







Lattice QCD studies on charmonium-like states around 3.9 GeV

Ying Chen

Division of Theoretical Physics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Jun 21, 2024, HAPOF no.99, Beijing

Outline

- I. Introduction
- II. Methodology for hadron-hadron scattering
- III. *X*(3872) and $\chi_{c1}(2P)$
- IV. $(0, 2)^{++}$ Charmonium-like resonance
- **VI.** Summary and perspectives

I. Introduction

1. Charmonium(-like) states from experiments vs. Quark Model predictions



2. Experimental results of $(0, 1, 2)^{++}$ charmonium-like states (PDG 2024)

- X(3872) (aka $\chi_{c1}(3872)$): $I^{G}J^{PC} = 0^{+}1^{++}, m_{X} = 3871.64 \pm 0.06 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma_{x} = 1.19 \pm 0.21 \text{ MeV}$ X(3872) decay channels: $D^{0}\overline{D}^{0*}$ (34(12)%), $J/\psi\omega$ (4.3(1.2)%), $\gamma J/\psi$ (0.8(3)%), $\gamma \psi(2S)$ [4.1(1.4)% (PDG2022)] Isospin violating: $J/\psi\rho$ ($J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}, 3.5(9)\%$), $\pi^{0}\chi_{c1}$ ((3.1^{+1.5}_{-1.3})%)
- X(3860) (aka $\chi_{c0}(3860)$) $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+ 0^{++}$ (preferred), $m_X = 3860^{+26+40}_{-32-13}$ MeV, $\Gamma_x = 201^{+154+88}_{-67-82}$ MeV X(3860) decay channels: $D^0 \overline{D}^0$, $D^+ D^-$ (seen by Belle (2017), not seen by LHCb (2020))
- X(3915) (aka $\chi_{c0}(3915)$): $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+ 0^{++}$, $m_X = 3922.1 \pm 1.8$ MeV, $\Gamma_X = 20 \pm 4$ MeV X(3915) decay channels: $D^+ D^-$, $D_s^+ D_s^-$, $\omega J/\psi$, $\gamma\gamma$ $\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-) = 0.29 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.08$
- $\chi_{c2}(3930)$: $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+ 2^{++}$, $m_X = 3922.5 \pm 1.0$ MeV, $\Gamma_{\chi_{c2}} = 35.2 \pm 2.2$ MeV X(3915) decay channels: $D^+ D^-$, $D^0 \overline{D}^0$, $\gamma \gamma$ $\Gamma(D^+ D^-) / \Gamma(D^0 \overline{D}^0) = 0.74 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.16$
- X(3940) : $I^G J^{PC} = ????$, $m_X = 3942^{+7}_{-6} \pm 6$ MeV, $\Gamma_x = 37^{+26}_{-15} \pm 8$ MeV X(3940) decay channels: $D\overline{D}^*$ ($e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$, Belle 2007, 2008)

3. Formalism of Lattice QCD

• Path integral quantization on finite Euclidean spacetime lattices



- Very similar to a statistical physics system
- Monte Carlo simulation——importance sampling according to $\mathcal{P}[U] \propto \det M[U] e^{-S_g[U]}$

Gauge ensemble: $\{U_i(\text{spacetime}), i = 1, ..., N\} \implies \langle \widehat{\mathcal{O}}[U, \psi, \overline{\psi}] \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathcal{O}[U_i] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right)$

4. Spectrum of charmonium-like states from lattice QCD (only $c\overline{c}(g)$ operators)



Courtesy to S. Prelovsek

II. Lattice Methodology for Hadron-hadron Scattering

1. State-of-art Approach——Lüscher's formalism

(see R. Briceno et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (2018) 025001 for a review).

 $\det\left[F^{-1}\left(\overrightarrow{P}, E, L\right) + \mathcal{M}(E)\right] = \mathbf{0}$

 $E_n(L)$: Eigen-energies of lattice Hamiltonian.

- Interpolation field operator set for a given J^{PC} $\mathcal{O}_i: \ \overline{q}_1 \Gamma q_2 \ [\overline{q}_1 \Gamma_1 q] [\overline{q} \Gamma_2 q_2] \ [q_1^T \Gamma_1 q] [\overline{q} \Gamma_2 \overline{q}_2^T], \dots$
- Correlation function matrix —— Observables

 $C_{ij}(t) \&= \left\langle \Omega \middle| \mathcal{O}_i(t) \mathcal{O}_j^+(0) \middle| \Omega \right\rangle$ $= \sum_n \langle \Omega \middle| \mathcal{O}_i \middle| n \rangle \left\langle n \middle| \mathcal{O}_j^+ \middle| \Omega \right\rangle e^{-E_n t}$

All the energy levels $E_n(L)$ are discretized.

 $F\left(\vec{P}, E, L\right)$: Mathematically known function matrix in the channel space (the explicit expression omitted

$$\mathcal{L} \underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{L} \underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathcal{R}} \equiv -\mathcal{L}(P) \ F(P,L) \ \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(P)$$

 $\mathcal{M}(E)$: Scattering matrix.

Unitarity requires

$$\mathcal{M}_{ab}^{-1} = (\mathcal{K}^{-1})_{ab} - i\delta_{ab} \frac{2q_a^*}{E_{cm}}$$

- *K* is a real function of *s* for real energies above kinematic threshold.
- The pole singularities of *M(s)* in the complex *s*-plane correspond to bound states, virtual states, resonances, etc..



Comparison of the hadron spectra



2. Present status of lattice QCD study on hadron spectroscopy

Lattice : Discretized Reel World - Continuum Endidean Spacetime lattice Minkowski Spacetime hadron ground state hadron ground state Direct hadron resonance Lüscher Formula Discretized Energy levels Eigenstates of A of QCD on Euclidean Spacetine latti ce hadron resonance (coupled channel effects. mixing... -10

J^{PC}	hadron-hadron channels below 4.1 GeV
0^{-+}	$\eta_{c}f_{0}, \chi_{c0}\eta \{ {}^{1}S_{0} \}; \psi\omega, D\bar{D}^{*}, D^{*}\bar{D}^{*} \{ {}^{3}P_{0} \}; \chi_{c2}\eta\{ {}^{5}D_{0} \};$
0^{++}	$[\eta_c \eta, D\bar{D}, \eta_c \eta', D_s \bar{D}_s, \psi\omega, D^*\bar{D}^*, \psi\phi \{ {}^1S_0 \}; \chi_{c1}\eta \{ {}^3P_0 \}; \psi\omega, D^*\bar{D}^*, \psi\phi \{ {}^5D_0 \};$
1^{-+}	$\eta_{c}\eta, \eta_{c}\eta', \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{1}P_{1}\}; \psi\omega, D\bar{D}^{*}, D^{*}\bar{D}^{*}, \psi\phi \{{}^{3}P_{1}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{1}\}; \chi_{c1}\eta \{{}^{3}S_{1}\}; \chi_{c1}\eta \{{}^{3}D_{1}\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}D_{1}\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}D_{1}\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}D_{2}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \chi_{c1}\eta \{{}^{3}D_{2}\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}D_{2}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \chi_{c1}\eta \{{}^{3}D_{2}\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}D_{2}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \chi_{c1}\eta \{{}^{3}D_{2}\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}D_{2}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}D_{2}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}D_{2}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{2}\}; \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\psi, \psi\psi$
1^{++}	$\psi\omega, \ D\bar{D}^* \ \{{}^{3}\!S_1\}; \ \psi\omega, \ D\bar{D}^* \ \{{}^{3}\!D_1\}; \ \psi\omega, \ D^*\bar{D}^* \ \{{}^{5}\!D_1\}; \ \chi_{c0}\eta, \ \eta_cf_0 \ \{{}^{1}\!P_1\}; \ \chi_{c1}\eta \ \{{}^{3}\!P_1\}; \ \chi_{c2}\eta \ \{{}^{5}\!P_1\};$
2^{-+}	$\psi\omega, \ D\bar{D}^*, \ D_s\bar{D}^*_s, \ \psi\phi \ \{{}^{3}\!P_2\}; \ \chi_{c2}\eta \ \{{}^{5}\!S_2\} \ ; \ \chi_{c1}\eta \ \{{}^{3}\!D_2\}; \ \chi_{c2}\eta \ \{{}^{5}\!D_2\}; \ \eta_cf_0 \ \{{}^{1}\!D_2\}$
2^{++}	$\psi\omega, D^*\bar{D}^*, \psi\phi, D_s^*\bar{D}_s^* \{ {}^5\!S_2 \}; \eta_c\eta, D\bar{D}, \eta_c\eta', D_s\bar{D}_s, \psi\omega, D^*\bar{D}^*, \psi\phi \{ {}^1\!D_2 \};$
	$\psi\omega, D\bar{D}^*, D_s\bar{D}_s^*, D^*\bar{D}^*, \psi\phi \{{}^{3}D_2\}; \chi_{c1}\eta \{{}^{3}P_2\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}P_2\};$
3^{-+}	$\psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}P_{3}\}; \chi_{c1}\eta \{{}^{3}D_{3}\}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{{}^{5}D_{3}\}; \eta_{c}\eta, \eta_{c}\eta', \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{1}F_{3}\}; \psi\omega, D\bar{D}^{*}, D^{*}\bar{D}^{*}, \psi\phi \{{}^{3}F_{3}\}; \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}F_{3}\}; \psi\psi, \psi\phi \{{}^{5}F_{3}$
3^{++}	$D\bar{D}^*, D_s\bar{D}^*_s, \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{ {}^{3}D_{3} \}; D^*\bar{D}^*, D^*_s\bar{D}^*_s, \psi\omega, \psi\phi \{ {}^{5}D_{3} \};$
	$\eta_{c}f_{0} \{ {}^{1}F_{3} \}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{ {}^{5}P_{3} \}; \chi_{c0}\eta \{ {}^{1}F_{3} \}; \chi_{c1}\eta \{ {}^{3}F_{3} \}; \chi_{c2}\eta \{ {}^{5}F_{3} \};$
4^{-+}	$\psi\omega, \ D\bar{D}^*, \ D^*\bar{D}^*, \ D_s\bar{D}_s^* \ \{{}^3\!F_4\};$
4^{++}	$\psi\omega, D^*\bar{D}^*, \psi\phi, D_s^*\bar{D}_s^* \{{}^5\!D_4\}; \chi_{c1}\eta\{{}^3\!F_4\}; \chi_{c2}\eta\{{}^5\!F_4\};$

III. X(3872) and $\chi_{c1}(2P)$ H. Li, C. Shi, YC et al, arXiv: 2402.14541(hep-lat)

1. Our lattice setup

- Anisotropic lattice ($\xi = \frac{a_s}{a_t} \approx 5$): heavy particles (J/ψ) involved, compromise of the resolution in the time direction and the computational expenses.
- Lattice actions: Tadpole improved Symanzik's gauge action (C. Morningstar, PRD60(1999)034509) Tadpole impoved Clover action for $N_f = 2$ degenerate u, d sea quarks and also the valence charm quark.

ens.	m_{π}	a_t^{-1}	$N_{ m cfg}$	$N_V^{(1)}$	$N_V^{(c)}$	$m_{\chi_{c1}}$	m_D	m_{D^*}	$E_{D\bar{D}^*}^{q=0}$	$E_D^{q=1}$	$E_{D^*}^{q=1}$	$E_{D\bar{D}^*}^{q=1}$
	(MeV)					(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)
M245	250(3)	7.276	401	70	120	3489(3)	1873(1)	1985(2)	3858(3)	1958(2)	2064(4)	4022(5)
M305	307(2)	7.187	401	70	120	3496(2)	1881(1)	1990(2)	3871(3)	1962(2)	2070(2)	4032(4)
M360	362(1)	7.187	401	70	120	3502(2)	1884(1)	2003(2)	3888(2)	1970(1)	2084(3)	4054(4)
M415	417(1)	7.219	401	70	120	3509(2)	1896(1)	2017(1)	3913(2)	1978(1)	2094(2)	4072(3)

H. Li et al, arXiv: 2402.14541(hep-lat)

 Calculation of disconnected diagrams: distillation method (M. Peardon et al. (HSC), PRD80(2009)054506).

2. Lattice operators

• Flavor structure of $D\overline{D}^*$ with quantum numbers $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+ 1^{++}$

$$|D\bar{D}^*\rangle_{I=0}^{Q=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left(|D^+\bar{D}^{*-}\rangle + |D^0\bar{D}^{*0}\rangle - |\bar{D}^0D^{*0}\rangle - |D^-D^{*+}\rangle \right)$$

• Two-particle operators with a relative momentum \vec{q}

$$\mathcal{O}_{AB}^{q} = \frac{1}{N_{q}} \sum_{R \in O} \mathcal{O}_{A}(R \circ \mathbf{q}) \mathcal{O}_{B}(-R \circ \mathbf{q})$$

• Several spatially extended $c\bar{c}$ operators with the same quantum numbers

$$\mathcal{O}_{c\bar{c}}^{r}(t) = \frac{1}{N_{r}} \sum_{|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}|=r} \bar{c}(\mathbf{x},t) \gamma_{5} \gamma_{i} K_{U}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};t) c(\mathbf{y},t) \qquad K_{U}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};t) = \mathcal{P}e^{ig \int_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{r}}$$

• Our operator set for charmonium-like systems with $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+ 1^{++}$

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} | \alpha = 1, \cdots, 7\} = \{\mathcal{O}_{c\bar{c}}^{r=0}, \mathcal{O}_{c\bar{c}}^{r=1}, \mathcal{O}_{c\bar{c}}^{r=2}, \mathcal{O}_{D\bar{D}}^{\mathbf{q}=0,\gamma_{5}}, \mathcal{O}_{D\bar{D}}^{q=0,\gamma_{4}\gamma_{5}}, \mathcal{O}_{D\bar{D}}^{q=1}, \mathcal{O}_{J/\psi\omega}^{q=0}\}$$

• Finite volume energy levels can be obtained by solving the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$C_{ij}(t_1)v_j^{(n)}(t_1,t_0) = \lambda^{(n)}(t_1,t_0)C_{ij}(t_0)v_j^{(n)}(t_1,t_0)$$

3. Schematic quark diagrams for the correlation matrix $C_{ij}(t)$ after Wick's contraction



3. Effective energy plateaus from the GEVP analysis

- a) $c\overline{c} D\overline{D}^* J/\psi\omega$ operators.
- b) $c\overline{c} D\overline{D}^*$: black points (correspond to $J/\psi\omega$ state) disappear.
- c) $c\overline{c} J/\psi\omega$: Energy levels close to non-interacting $D\overline{D}^*$ energies disappear.
- d) $D\overline{D}^* J/\psi\omega$: Energy levels close to non-interacting $D\overline{D}^*$ and $J/\psi\omega$ states.
- e) $c\overline{c}$: Energy levels close to χ_{c1} states.
- f) In summary: In all the cases, $J/\psi\omega$ energy has no sizable changes w/o $c\bar{c}$ and $D\bar{D}^*$ operators. So $J/\psi\omega$ almost decouples from other states and is neglected from the discussion in this work.







Identify the energy levels:

- E_1 : around 3.5 GeV, should be χ_{c1} .
- E_2 : close but below the $D\overline{D}^*$ threshold.
- E_3 : far from and in middle of the noninteracting $D\overline{D}^*$ energies $E_{D\overline{D}^*}^{q=0}$ and $E_{D\overline{D}^*}^{q=1}$.
- E_4 : close but above $E_{D\overline{D}^*}^{q=1}$.

Operator couplings:

- E_1 : coupled most by $c\bar{c}$ operators.
- E_2 : coupled most by $\mathcal{O}_{D\overline{D}^*}^{q=0}$ and substantially by $c\overline{c}$ operators.
- E_3 : coupled substantially by $\mathcal{O}_{D\overline{D}^*}^{q=0}$, $\mathcal{O}_{D\overline{D}^*}^{q=1}$ and $c\overline{c}$ operators.
- E_4 : coupled most by $\mathcal{O}_{D\overline{D}^*}^{q=1}$ and a little by $\mathcal{O}_{D\overline{D}^*}^{q=0}$ and $c\overline{c}$ operators.

4. A bound state below $D\overline{D}^*$ threshold?

 Physical implication of *E*₂ and *E*₃ : (S. Prelovsek, PRL111(2013)192001)

Leuscher formula for *S*-wave scattering

 $p \cot \delta_0(p) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} L} Z_{00}(1; q^2), \qquad q^2 \equiv \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^2 p^2$ $E_n(p_n) = \sqrt{m_D^2 + p_n^2} + \sqrt{m_{D^*}^2 + p_n^2}$

Effective range expansion (ERE):

$$p \cot \delta_0(p) = \frac{1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{2}r_0p^2$$

Pole singularity of the scattering amplitude (in the infinite volume):

- Solving ERE with E_2 and E_3 , we can obtain the parameters (a_0, r_0)
- Using the derived (a_0, r_0) as the approximation in the $V \to \infty$ limit, then the pole equation gives the banding energy $E_B = E_{D\overline{D}^*}(p_B) (m_D + m_{D^*})$, where p_B satisfies $p_B \cot \delta_0(p_B) i p_B = 0$.



$$\mathcal{T} \propto \frac{1}{p \cot \delta_0(p) - ip}$$

	$m_{\pi}({ m MeV})$	250(3)	307(2)	362(1)	417(1)
E_4	$\Delta_4(\text{MeV}) = E_4 - E_{D\bar{D}^*}^{q=1}$	9.1(1.3)	8.9(1.2)	5.3(1.3)	12.8(1.3)
	$p^2(\text{GeV}^2)$	0.339(8)	0.335(6)	0.340(6)	0.342(4)
	$p \cot \delta_0(p) ({ m GeV})$	-2.02(66)	-2.35(65)	-2.76(89)	-1.79(28)
	δ_0	$(163.9^{+4.0}_{-7.3})^{\circ}$	$(166.1^{+3.0}_{-5.1})^{\circ}$	$(168.1^{+2.9}_{-5.5})^{\circ}$	$(161.9^{+7.4}_{-3.2})^{\circ}$
E_3	$\Delta_3(\text{MeV}) = E_3 - E_{D\bar{D}^*}^{q=0}$	70(3)	63(3)	80(3)	80(3)
	$p^2(\text{GeV}^2)$	0.135(5)	0.122(6)	0.158(6)	0.158(6)
	$p\cot{\delta_0(p)}({ m GeV})$	-0.054(19)	-0.097(19)	0.012(22)	0.026(24)
	δ_0	$(98.4^{+3.3}_{-3.6})^{\circ}$	$(105.4^{+3.0}_{-3.1})^{\circ}$	$(88.2^{+3.3}_{-3.3})^{\circ}$	$(86.2^{+4.0}_{-4.1})^{\circ}$
E_2	$\Delta_2({\rm MeV}) = E_2 - E_{D\bar{D}^*}^{q=0}$	-26.1(9)	-25.4(11)	-19.0(7)	-18.6(8)
	$p^2(\text{GeV}^2)$	-0.050(2)	-0.049(2)	-0.037(1)	-0.036(1)
	$p\cot \delta_0(p)({ m GeV})$	-0.154(9)(*)	-0.146(10)(*)	-0.063(11)(*)	-0.066(13)
	$(p_{ m lhc}^{1\pi})^2 ({ m GeV}^2)$	-0.0135(4)	-0.0210(4)	-0.0292(3)	-0.0400(3)
	$a_0 ~(\mathrm{fm})$	-1.55(10)(*)	-1.50(12)(*)	-4.03(91)(*)	-4.0(1.0)
	$r_0~({ m fm})$	0.211(30)(*)	0.113(34)(*)	0.153(34)(*)	0.187(38)
	$E_B \ ({ m MeV})$	$-9.7^{+2.1}_{-2.2}$ (*)	$-9.7^{+1.9}_{-2.0}$ (*)	$-1.3^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$ (*)	$-1.3^{+0.8}_{-1.0}$

- E_2 : The lattice energy is lower than the $D\overline{D}^*$ threshold by 20 MeV or even more.
- **a**₀: Large negative, implies a bound state.
- r_0 : Small positive, implies the compositeness $X \sim 1$ up to a $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ correction
 - (Y. Li et al., PRD105(2022)L071502).
- The bound state is predominantly a $D\overline{D}^*$ molecule.
- Maybe suffering from the Left Hand Cut (lhc) issue.

(M.-L. Du et al., PRL131(2023)131901, L. Meng et al., arXiv:2312.01930 [hep-lat])



$$G_{\pi}^{-1}(E, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) = \Delta M + \frac{p^2}{2\mu} - \frac{k^2 + {k'}^2}{2M_D} - \omega_{\pi}(q^2)$$



M.-L. Du et al., PRL131(2023)131901

FIG. 1. The cut structure in the DD^* system: (i) the blue dotted vertical lines (c_3) indicate the three-body right-hand cuts, (ii) the green dotted vertical line (c_2) shows the twobody cut, and (iii) the red dotted horizontal line (c_L) is for the left-hand cut. T and V denote the amplitude and interaction potential, respectively.

New singularities emerge in the on-shell partial-wave amplitudes at imaginary values of $k^2 = k'^2 = p^2 < 0$: $\left(p_{lhc}^{1\pi}\right)^2 \approx \frac{1}{4} \left[(\Delta M)^2 - m_{\pi}^2\right]$

A lhc introduces nonanalyticity to $p \cot \delta$ and sets the upper bound on the convergence radius of ERE ($p \cot \delta$) acquires an imaginary part for $p^2 < (p_{lhc}^{1\pi})^2$).

Stars: resonance poles. Dots: virtual states poles M.-L. Du et al., PRL131(2023)131901 Case studies on $T_{cc}^+(3872)$ relevant DD^* scattering. The data points are from lattice QCD calculation (M. Padmanath et al. PRL129(2022)032002)

L. Meng et al., arXiv:2312.01930 [hep-lat]

Similar to the discussion above. The difference is that, the lattice finite volume energy levels are used to fix the parameters in the EFT involved. Then prediction of the EFT (red curves) are compared with ERE with out OPE.







To summarize on the bound state:

- $m_{\pi} = 417 \text{ MeV}$: Free from the OPE lhc issue, a bound state exists in the $V \to \infty$ limit $E_B = -1.3^{+0.8}_{-1.0} MeV, \qquad X \approx 1 + O(p^2)$ This pole may correspond to X(3872), which is mainly a $D\overline{D}^*$ molecule.
- $m_{\pi} < 360 \text{ MeV}$: OPE lhc may have the effects on the existence and the pole position of a bound state.
- If the OPE lhc effects are similar to the case of $T_{cc}^+(3872)$ relevant scattering in that, ERE can give a ballpark description of the p^2 behavior of $p \cot \delta_0$, the singularity induced by OPE lhc permit the existence of a bound state, and result in a smaller binding energy.
- However, this conclusion may be debatable!

5. Question: Where is the expected $\chi_{c1}(2P)$

- a) Non-relativistic quark model expects $\chi_{c1}(2P)$ with a mass around 3.95 GeV.
- b) X(3872) is likely a $D\overline{D}^*$ molecule.
- c) There should be a state that has a large component of $\chi_{c1}(2P)$.
- d) It might appear as a resonance.
- e) The dynamics for the $D\overline{D}^*$ scattering in 0^+1^{++} channel



Multiplet	State	Expt.	Input (NR)	Th	eor.
				NR	GI
2P	$\chi_2(2^3\mathrm{P}_2)$			3972	3979
	$\chi_1(2^3\mathrm{P}_1)$			3925	3953
	$\chi_0(2^3\mathrm{P}_0)$			3852	3916
	$h_c(2^1\mathrm{P}_1)$			3934	3956

T. Barnes et al., PRD72(2005)054026



6. Problematic interpretation of the E_3 level in S. Prelovsek, PRL111(2013)192001

• Levinson' theorem:

 $\delta_l(0^+) - \delta_l(\infty) = n_l \pi, \quad \delta_l(\infty) = 0$ where n_l is the number of bound states in a NR scattering against a spherical potential.



- The authors in PRL111(2013)192001 claims:
 - ✓ If a bound state is formed below the $D\overline{D}^*$ threshold, The next energy level (E_3) correspond to a $D\overline{D}^*$ scattering state with the relative momentum $\vec{p} = 0$.
 - ✓ This state is pushed up due to the negative scattering length.
 - ✓ They did not pay enough attention to the E_4 energy level.



7. Our interpretation of the E_3 and E_4 levels







- For the case of this study: $n_0 = 1$, $n_b = 1$ when $E_{\pi\pi}(p) > m_{\chi_{c1}(2P)}$. The evolution of $\delta_0(p)$:
- Starts with $\delta_0(0^+) = \pi$;
- Goes down rapidly when p increases;
- ✓ Goes up when $E_{D\bar{D}^*}(p)$ approaching $m_{\chi_{c1}(2P)}$;
- ✓ Goes up to $\delta_0(p) \approx \pi$ when passing $m_{\chi_{c1}(2P)}$.

		250(2)	207(2)	960/1)	417(1)
	$m_{\pi}(\text{MeV})$	250(3)	307(2)	362(1)	417(1)
E_4	$\Delta_4(\mathrm{MeV}) = E_4 - E_{D\bar{D}^*}^{q=1}$	9.1(1.3)	8.9(1.2)	5.3(1.3)	12.8(1.3)
	$p^2 ({ m GeV}^2)$	0.339(8)	0.335(6)	0.340(6)	0.342(4)
	$p\cot{\delta_0(p)}({ m GeV})$	-2.02(66)	-2.35(65)	-2.76(89)	-1.79(28)
	δ_0	$(163.9^{+4.0}_{-7.3})^{\circ}$	$(166.1^{+3.0}_{-5.1})^{\circ}$	$(168.1^{+2.9}_{-5.5})^{\circ}$	$(161.9^{+7.4}_{-3.2})^{\circ}$
E_3	$\Delta_3({\rm MeV}) = E_3 - E_{D\bar{D}^*}^{q=0}$	70(3)	63(3)	80(3)	80(3)
	$p^2 ({ m GeV}^2)$	0.135(5)	0.122(6)	0.158(6)	0.158(6)
	$p\cot{\delta_0(p)}({ m GeV})$	-0.054(19)	-0.097(19)	0.012(22)	0.026(24)
	δ_0	$(98.4^{+3.3}_{-3.6})^{\circ}$	$(105.4^{+3.0}_{-3.1})^{\circ}$	$(88.2^{+3.3}_{-3.3})^{\circ}$	$(86.2^{+4.0}_{-4.1})^{\circ}$
E_2	$\Delta_2(\text{MeV}) = E_2 - E_{D\bar{D}^*}^{q=0}$	-26.1(9)	-25.4(11)	-19.0(7)	-18.6(8)
	$p^2({ m GeV}^2)$	-0.050(2)	-0.049(2)	-0.037(1)	-0.036(1)
	$p \cot \delta_0(p) ({ m GeV})$	-0.154(9)(*)	-0.146(10)(*)	-0.063(11)(*)	-0.066(13)
	$(p_{ m lhc}^{1\pi})^2 ({ m GeV}^2)$	-0.0135(4)	-0.0210(4)	-0.0292(3)	-0.0400(3)
	$a_0 ~({\rm fm})$	-1.55(10)(*)	-1.50(12)(*)	-4.03(91)(*)	-4.0(1.0)
	$r_0 ~({ m fm})$	0.211(30)(*)	0.113(34)(*)	0.153(34)(*)	0.187(38)
	$E_B (MeV)$	$-9.7^{+2.1}_{-2.2}$ (*)	$-9.7^{+1.9}_{-2.0}$ (*)	$-1.3^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$ (*)	$-1.3^{+0.8}_{-1.0}$

- E_3 : Gives a scattering phase around $\delta(E_3) \sim 90^\circ$;
- E_4 : Gives a scattering phase close to $\delta(E_4) \sim 180^\circ$.
- Exactly as the expectation of the generalized Levinson's theorem.
- Hint at the existence of a resonance.

8. The possible existence of a resonance below 4.0 GeV

• Breit-Wigner ansatz for a resonance :

$$T \approx \frac{1}{\cot \delta_0 - i} \sim \frac{1}{(m_R - E) - \frac{i\Gamma_R}{2}}$$

• Resonance parameters derived through $\delta_0(E) = \arctan\left(\frac{\Gamma_R}{2(m_R - E)}\right)$ by using E and E

by using E_3 and E_4 .

- Caution: The parameters (m_R, Γ_R) may change, since they are derived from only two energy levels.
- Only one experimental observation X(3940):

 $m_X = 3942(9) \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_X = 37^{+27}_{-17} \text{ MeV}$ (Belle, PRL98(2007)082001; PRL100(2008)202001)



$m_{\pi}(\text{MeV})$	250(3)	307(2)	362(1)	417(1)
$m_R({\rm MeV})$	3924(5)	3926(6)	3969(4)	3995(4)
$\Gamma_R(MeV)$	63(23)	57(18)	37(13)	57(10)

9. A joint analysis on E_2 , E_3 , E_4 (ignoring the lhc issue tentatively)





 $\delta_l(\mathbf{0}^+) - \delta_l(p_{max}) = (n_l - n_b)\pi$

Intrinsic attractive $D\overline{D}^*$

Intrinsic respulsive $D\overline{D}^*$



J.-Z. Wang et al., arXiv:2404.16575[hep-ph]

10. Related phenomenological studies

• Considering the mixing between $D\overline{D}^*$ and $\chi_{c1}(2P)$.

m_R (MeV)	3910-3925	3995	3990	3958
$\Gamma_{\!R}$ (MeV)	5 - 70	72	~ 60	~17
Ref.	E. Cincioglu et al., EPJC76(2016)576	F. Giacosa et al., IJMPA34(2019)1950173	Q. Deng et al., 2312.10296	G.J. Wang et al., 2306.12406



Spectral function:

$$\omega(M) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma}{(M - m_R)^2 + \Gamma^2/4}$$

IV. $((0, 2)^{++}$ charmonium-like resonance around 3.9 GeV

HSC Collab., PRD 109 (2024) 114503 (arXiv:2309.14071 [hep-lat]); PRL 132 (2024) 241901 (arXiv:2309.14070 [hep-lat]).

1. Lattice setup $-N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD

HSC has established a very sophisticated numerical framework to study the hadronhadron scatterings based on Lüscher's formalism

L/a_s	$ T/a_t $	$N_{\rm cfg}$	$N_{\rm vec}$	$N_{\rm tsrcs}$	L/fm	$m_{\pi}L$
16	128	478	64	8-16	1.9	3.8
20	256	288	128	4-8	2.4	4.8
24	128	553	160	2-4	2.9	5.7

$\det\left[F^{-1}\left(\overrightarrow{P},E,L\right)+\mathcal{M}(E)\right]=0,$					\mathcal{M}_{ab}^{-1}	$= (\mathcal{K}^{-1})$	¹) _{ab} – i	$\delta_{ab} \frac{2q_a^*}{E_{cm}}$	<u>!</u> 1	
Meson mass / MeV	π	K	η	D	D_s	D^{*}	η_c	J/ψ	χ_{c0}	χ_{c2}
this calc.	391	550	587	1886	1951	2010	2965	3044	3423(3)	3519(2)
expt.	140	494	548	1865	1969	2007	2984	3097	3415	3556

• Focus on 0^{++} and 2^{++} states around 3.9 GeV



The energy levels obtained from only the $c\overline{c}$ -like operators.

Finite volume energy levels in the rest frame of the system



Finite volume energy levels in moving frames of the system



Finite volume energy levels in moving frames of the system



36

Finite volume energy levels vs. the J^{PC} systems in the continuum



• Parameterizations of coupled-channel partial-wave *t* – matrices respect the unitarity.

$$K_{ij} = \sum_{p} \frac{g_i^{(p)} g_j^{(p)}}{m_p^2 - s} + \sum_{a} \gamma_{ij}^{(a)} s^a, \qquad [t^{-1}]_{ij} = (2k_i)^{-l_i} [K^{-1}]_{ij} (2k_j)^{-l_j} + I_{ij}$$

The matrix I_{ij} is diagonal and has imaginary part Im $I_{ij} = -\rho_i = -\frac{2\kappa_i}{\sqrt{s}}$

2. 0⁺⁺ channel

- Channels considered: $\eta_c \eta, D\overline{D}, D_s \overline{D}_s, \eta_c \eta', \psi \omega, D^* \overline{D}^*, \psi \phi$
- Both rest and moving frames are considered
- K-matrix parameterization and results

 $K_{ij} = \frac{g_i g_j}{m^2 - s} + \gamma_{ij}$



• Parameters of the K matrix fitted from 90 energy levels up to $\psi\phi$ threshold.

Channel couplings g_{AB} in the *K*-matrix

 $a_t m = (0.7065 \pm 0.0015 \pm 0.0004)$ $a_t g_{D\bar{D}\{1S_0\}} = (0.1174 \pm 0.0226 \pm 0.0039)$ $a_t g_{D_s \bar{D}_s \{ {}^{1}S_0 \}} = (0.189 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.026)$ $a_t g_{\psi \omega \{{}^1S_0\}} = (-0.127 \pm 0.069 \pm 0.230)$ $a_t g_{D^* \bar{D}^* \{ {}^{1}S_0 \}} = (0.330 \pm 0.095 \pm 0.023)$ $\gamma_{\eta_c \eta_1^{1} S_0} \rightarrow \eta_c \eta_1^{1} S_0} = (0.144 \pm 0.097 \pm 0.038)$ $\gamma_{D\bar{D}\{{}^{1}S_{0}\}\to D_{s}\bar{D}_{s}\{{}^{1}S_{0}\}} = (-0.974 \pm 0.301 \pm 0.027)$ $\gamma_{\eta_c \eta' \{ {}^{1}S_0 \} \to \eta_c \eta' \{ {}^{1}S_0 \}} = (2.55 \pm 1.03 \pm 0.73)$ $\gamma_{\psi\phi\{{}^{1}S_{0}\}\to\psi\phi\{{}^{1}S_{0}\}} = (1.36 \pm 0.90 \pm 0.26)$ $\gamma_{\psi\omega}{}^{5}_{D_4} \rightarrow \psi\omega}{}^{5}_{D_4}} = (162 \pm 254 \pm 43) \cdot a_t^8$ $\chi^2/N_{\rm d.o.f.} = \frac{91.0}{90-10-16} = 1.42,$

Pole couplings *c*_{*AB*} in the *T*-matrix

 $t_{ij}(s \approx s_0) \sim \frac{c_i c_j}{s_0 - s}$ $a_t |c_{\eta_c \eta\{{}^1S_0\}}| \approx 0$ $a_t |c_{D\bar{D}\{{}^1S_0\}}| = 0.093(28)$ $a_t |c_{\eta_c \eta' \{ {}^1S_0 \}}| \approx 0$ $a_t |c_{D_s \bar{D}_s \{ {}^1S_0 \}}| = 0.128(56)$ $a_t |c_{\psi \omega \{ {}^1S_0 \}}| = 0.083(83)$ $a_t |c_{D^* \bar{D}^* \{ {}^1S_0 \}}| = 0.227(97)$ $a_t |c_{\psi\phi\{{}^1S_0\}}| \approx 0,$

No significant couplings to closed charm channels.

• There is only one pole between $\psi \omega$ and $D^* \overline{D}^*$ threshold.



3. 2⁺⁺ channel

- Channels considered: $\eta_c \eta, D\overline{D}, D\overline{D}^*, D_s\overline{D}_s, \psi\omega, D^*\overline{D}^*, \psi\phi$
- K-matrix parameterization and results: $K_{ij} = \frac{g_i g_j}{m^2 s} + \sum_a s^a \gamma^a_{ij}$

 $a_t m = (0.7025 \pm 0.0012 \pm 0.0007)$ $g_{D\bar{D}^*\{{}^{3}\!D_2\}} = (-37.9 \pm 5.0 \pm 3.94) \cdot a_t$ $g_{D_s \bar{D}_s \{ {}^1D_2 \}} = (-3.3 \pm 4.3 \pm 2.5) \cdot a_t$ $g_{D^*\bar{D}^*\{{}^1S_2\}} = (1.58 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.22) \cdot a_t^{-1}$ $\gamma_{\eta_c \eta\{ {}^{1}\!D_2\} \to \eta_c \eta\{ {}^{1}\!D_2\}} = (16.3 \pm 23.1 \pm 7.5) \cdot a_t^4$ $\gamma_{D\bar{D}\{1D_2\}\to D_s\bar{D}_s\{1D_2\}} = (-81 \pm 129 \pm 100) \cdot a_t^4$ $\gamma_{\psi\omega\{{}^{5}S_{2}\}\to\psi\omega\{{}^{5}S_{2}\}} = (0.55\pm0.72\pm0.81)$ $\gamma_{\psi\phi\{{}^{5}S_{2}\}\to\psi\phi\{{}^{5}S_{2}\}} = (2.19 \pm 0.77 \pm 0.11)$ $g_{D\bar{D}\{{}^1\!D_2\}} = 10 \cdot a_t \text{(fixed)}$ $\chi^2/N_{\rm d.o.f.} = \frac{62.8}{86-8-23} = 1.14,$



Cross sections

Pole position and couplings *c*_{AB} in the *T*-matrix



4. To summarize on the $(0, 2)^{++}$ channels

- The key observation: Only a single narrow resonance in each channel, lies above the $D_s \overline{D}_s$ threshold but slightly below $D^* \overline{D}^*$ threshold.
- For both channels, the resonance couples strongly to open-charm decay channels.
- Neither resonance has any significant couplings to closed-charm channels.
- There is no indication of any further states in the energy region below the $\psi\phi$ threshold.
- No large scattering amplitudes for closed-charm system composed of a charmonium and a light hadron.
- No additional states can be assigned to a tetraquark state.

5. Comparison with a previous lattice QCD study

(S. Prelovsek et al., JHEP 06 (2021) 035)

- Two volumes at $m_{\pi} = 280 \text{ MeV}$, only open-charm scattering channels are considered.
- The operator set includes $\bar{c}c$ operators and $(D\bar{D}, D_s\bar{D}_s)$ operators with different relative momenta.
- Less finite volume energy levels.



$$\begin{split} \Lambda^{P} &= A_{1}^{+}, & |\vec{P}|^{2} = 0, & J^{P}[\lambda] = 0^{+}[0], \\ \Lambda &= A_{1}, & |\vec{P}|^{2} = 1, & J^{P}[\lambda] = 0^{+}[0], \ 2^{+}[0], \\ \Lambda &= A_{1}, & |\vec{P}|^{2} = 2, & J^{P}[\lambda] = 0^{+}[0], \ 2^{+}[0], \ 2^{\pm}[2], \\ \Lambda &= B_{1}, & |\vec{P}|^{2} = 1, & J^{P}[\lambda] = 2^{\pm}[2]. \end{split}$$

- ✓ A 0⁺⁺ shallow bound state ($E_B \sim -4$ MeV) is observed right below the $D\overline{D}$ threshold $m - 2m_D = -4.0^{+3.7}_{-5.0}$ MeV
- ✓ Single-channel analysis of $D_s \overline{D}_s (l = 0)$ scattering

 $m - 2m_{D_s} = -6.2^{+3.8}_{-2.0} \text{ MeV}$

✓ A narrow resonance and a wider resonance appear around the $D_s \overline{D}_s$ threshold, through coupled $D\overline{D} - D_s \overline{D}_s$ channel analysis

$$\frac{(\tilde{K}^{-1})^{l=0}}{E_{\rm cm}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11}E_{\rm cm}^2 & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} + b_{22}E_{\rm cm}^2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_{J0}^2 - E_{\rm cm}^2}{g_{J0}^2} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} + b_{22}E_{\rm cm}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\chi'_{c0}: m - M_{av} = 880^{+28}_{-20} \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma = 58 \, {}^{+6}_{-11} \text{ MeV}$$

 $\chi^{D_s \bar{D}_s}_{c0}: E^p_{cm} - 2m_{D_s} = (-0.2 \, {}^{+0.16}_{-4.9}) \, - \frac{i}{2} \, (0.27 \, {}^{+2.5}_{-0.15}) \text{ MeV}$





- ✓ One bound state pole, one narrow resonance, and one wider resonance observed in 0⁺⁺ channel.
- ✓ One resonance observed in 2^{++} channel.



Finite volume energy levels in the rest frame of the system



V. Summary and perspectives

1⁺⁺ channel:

- X(3872) as a (virtual) $D\overline{D}^*$ bound state at unphysical m_{π}
- Hint at a resonance between 3.9 and 4.0 GeV, which may correspond to $\chi_{c1}(2P)$
- X(3940) : $I^G J^{PC} = ?????$, $m_X = 3942^{+7}_{-6} \pm 6$ MeV, $\Gamma_x = 37^{+26}_{-15} \pm 8$ MeV, decays to $D\overline{D}^*$
- $\chi_{c1}(4010)$: $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$, $m_{\chi_{c1}} = 4012.5^{+3.6+4.1}_{-3.9-3.7}$ MeV, $\Gamma_x = 62.7^{+7.0+6.4}_{-6.6}$ MeV, decays to $D\overline{D}^*$ (LHCb, arXiv:2406.03156[hep-ex])

0⁺⁺ channel:

- Unique resonance around 3.9-4.0 GeV: $m_{\chi_{c0}} = 3995(14)$ MeV, $\Gamma_{\chi_{c0}} = 67(38)$ MeV
- Decay modes: $\Gamma_{D\overline{D}} = 23(13) \text{ MeV}, \ \Gamma_{D_s\overline{D}_s} = 28(26) \text{ MeV}, \ \Gamma_{\psi\omega} = 9^{+18}_{-9} \text{ MeV}$
- X(3915) (aka $\chi_{c0}(3915)$): $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+ 0^{++}$, $m_X = 3922.1 \pm 1.8$ MeV, $\Gamma_x = 20 \pm 4$ MeV Decay channels: D^+D^- , $D_s^+D_s^-$, $\omega J/\psi$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\Gamma(D^+D^-)/\Gamma(D_s^+D_s^-) = 0.29 \pm 0.016$

2⁺⁺ channel:

- Unique resonance around 3.9-4.0 GeV: $m_{\chi_{c2}} = 3961(15)$ MeV, $\Gamma_{\chi_{c2}} = 65(15)$ MeV
- Decay modes: $\Gamma_{D\overline{D}} = 26(12) \text{ MeV}, \ \Gamma_{D\overline{D}^*} = 22(14) \text{ MeV}, \ \Gamma_{D_s\overline{D}_s} = 2^{+3}_{-2} \text{ MeV}$
- $\chi_{c2}(3930)$: $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+ 2^{++}$, $m_X = 3922.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma_{\chi_{c2}} = 35.2 \pm 2.2 \text{ MeV}$ X(3915) decay channels: $D^+ D^-$, $D^0 \overline{D}^0$, $\gamma \gamma$, $\Gamma(D^+ D^-) / \Gamma(D^0 \overline{D}^0) = 0.74 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.16$

Thank you for your Attention!

Thanks!