_{\mu}\phi|^2 - V(\phi)$$

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ieA_{\mu}$$
$$V(\phi) = -\mu^{2}\phi^{*}\phi + \frac{\lambda}{2}(\phi^{*}\phi)^{2}$$

U(1) 规范变换:

$$\phi(x) \to e^{i\alpha(x)}\phi(x), \qquad A_{\mu}(x) \to A_{\mu}(x) - \frac{1}{e}\partial_{\mu}\alpha(x)$$

对称性自发破缺:

$$\phi(x) = \phi_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\phi_1(x) + i\phi_2(x) \right) \quad \langle \phi \rangle = \phi_0 = \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2}$$
$$|D_\mu \phi|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_2)^2 + \sqrt{2} e \phi_0 \cdot A_\mu \partial^\mu \phi_2 + e^2 \phi_0^2 A_\mu A^\mu + \cdots$$

Goldstone boson to disappear from the spectrum and the gauge boson to become massive

Brout-Englert-Higgs Mechanism

标准模型电弱规范对称性 $\mathcal{L} = \left| D_{\mu} \phi \right|^2 + \mu^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi - \lambda (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^2$

$$D_{\mu}\phi = \left(\partial_{\mu} - igA^{a}_{\mu}\tau^{a} - i\frac{1}{2}g'B_{\mu}\right)\phi$$

电弱规范玻色子质量项:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{4} \left[g^2 (A^1_\mu)^2 + g^2 (A^2_\mu)^2 + (-gA^3_\mu + g'B_\mu)^2 \right].$$
(20.62)

There are three massive vector bosons, which we will notate as follows:

$$W_{\mu}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(A_{\mu}^{1} \mp i A_{\mu}^{2} \right) \quad \text{with mass} \quad m_{W} = g \frac{v}{2};$$

$$Z_{\mu}^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^{2} + g'^{2}}} \left(g A_{\mu}^{3} - g' B_{\mu} \right) \quad \text{with mass} \quad m_{Z} = \sqrt{g^{2} + g'^{2}} \frac{v}{2}.$$
(20.63)

The fourth vector field, orthogonal to Z^0_{μ} , remains massless:

$$A_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \left(g' A_{\mu}^3 + g B_{\mu} \right) \quad \text{with mass} \quad m_A = 0.$$
 (20.64)

Higgs production at the LHC



Challenging final state; direct probe of ttH coupling

Higgs decays

	Decay channel	Branching ratio	Rel. uncertainty
Bottom quark (58%)	$H\to\gamma\gamma$	2.27×10^{-3}	2.1%
B quark form short-lived B hadrons, can be identified by displaced tracks; large QCD background	$H \rightarrow ZZ$	2.62×10^{-2}	$\pm 1.5\%$
	$H \to W^+ W^-$	2.14×10^{-1}	$\pm 1.5\%$
 Vector bosons (Z: 3%, W: 21%) One of the V has to be off-shell; small event rates when including decays to leptons, but clean detector signature 	$H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	6.27×10^{-2}	$\pm 1.6\%$
	$H \to b\bar{b}$	5.82×10^{-1}	$^{+1.2\%}_{-1.3\%}$
	$H \to c\bar{c}$	2.89×10^{-2}	$^{+5.5\%}_{-2.0\%}$
	$H \to Z \gamma$	1.53×10^{-3}	$\pm 5.8\%$
\sim Chuone (9.2%)	$H \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	2.18×10^{-4}	$\pm 1.7\%$

- Gluons (8.2%) Huge QCD backgrounds at LHC
- > Photons ($Z\gamma,\gamma\gamma$ 0.2%)

Small BR, but clear detector signature; destructive interferences



Higgs Discovery



July 4, 2012: ATLAS and CMS announce the observation of a new particle, compatible with the SM Higgs with $m_H = 125$ GeV



Higgs Discovery



July 4, 2012: ATLAS and CMS announce the observation of a new particle, compatible with the SM Higgs with $m_H = 125$ GeV

 \rightarrow many happy faces ...







SM Higgs boson?

... and a year later:

- Spin, Parity?
- Couplings to other SM particles?
- Higgs potential?

Nobel Prize awarded to François Englert & Peter Higgs (*1932) (1929-2024)

Higgs spin and CP properties

- Spin ½ and 1 (due to $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: Landau-Yang theorem) excluded
- Only real contender: spin 0 & 2

Spin 0:
$$f_{\mu\nu}^{*(i)} = \varepsilon_{i}^{\mu}q^{\nu} - \varepsilon_{i}^{\nu}q^{\mu} \qquad \tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}^{*(i)} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}f^{*(i)\rho\sigma}$$

$$m_{V_{1}}^{2}\varepsilon_{V_{1}}^{*}\varepsilon_{V_{2}}^{*} + a_{2}^{VV}f_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)}f^{*(2)\mu\nu} + a_{2}^{VV}f_{\mu\nu}^{*(1)}\tilde{f}^{*(2)\mu\nu}$$
CP-odd interaction
$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\phi} \propto \cos^{2}\phi \qquad \text{CP-even} \qquad \vec{\varepsilon}_{Z_{1}} \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{Z_{2}}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\phi} \propto \sin^{2}\phi \qquad \text{CP-odd} \qquad \vec{\varepsilon}_{Z_{1}} \times \vec{\varepsilon}_{Z_{2}}$$

□ Spin 2: θ^* distributions is different for spin 2 and spin 0, $d_{m1,m2}^J(\theta^*)$ e.g. Bolognesi, Gao, Gritsan, Melnikov, Schulze, 2012 实验数据与标准模型一致, $J^p = 0^+$

Higgs coupling measurements

The Framework for the Higgs physics

1. The κ framework for the couplings:

BSM physics is expected to affect the production modes and decay channels by a SM like interactions



3. Higgs Effective Field Theory

Callan, Coleman, Wess, Zumino, 1969 The electroweak chiral Lagrangian+light Higgs, A.C. Longhitano, 1980,....

The measurements @ LHC

Nature 607 (2022)7917,60-68



Nature 607 (2022)7917,52-59

截面与信号强度测量结果

Higgs couplings @LHC

Nature 607 (2022)7917,52-59

Nature 607 (2022)7917,60-68


Higgs CP violation





A CP-mixture Higgs boson is still possible



Sakharov Criteria (1967)

- B violation
- C & CP violations
- Departure from the equilibrium

Higgs CP violation

CP-odd interactions with gauge bosons (loop induced operators)

Operator	Structure Couplin	
	Warsaw Basis	
$O_{\Phi ilde W}$	$\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi ilde{W}^{I}_{\mu u}W^{\mu u I}$	$c_{H\widetilde{W}}$
$O_{\Phi ilde W B}$	$\Phi^{\dagger} au^{I} \Phi ilde{W}^{I}_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	$c_{H\widetilde{W}B}$
$O_{\Phi ilde{B}}$	$\Phi^\dagger \Phi ilde{B}_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	$c_{H\widetilde{B}}$
	Higgs Basis	
$O_{hZ\tilde{Z}}$	$h Z_{\mu u} \tilde{Z}^{\mu u}$	\widetilde{c}_{zz}
$O_{hZ ilde{A}}$	$h Z_{\mu u} \tilde{A}^{\mu u}$	$\widetilde{c}_{z\gamma}$
$O_{hA ilde{A}}$	$hA_{\mu u}\tilde{A}^{\mu u}$	$\widetilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}$

CP-odd interactions with fermions

Gunion, He, PRL. 76, 4468 (1996)

...

Boudjema, Godbole, Guadagnolo, Mohan, PRD 92, 015019 (2015) Mileo, Kiers, Szynkman, Crane, Gegner, JHEP 07, 056 (2016) Gritsan, Rntsch, Schulze, Xiao, PRD 94, 055023 (2016) S. Amor Dos Santos et al, PRD 96, 013004 (2017) Kobakhidze, Liu, Wu, Yue, PRD 95 (2017) 1, 015016 Gouveia et al, 1801.04954 Gonalves, Kong, Kim, JHEP 06, 079 (2018) Ren, Wu, Yang, 1901.05627 ATLAS, PRL 125 (2020) 6,061802 CMS, PRL 125 (2020) 6,061801 Q.-H. Cao, K.-P. Xie, H. Zhang , R. Zhang,CPC45 (2021)2,023117 Zhite Yu and C.-P. Yuan, 2211.00845



ATLAS,2304.09612



Q.-H. Cao, K.-P. Xie, H. Zhang, R. Zhang, CPC45 (2021)2,023117

New polarization observables

Linear polarization vs. helicity/circular polarization

helicity pol.
$$(1 \pm 1)$$

Inear pol. $(1 \pm i) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|+\rangle - |-\rangle], \quad |y\rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} [|+\rangle + |-\rangle]$
 $|e^{+i\phi} \pm e^{-i\phi}|^2 \rightarrow 2(1 \pm \cos 2\phi)$

Interference of helicity λ_1 and λ_2 causes azimuthal distributions

$$\cos(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\phi, \quad \sin(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\phi$$

$$(1) \quad (1) \quad ($$

C.-P. Yuan's talk @ MBI 2023

New polarization observables

Linear polarization of gluon





Higgs Yukawa couplings



All fundamental particles get their mass from Higgs boson vev

How about light quarks? Does Higgs mechanism still work?

Light quark Yukawa couplings@LHC

– H

A. Rare decay:
$$h \rightarrow J/\Psi \gamma \ (\phi \gamma, \rho \gamma, \omega \gamma)$$

G. T. Bodwin, F. Petriello, S. Stoynev, M. Velasco, PRD88 (2013) 5, 053003 A. L. Kagan, G. Perez, F. Petriello, Y. Soreq, S. Stoynev, PRL114 (2015) 10,101802 e.g. 14 TeV HL-LHC $y_s/y_b < 0.39$ $y_c/y_c^{\rm SM} < 220$

B. Higgs+charm production

I. Brivio, F. Goertz, G. Isidori, PRL115 (2015)21,211801

e.g. 14 TeV HL-LHC $y_c/y_c^{SM} < 2.5$

C. Higgs data global analysis:



G. Perez, Y. Soreg, E. Stamou, K. Tobioka, PRD92(2015)3, 033016, PRD93(2016)1,013001 Y. Zhou, PRD93(2016) 1,013019

e.g. 14 TeV HL-LHC
$$y_c/y_c^{
m SM} < 6.2$$

D. Higgs p_T analysis:



 $y_{u,d}/y_b < 0.4 \sim 0.5$

Light quark Yukawa couplings@ e^+e^-



H. N. Li, Z. Li and C.-P. Yuan, PRL 107 (2011)152001; Y. T. Chien, I. Vitev, JHEP 12(2014)061 J. Isaacson, H.N. Li, Z. Li and C.-P. Yuan, PLB 771 (2017)619-623; G. X. Li, Z. Li, Y.D. Liu, Y. Wang, X. R. Zhao, PRD 98 (2018)7,076010



J. Gao, JHEP 01 (2018) 038

Event shapes



Higgs Yukawa couplings

J. Gao, Y. Gong, W.-L. Ju and L. L. Yang, JHEP 03 (2019) 030 J. Zhu, J. Gao, D. Kang, T. Maji, 2311.07282 Bin Yan, C. Lee, JHEP 03 (2024) 123 Angularity distributions are very different for quark and gluon final state



Sensitive to non-perturbative assumptions

Higgs couplings @LHC

Nature 607 (2022)7917,52-59

CMS 138 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) κ_z ±1 SD (stat) Observed = ± 1 SD (stat \oplus syst) ±1 SD (syst) ATLAS Run 2 ĸw ±2 SDs (stat ⊕ syst) Stat Syst Quarks κ_W Leptons κ_t 1.02±0.08 ±0.05 ±0.05 ve v v. С κ_z 1.04 ±0.07 ±0.05 ±0.05 κ_{b} S Higgs boson κ_{ν} Force carriers 1.10±0.08 ±0.06 ±0.05 κ_{τ} Н κ_q 0.92±0.08 ±0.05 ±0.06 κ_{μ} $1.01^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$ κ_t ±0.07 ±0.08 $B_{irw} = B_{u} = 0$ κ_{g} $0.99^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$ +0.12 κ_{b} ±0.12 B_{irry} free, $B_{irry} \ge 0$, $\kappa_V \le 1$ SM prediction κ_{γ} κ_τ 0.92±0.08 ±0.06 ±0.06 Parameter value not allowed $\kappa_{Z\gamma}$ 1.12^{+0.21} +0.19 -0.22 -0.20 κ_u ±0.09 $\kappa_{Z\gamma}$ $1.65^{+0.34}_{-0.37}$ +0.31 +0.14 0.8 1.6 .2 4 -0.09 68% CL interval 2.5 3.5 0 0.5 1.5 2 3 4 $\mu = \frac{(\sigma_p \times BR_d)_{\text{obs}}}{(\sigma_p \times BR_d)_{\text{SM}}}$ Parameter value 全局拟合结果依赖对希格斯宽度的假设

Nature 607 (2022)7917,60-68



Higgs width measurements

Direct constraints: reconstructed mass line-shape

The intrinsic mass resolution: 1-2 GeV, Higgs width (SM): 4.1 MeV



- the modelling of resolution uncertainties
- the modelling of the interference between the signal and the background which can be sizeable for large widths
- ➤ CMS: 330 MeV

Higgs width measurements

Indirect constraints from off-shell couplings



$$\mu_{\text{on-shell}} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\text{on-shell}}^{\circ\circ}}{\sigma_{\text{on-shell}, SM}^{gg \to H \to VV}} = \frac{\kappa_{g,\text{on-shell}} \cdot \kappa_{V,\text{on-shell}}}{\Gamma_H / \Gamma_H^{SM}} \qquad \qquad \mu_{\text{off-shell}}(\hat{s}) \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\text{off-shell}}(s)}{\sigma_{\text{off-shell}, SM}^{gg \to H^* \to VV}(\hat{s})} = \kappa_{g,\text{off-shell}}^2(\hat{s}) \cdot \kappa_{V,\text{off-shell}}^2(\hat{s})$$

Assuming the couplings are same for the on-shell and off-shell regions

(ATLAS)
$$\Gamma_H = 4.5^{+3.3}_{-2.5} [4.1^{+3.8}_{-3.8} (exp)] \text{ MeV},$$

(CMS) $\Gamma_H = 3.2^{+2.4}_{-1.7} [4.1^{+4.0}_{-3.5} (exp)] \text{ MeV}.$ $\Gamma_H = 4.1^{+0.7}_{-0.8} \text{ MeV}$ (HL-LHC)

Higgs potential



Agrawal, Saha, Xu, Yu, Yuan, PRD 101 (2020) 075023



E. W. N.Glover et al (1988)
U. Baur et al (2002)
A.Papaefstathuou et al (2013)
J. Baglio et al (2013)
Q. Li et al (2015)



M. J. Dolan et al (2014,2015)



M. Moretti et al (2005), Q. H. Cao et al (2017)

$\sqrt{s}[TeV]$	$\sigma^{NLO}_{gg ightarrow HH}[ext{fb}]$	σ^{NLO}_{HHjj} [fb]	$\sigma^{\scriptscriptstyle NLO}_{WHH}$ [fb]	σ_{ZHH}^{NLO} [fb]
8	8.16	0.49	0.21	0.14
14	33.89	2.01	0.57	0.42
100	1417.83	79.55	8.00	8.27



J. Baglio, A. Djouadi et al. JHEP 1304(2013)51

➤ GGF and VBF 敏感于负区间
 ➤ VHH敏感于正区间





在标准模型中两个图 贡献相互抵消,从而 敏感依赖负的希格斯 自相互作用



$$\begin{split} & \overset{V_{s}(m)}{P_{s}(m)} & \overset{W_{s}(m)}{P_{s}(m)} &$$



→ VBF 过程敏感负参数区间,VHH 过程敏感正的参数区间

Higgs potential

To determine the Higgs potential shape is challenge!







ATLAS, PRD108 (2023)5, 052003



Higgs potential

To determine the Higgs potential shape is challenge!







ATLAS, PRD108 (2023)5, 052003



Higgs potential@ LHC



Q.-H. Cao, Bin Yan, D.-M. Zhang, H. Zhang, PLB 752 (2016) 285-290

L. B. Chen, H. T. Li, H. S. Shao, J. Wang, PLB 803 (2020) 135292, JHEP 03 (2020) 072

K. Chai, J.-H. Yu, H. Zhang, PRD

107(2023) 5,055031

Testing the EWSB @ LHC



Precisely determine the Higgs gauge couplings are also important for testing the EWSB

$$\mathcal{L}_{hVV} = \kappa_W g_{hWW}^{\rm SM} h W_{\mu}^+ W^{-\mu} + \frac{\kappa_Z}{2} g_{hZZ}^{\rm SM} h Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu}$$



Higgs couplings and EWSB

- > The magnitude of the Higgs gauge couplings
- The relative sign between hWW and hZZ couplings



K. P. Xie and Bin Yan, PLB 820 (2021) 136515

Y. Chen et al, PRL 2016

Higgs couplings and EWSB

CMS-PAS-HIG-23-007



The opposite-sign coupling hypothesis has been excluded



The magnitude of the Higgs gauge couplings would be the key task for testing EWSB

Higgs production mechanisms

VBF Higgs production is the main process to verify the Higgs gauge couplings

The rapidity gap and the invariant mass of the two jets





.....

V.D. Bargeer, K.m.Cheung. T. Han, J. Ohnemus and D. Zeppenfeld, 1991 N. Kauer, T. Plehn, D. L. Rainwater and D. Zeppenfeld, 2001

Soft gluon radiation effects: Jet energy profile, TMD effects



Higgs production mechanisms

Variable	Definition	VBF-ggF separation	VBF-yy separation
m _{jj}	Invariant mass of dijet	0.218	0.241
$\Delta \eta_{jj}$	Pseudo-rapidity separation of dijet	0.152	0.219
p_T^{Hjj}	Transverse momentum of Higgs+jj system	0.127	0.230
$\Delta \Phi_{\gamma\gamma,jj}$	Azimuthal angle between diphoton and dijet systems	0.120	0.186
$\Delta R^{min}_{\gamma,j}$	Minimum ΔR between one of the two leading photons and the corresponding leading jets	0.108	0.204
η^{Zepp}	$ \eta_{\gamma\gamma} - (\eta_{j1} + \eta_{j2})/2 $	0.060	0.078
$p_{Tt}^{\gamma\gamma}$	Diphoton p_T projected perpendicular to the diphoton thrust axis	0.011	0.040

Table 7: Variables used for VBF categorization and their separation power.

Soft gluon radiation effects: TMD effects

0.25 ATLAS Data sidebands √s = 13 TeV, 139 fb¹ SM VBF Fraction of events 0.2 SM ggF Continuum background 0.05 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0 01 0.2 0.3 0.4 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 n 0.1 BDT_{VBF/ggF} BDT_{VBF/Continuum}

ATLAS, Phys.Rev.Lett. 131 (2023) 6, 061802

The VBF Higgs production can be well seperated from the GGF process

Higgs production mechanisms

Discriminating W-boson fusion, Z-boson fusion and gluon fusion Higgs production



H. T. Li, Bin Yan, C.-P. Yuan, PRL 131 (2023) 4, 041802



Separating the W boson's contribution from the VBF Higgs production is an important task for determining the Higgs gauge coupling

The key observable: Jet ChargeW: opposite sign for the two jet chargesZ: same or opposite sign for the two jet chargesG: the sign of the jet charge is arbitrary

Jet charge definition



Transverse-momentum-weighting scheme:

$$Q_J = \frac{1}{(p_T^j)^{\kappa}} \sum_{i \in jet} Q_i (p_T^i)^{\kappa}, \ \kappa > 0$$

R.D. Field and R.P. Feynman, NPB136,1(1978)

- SCET calculation
- Quark/gluon jet discrimination
- Nuclear medium effects
- Quark flavor structure
- Non-perturbative model
- Electroweak and Higgs physics



 κ : To regulate the sensitivity of the soft gluon radiation

D. Krohn et al, PRL, 2013, W.J.Waalewijn, PRD, 2012

K.Fraser and M.D. Schwartz, JHEP, 2018, Zhong-Bo Kang, Xiaohui Liu, et al, PRD, 2021

H. T. Li and I. Vitev, PRD, 2020, PRL, 2021

Zhong-Bo Kang, Xiaohui Liu, et al, PRD, 2021, + Ding Yu Shao, PRL, 2020

Zhong-Bo Kang et al, PRL, 2023 H. T. Li, Bin Yan and C.-P. Yuan, PLB 2022, PRL 2023 Xiao-Rui Wang, Bin Yan, PRD 2023 H. Cui, M. Zhao, Y. Wang, H. Liang, Manqi Ruan, 2023

Higgs coupings @ VBF

The key observable: Jet Charge



Higgs couplings @ VBF

 $h \to 4\ell/2\ell 2v_\ell$



 $\overline{A}_Q^{\text{tot}} = \frac{f_W \langle Q^{(-)} \rangle_W + f_Z \langle Q^{(-)} \rangle_Z + f_G \langle Q^{(-)} \rangle_G}{f_W \langle Q^{(+)} \rangle_W + f_Z \langle Q^{(+)} \rangle_Z + f_G \langle Q^{(+)} \rangle_G}$

$$R_h = \frac{\mu(gg \to h \to WW^*)}{\mu(gg \to h \to ZZ^*)} = \frac{\kappa_W^2}{\kappa_Z^2}$$

 $\kappa_V = \frac{g_{hVV}}{g_{hVV}^{\rm SM}}$

 $Q^{(\pm)} = |Q^1_J \pm Q^2_J|$

H. T. Li, Bin Yan, C.-P. Yuan, PRL 131 (2023) 4, 041802

The limits from Rh and jet charge asymmetry are not depending on the assumption of the Higgs width

对撞机物理前沿进展 横向极化效应

Spin effects and New Physics



Xu Li, Bin Yan, C.-P. Yuan, arxiv: 2405.04069

Spin effects in QCD





> Nucleon structure: FFs



> UPCs





108
QCD Spin effects and New physics

What type of new physics would exhibit sensitivity to the effects of QCD spin?





$$-\mu_{e}\frac{\vec{S}}{\left|\vec{S}\right|}\cdot\vec{B} \iff e\left(\vec{e}\gamma_{\mu}e\right)A^{\mu}+a_{e}\frac{e}{4m_{e}}\left(\vec{e}\sigma_{\mu\nu}e\right)F^{\mu\nu}$$
$$-d_{e}\frac{\vec{S}}{\left|\vec{S}\right|}\cdot\vec{E} \iff +d_{e}\frac{i}{2}\left(\vec{e}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_{5}e\right)F^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mu_{e}=g_{e}\frac{e}{2m_{e}} \text{ and } (g_{e}-2)=2a_{e}$$

New physics and Dipole Operator

Magnetic dipole moments: probing the internal structures of particles

Elementary particle: Electron: g/2=1.001159...Muon: g/2=1.0011659...

□ Composite particle: Proton: g/2=2.7928444.. Neutron: g/2=-1.91394308..



Quarks: any internal structures?



From MDM and EDM to weak dipole moments?

 $ar{\ell}\,\sigma^{\mu
u}e au^Iarphi W^I_{\mu
u}\,,ar{\ell}\,\sigma^{\mu
u}earphi B_{\mu
u}$









Example: Electroweak Dipole Operator

Single-Parameter-Analysis: EW dipole couplings are poorly constrained by Drell-Yan data



> It is difficult to probe the electroweak dipole interactions at colliders

Electroweak dipole moments of leptons

Transversely polarized effect of beams @ lepton collider
The interference between the different helicity states

 $oldsymbol{s} = (b_1, b_2, \lambda) = (b_{\mathrm{T}} \cos \phi_0, b_{\mathrm{T}} \sin \phi_0, \lambda)$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{s} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \lambda & b_{\mathrm{T}} e^{-i\phi_0} \\ b_{\mathrm{T}} e^{i\phi_0} & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

 $M \propto e^{i(\alpha 1 - \alpha 2)\phi} d(\theta)$

Xin-Kai Wen, Bin Yan, Zhite Yu, C.-P. Yuan, PRL 131 (2023) 241801





$$ar{e}_L \sigma_{\mu
u} e_R A^{\mu
u}, ar{e}_L \sigma_{\mu
u} e_R Z^{\mu
u}$$

	U	L	T
U	$ \mathcal{M} ^2_{UU} \to 1$	$ \mathcal{M} _{UL}^2 \to 1$	$ \mathcal{M} _{UT}^2 o \cos \phi, \sin \phi$
L	$ \mathcal{M} _{LU}^2 \to 1$	$ \mathcal{M} _{LL}^2 \to 1$	$ \mathcal{M} _{LT}^2 o \cos \phi, \sin \phi$
T	$ \mathcal{M} _{TU}^2 o \cos \phi, \sin \phi$	$ \mathcal{M} _{TL}^2 o \cos \phi, \sin \phi$	$ \mathcal{M} _{TT}^2 \to 1, \cos 2\phi, \sin 2\phi$

Breaking the rotational invariance & A nontrivial azimuthal behavior

Electroweak dipole moments of leptons



- \succ Linearly dependent on the dipole couplings C_{dipole} and spin b_T
- Without depending on other NP operators

Single Transverse Spin Asymmetries

$$A_{LR}^{i} = \frac{\sigma^{i}(\cos\phi > 0) - \sigma^{i}(\cos\phi < 0)}{\sigma^{i}(\cos\phi > 0) + \sigma^{i}(\cos\phi < 0)} = \frac{2}{\pi}A_{R}^{i}$$

$$\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}, \mathcal{L} = 5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$$
 $(b_T, \bar{b}_T) = (0.8, 0.3)$



CP-conserved dipole operator

$$A^i_{UD} = \frac{\sigma^i(\sin\phi > 0) - \sigma^i(\sin\phi < 0)}{\sigma^i(\sin\phi > 0) + \sigma^i(\sin\phi < 0)} = \frac{2}{\pi}A^i_I,$$

Xin-Kai Wen, Bin Yan, Zhite Yu, C.-P. Yuan,

PRL 131 (2023) 241801



CP-violated dipole operator

- Our bounds are much stronger than other approaches by 1~2 orders of magnitude
- ➢ Weak dipole coupling, SSA: 0.01%, LHC: 1%

Electroweak dipole moments of quarks

> The quark can not be a free particle due to the QCD confinement



➤ How to probe the spin information of quarks?

The non-perturbative functions, i.e., the parton distirbuion functions and the fragmentation functions

Transverse spin effects of quark @ EIC

Quark Spin

Quark dipole operators

R. Boughezal, D. Florian, F. Petriello, W. Vogelsang, PRD 107 (2023) 7, 075028 Hao-Lin Wang, Xin-Kai Wen, Hongxi Xing, Bin Yan, PRD 109 (2024) 095025

Leading Quark TMDPDFs

		Quark Polarization			
		Un-Polarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)	
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1 = \underbrace{\bullet}_{\text{Unpolarized}}$		$h_1^\perp = \bigcirc - \bigcirc$ Boer-Mulders	
	L		$g_1 = \underbrace{\bullet \bullet}_{\text{Helicity}} - \underbrace{\bullet \bullet}_{\text{Helicity}}$	$h_{1L}^{\perp} = \underbrace{ \checkmark}_{\text{Worm-gear}} - \underbrace{ \checkmark}_{\text{Worm-gear}} $	
	т	$f_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\bullet}^{\uparrow} - \underbrace{\bullet}_{Sivers}$	$g_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\stackrel{\uparrow}{\bullet \bullet}}_{\text{Worm-gear}} - \underbrace{\stackrel{\uparrow}{\bullet \bullet}}_{\text{Worm-gear}}$	$h_1 = \underbrace{\stackrel{\uparrow}{\blacktriangleright} - \stackrel{\uparrow}{\uparrow}}_{\text{Transversity}} h_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\stackrel{\uparrow}{\checkmark} - \underbrace{\stackrel{\uparrow}{\checkmark}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}} h_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\stackrel{\uparrow}{\checkmark} - \underbrace{\stackrel{\uparrow}{\checkmark}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}} h_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\checkmark} - \underbrace{\stackrel{\uparrow}{\checkmark}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}} h_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\frown} - \underbrace{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\frown}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}} h_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\frown} - \underbrace{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\frown}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}} h_{1T}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\frown}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}}_{\text{Pretzelosity}_{\text{Pretzelosity}_{$	

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{uW} &= (\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}u)\tau^{I}\varphi W^{I}_{\mu\nu},\\ \mathcal{O}_{uB} &= (\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}u)\varphi B_{\mu\nu},\\ \mathcal{O}_{dW} &= (\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}d)\tau^{I}\varphi W^{I}_{\mu\nu},\\ \mathcal{O}_{dB} &= (\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}d)\varphi B_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$



> The transversity is difficult to be constrained: chiral-odd

$$A_{UT} = \frac{\sigma\left(e^{U}p^{\uparrow}\right) - \sigma\left(e^{U}p^{\downarrow}\right)}{\sigma\left(e^{U}p^{\uparrow}\right) + \sigma\left(e^{U}p^{\downarrow}\right)}$$

- □ Collins Azimuthal Asymmetries in SIDIS, Collins function
- □ Low energy Drell-Yan process
- □ Dihadron production in SIDIS, Interference dihadron fragmentation

→ Nucleon Spin

Kang, Prokudin, Sun, Yuan, PRD 93 (2016) 014009; Zeng, Dong, Liu, Sun, Zhao, PRD 109 (2024) 056002; JAM Collaboration, PRD 106 (2022) 034014

Transverse spin effects of quark @ EIC

> The transverse spin of quarks can be generated by the quark dipole moments



> The interference dihadron fragmentation function: chiral-odd

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dx\,dy\,dz\,dM_h\,d\phi_R} &= \frac{N}{2\pi} \sum_q f_q(x,Q) \big[D_{h_1h_2/q}(z,M_h;Q) \\ &- (\boldsymbol{s}_{T,q}(x,Q) \times \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_T)^z H_{h_1h_2/q}(z,M_h;Q) \big] C_q(x,Q) \\ s_q^x &= \frac{2}{C_q} \left(w_\gamma^q \operatorname{Re} \Gamma_\gamma^q + w_Z^q \operatorname{Re} \Gamma_Z^q \right) \qquad (\boldsymbol{s}_{T,q} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_T)^z = s_q^x \sin \phi_R - s_q^y \cos \phi_R \\ s_q^y &= \frac{2}{C_q} \left(w_\gamma^q \operatorname{Im} \Gamma_\gamma^q + w_Z^q \operatorname{Im} \Gamma_Z^q \right) \qquad \text{Xin-Kai Wen, Bin Yan, Zhite Yu, C.-P. Yuan, 2408.07255} \end{aligned}$$

$\pi^+\pi^-$ Dihadron fragmentation functions



JAM Collaboration, PRL 132 (2024) 091901, PRD 109 (2024) 034024

$\pi^+\pi^-$ Dihadron fragmentation functions



JAM Collaboration, PRL 132 (2024) 091901, PRD 109 (2024) 034024

Transverse spin effects of quark @ EIC

Xin-Kai Wen, Bin Yan, Zhite Yu, C.-P. Yuan, 2408.07255

The non-trivial azimuthal distribution requires parity-violation effects:

- □ the longitudinal polarization of the electron
- □ the parity-violating Z interactions

$$(\boldsymbol{s}_{T,q} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_T)^z = s_q^x \sin \phi_R - s_q^y \cos \phi_R$$

$$A_{LR} = \frac{\sigma(\cos\phi_R > 0) - \sigma(\cos\phi_R < 0)}{\sigma(\cos\phi_R > 0) + \sigma(\cos\phi_R < 0)} = \frac{2}{\pi}A_I$$





$$\sqrt{s}=105~{
m GeV}, \mathcal{L}=1~{
m ab}^{-1}$$

Photon dipole: O(0.01)Z-boson dipole: O(0.1)

The flat direction in dipole couplings? **120**

Transverse spin effects of quark @ CEPC

Xin-Kai Wen, Bin Yan, Zhite Yu, C.-P. Yuan, 24011.13845

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy \, dz \, d\bar{z} \, dM_h \, d\phi_R} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2 s} \sum_{q, q \to \bar{q}} C_q(y) \, D_{\bar{q}}^{h'}(\bar{z}) \\ \times \left[D_q^{h_1 h_2}(z, M_h) - (\boldsymbol{s}_{T,q}(y) \times \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_T)^z H_q^{h_1 h_2}(z, M_h) \right]$$

$$s_q^x = \frac{2}{C_q} \left(w_\gamma^q \operatorname{Re} \Gamma_\gamma^q + w_Z^q \operatorname{Re} \Gamma_Z^q \right)$$
$$s_q^y = \frac{2}{C_q} \left(w_\gamma^q \operatorname{Im} \Gamma_\gamma^q + w_Z^q \operatorname{Im} \Gamma_Z^q \right)$$



 $ar{q}_L \sigma_{\mu
u} q_R A^{\mu
u}, ar{q}_L \sigma_{\mu
u} q_R Z^{\mu
u}$

Isospin and charge conjugation symmetries:

$$D_{u}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = D_{d}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}}, \quad H_{u}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = -H_{d}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}}, \quad H_{s,\bar{s},c,\bar{c},b,\bar{b}}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = 0$$
$$D_{q}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = D_{\bar{q}}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}}, \quad H_{q}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = -H_{\bar{q}}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}}$$

Transverse spin effects of quark @ CEPC

Xin-Kai Wen, Bin Yan, Zhite Yu, C.-P. Yuan, 24011.13845

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz\,d\bar{z}\,dM_h\,d\phi_R} = \frac{B^0 - B^x \sin\phi_R + B^y \cos\phi_R}{32\pi^2 s}$$

 $B^{0} = \sum_{q} \langle C_{q} \rangle D_{q}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} \left(D_{q}^{h'} + D_{\bar{q}}^{h'} \right) \qquad B^{i} = H_{u}^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} \left[\left\langle S_{u}^{i} \right\rangle \left(D_{\bar{u}}^{h'} - D_{u}^{h'} \right) - \left\langle S_{d}^{i} \right\rangle \left(D_{\bar{d}}^{h'} - D_{d}^{h'} \right) \right]$



frap

$$ar{q}_L \sigma_{\mu
u} q_R A^{\mu
u}, ar{q}_L \sigma_{\mu
u} q_R Z^{\mu
u}
onumber \ \mathcal{L} = 1 ext{ ab}^{-1}$$

- The flat direction can be closed by combing more processes
- **D** Photon dipole: O(0.01)
- **Z**-boson dipole: O(0.001)

总结

- ▶粒子物理研究物质最深层次的结构和最基本的相互作用
- >当前粒子物理最成功的理论是粒子物理标准模型
- ▶粒子物理目前仍然面临众多挑战

暗物质的性质

中微子的质量起源

宇宙中观测到的正反物质不对称性

电弱对称性的自发破缺机制

▶标准模型电弱精确检验、顶夸克和希格斯物理等将是寻找 超出标准模型新物理的重要探针