

East Asian Workshop on Exotic Hadrons 2024

# P-wave molecular resonance: G(3900)& $X_1(2900)$



Based on

- Z.-Y. Lin, J.-Z. Wang, J.-B. Cheng, L. Meng, and S.-L. Zhu, arXiv:2403.01727 (PRL, in press)
- & J.-Z. Wang, Z.-Y. Lin, B. Wang, L. Meng, and S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 110, 114003 (2024)

Speaker: Zi-Yang Lin (林子阳) 2024/12/9





**D**Background ---- G(3900) structure

□P-wave resonance mechanism

□Unified description of  $X(3872), T_{cc}(3875), Z_c(3900)$  and G(3900)

 $\Box X_1(2900)$  as the P-wave  $\overline{D}^*K^*$  resonance

Predictions and discussions







### Motivation--Cross Sections for $e^+e^- o D\overline{D}$

fitted with Gaussian function

• Structure near  $D\overline{D}^*$  threshold, referred to as **G(3900) structure** 







FIG. 3: The exclusive cross sections for: (a)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0\overline{D}^0$ ; (b) $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-$ ; (c)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow D\overline{D}$ . The dotted lines correspond to the  $\psi(3770)$ ,  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$  and  $\psi(4415)$  masses [20].

(Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 77, 011103 (2008)





### Motivation--Cross Sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow D\overline{D}$







S.X. Nakamura, X.-H. Li, H.-P. Peng, Z.-T. Sun, and X.-R. Zhou, arxiv: 2312.17658



## Background—G(3900)/Y(3872)?

Poltergeist?

$$K_{\mu,\nu} = \sum_{R} \frac{g_{R:\mu}g_{R:\nu}}{m_R^2 - s} + f_{\mu,\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{M} = (1 + KC)^{-1}K$$

interference of  $\psi(3770)$  and  $\psi(4040)$  and coupled-channel  $_{_{\widehat{\mathbb{S}}}}$ effect with  $D\overline{D}^*$ 

> N. Hüsken et al. Phys. Rev. D 109, 114010 (2024)







E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. Lane, and T.-M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D 17, 3090 (1978)

-0.01

-0.02

-0.03

 $\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{s} [\operatorname{GeV}]$ 

Is the G(3900) structure a genuine resonance?

Our *K*-matrix formalism fit with carefully done analytical continuation



 $e^+e^+ \rightarrow D\overline{D}$ 



## Background—G(3900)/Y(3872)?

- Previous investigations on G(3900)
- kinematic effect at the threshold



Y.J. Zhang and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D 81, 034011 (2010)

• coupled-channel effects driven by the contact interactions

Sheet	Poles (GeV)	$ g_{Dar{D}} $	$ g_{D\bar{D}^*} $	$ g_{D^*\bar{D}^*_{s=0}} $	$ g_{D^*\bar{D}^*_{s=2}} $
II	$3.764 \pm i0.006$	13.53	9.48	5.88	16.78
III	$3.879 \pm i0.035$	4.40	10.96	7.63	18.15
IV	$4.034 \pm i0.014$	2.90	2.23	12.52	12.85

M.L. Du, U. G. Meissner and Q. Wang Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 9, 096006





#### **Formalism – P-wave resonance**

P-wave resonance mechanism



Jost function becomes quadratic at the lowest order  $f_i(p) = 1 + [\alpha_i + \beta_i p^2 + O(p^4)] + i[\gamma_i p^{2i+1} + O(p^{2i+3})]$ J. R. Taylor, Scattering Theory: The Quantum Theory of Nonrelativistic Collision

- As the attraction being weaker, p-wave bound state naturally turns resonances.
- Shape-type resonance rather than Feshbach resonance (bound states coupled with open channels)





#### Formalism – OBE model

• The hadron-hadron interactions are fullfilled with pseudoscalar and vector meson exchange

$$\mathcal{L} = g_{s} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{H} \sigma \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right] + i g_{a} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{H} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{A}^{\mu} \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right]$$
  
+ $i \beta \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{H} v_{\mu} (\mathcal{V}^{\mu} - \rho^{\mu}) \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right] + i \lambda \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{H} \sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right]$   
+ $g_{s} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \sigma \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \right] + i g_{a} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{A}^{\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \right]$   
- $i \beta \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{H}} v_{\mu} (\mathcal{V}^{\mu} - \rho^{\mu}) \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \right] + i \lambda \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \right]$  OBE model  
 $(\pi, \eta, \sigma, \rho, \omega)$ 

$$\rho^{\mu} = \frac{ig_V}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho_0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^+ \\ \rho^- & -\frac{\rho_0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}^{\mu}, \qquad \mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi_0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi_0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V}^{\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} [\xi^{\dagger}, \partial_{\mu} \xi], \quad \mathcal{A}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \{\xi^{\dagger}, \partial_{\mu} \xi\} \qquad F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu} \rho^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} \rho^{\mu} - [\rho^{\mu}, \rho^{\nu}] \\ \xi &= \exp(i \mathbb{P}/f_{\pi}). \end{split}$$



TABLE IV. The numerical results for the  $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$  system. "\*\*\*" means the corresponding state does not exist due to symmetry while "..." means there does not exist binding energy with the cutoff parameter less than 3.0 GeV. The binding energies for the states  $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}[I(J^p) = 0(1^+)]$  and  $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}[I(J^p) = 1(1^+)]$  are relative to the threshold of  $DD^*$  while that of the state  $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}[I(J^p) = 1(0^+)]$  is relative to the DD threshold.

		$D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$								
Ι	$J^P$			Ol	PE			Ol	BE	
	$0^+$		* * *				* * *			
		$\Lambda$ (GeV)	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20	0.95	1.00	1.05	1.10
		B.E. (MeV)	1.24	4.63	11.02	20.98	0.47	5.44	18.72	42.82
		M (MeV)	3874.61	3871.22	3864.83	3854.87	3875.38	3870.41	3857.13	3833.03
	. +	r <sub>rms</sub> (fm)	3.11	1.68	1.12	0.84	4.46	1.58	0.91	0.64
0	1+	$P_1$ (%)	96.39	92.71	88.22	83.34	97.97	92.94	85.64	77.88
		$P_2$ (%)	0.73	0.72	0.57	0.42	0.58	0.55	0.32	0.15
		$P_3$ (%)	2.79	6.45	11.07	16.11	1.41	6.42	13.97	21.91
		$P_4$ (%)	0.08	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.04	0.09	0.08	0.05

N. Li, Z.-F. Sun, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu Phys. Rev. D 88,114008 (2013)



• Nonlocality of one-pion exchange:  $\vec{q} = \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_3$   $\vec{k} = \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_4 = \vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_3$ 

extra minus signs in cross diagrams (u-channel) related to C-parity in odd partial waves  $|C = \pm \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|D(\boldsymbol{p})\bar{D}^*(-\boldsymbol{p})\rangle \mp |\bar{D}(\boldsymbol{p})D^*(-\boldsymbol{p})\rangle).$ if mistake  $\vec{k}$  to  $\vec{q}$ 

• nonlocal regulator:

$$V(\boldsymbol{p}',\boldsymbol{p}) 
ightarrow V(\boldsymbol{p}',\boldsymbol{p}) rac{\Lambda^2}{p'^2 + \Lambda^2} rac{\Lambda^2}{p^2 + \Lambda^2}.$$

• local regulator (to test the regulator-independency)  $V^{D}(q) \rightarrow V^{D}(q) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{2} - m^{2}}{\Lambda^{2} + q^{2}}\right)^{2}, \quad V^{C}(k) \rightarrow V^{C}(k) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{2} - m^{2}}{\Lambda^{2} + k^{2}}\right)^{2}$ 



• Poles derived by complex scaling method / Lippmann-Schwinger equation









• Partial-wave potentials V(p, p') for different exchanged mesons: (p' = p in the figure)



## Results – Unified description of $D\overline{D}^*/DD^*$ molecules

• Fix the cutoff to generate loosely bound  $X(3872), T_{cc}^+$ , we obtain

virtual state  $Z_c(3900)$ 

left-hand cut problem - need special integral contour

p-wave resonance G(3900)

- potentials related by G-parity rule
- the same set of parameters



FIG. 4. The pole trajectories with the cutoff parameters correspond to  $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ ,  $T_{cc}(3875)$ ,  $Z_c(3900)$  and the newly observed G(3900) states. The circled number 1-10 represent the increasing cutoff 0.4-1.3 GeV in order. The solid (dashed) lines represent the pole trajectories in the physical (unphysical) Riemann sheets. The poles on the negative real axis are slightly shifted for transparency.







#### **Discussion – Robustness of our conclusion**

- Higher partial waves are dominant by long-range interactions (pion exchange)
- S-wave resonance relies on a special shape of potentials, while P-wave resonances can be naturally generated thanks to the centrifugal barrier
- P-wave interactions fixed by S-wave states ----- cutoff independent
- Isospin breaking, three-body effects have little influence on G(3900)





TABLE I. The poles in all channels of  $D\overline{D}^*$  and  $DD^*$ , up to the orbital angular momentum L = 1 (in unit of MeV). The B and V superscripts denote the bound state and the virtual state, respectively. Otherwise the pole refers to a resonance.

		$D\bar{D}^*$ , $C = +$		$Dar{D}^*,C$	$DD^*$		
		I = 0	I = 1	I = 0	I = 1	I = 0	I = 1
$\Lambda = 0.5 { m GeV}$	$1^+({}^3S_1)$	$-3.1^B, \chi_{c1}(3872)$	-	$-1.60^{B}$	$-34.8^V, Z_c(3900)$	$-0.41^B, T_{cc}(3875)$	-
	$0^{-}(^{3}P_{0})$	-1.5 - 14.5i	-	-	-	-9.6-9.7i	-
	$1^{-}(^{3}P_{1})$	-	-	-4.0 - 27.3i, G(3900)	-	-31.7 - 70.6i	-
	$2^{-}(^{3}P_{2})$	-42.6 - 39.4i	-	-21.3 - 50.7i	-	-37.8 - 40.9i	-
	$1^+({}^3S_1)$	$-6.5^{B}, \chi_{c1}(3872)$	-	$-5.8^{B}$	$-39.5^V, Z_c(3900)$	$-4.3^B, T_{cc}(3875)$	-
$\Lambda=0.6{\rm GeV}$	$0^{-}(^{3}P_{0})$	3.2-13.7i	-	-	-	-10.2 - 12.1i	-
	$1^{-}(^{3}P_{1})$	-	-	2.0 - 27.3i,  G(3900)	-	-33.7 - 84.8i	-
	$2^{-}(^{3}P_{2})$	-44.2 - 49.0i	-	-19.3 - 58.8i	-	-37.8 - 49.3i	-

1<sup>+-</sup> partner of *X*(3872), bound or virtual state

P-wave resonances more likely to be observed



• Randomly adjust the coupling constants in OBE to reproduce a shallow bound  $T_{cc}$  and X(3872)

$$\mathcal{L} = g_s \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{H} \sigma \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right] + i g_a \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{H} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}^\mu \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right] + i \beta \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{H} v_\mu (\mathcal{V}^\mu - \rho^\mu) \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right] + i \lambda \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{H} \sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right]$$

- $Z_c$  as a virtual state ranged from -35 to -15 MeV (which mainly the  $\sigma$  coupling  $g_s$ )
- pion coupling  $g_a$  fixed by  $D^* \to D\pi$
- then we obtain a distribution of G(3900)

robust near-threshold resonance





## Extension – $X_1(2900)$ as the P-wave $\overline{D}^*K^*$ resonance

- Question: does this P-wave mechanism exist in other systems? Is such a wide resonance below threshold important?
- X<sub>0</sub>(2900) & X<sub>1</sub>(2900) seem a pair of S-wave and P-wave molecules like X(3872) & G(3900)







## Extension – $X_1(2900)$ as the P-wave $\overline{D}^*K^*$ resonance

- Interactions with  $K^*(K)$  similar to charmed mesons
- $g' \ (\pi \ \text{coupling}) \ \text{determined by} \ K^* \to K\pi$
- $\beta' (\rho/\omega \text{ coupling})$  from local hidden gauge
- unknown parameter  $\lambda'$  varies
- More coupled channels due to the total spin

$$0^+: {}^1S_0$$
  $1^-: {}^1P_1, {}^3P_1, {}^5P_1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{K^{(*)}K^{(*)}P} &= +\frac{2g'}{f_{\pi}} (K_{b}K^{*\dagger}_{a\lambda} + K^{*}_{b\lambda}K^{\dagger}_{a})\partial^{\lambda}P_{ba} + i\frac{2g'}{f_{\pi}} \\ &\times v'^{\alpha}\varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\lambda}K^{*\mu}_{b}K^{*\lambda\dagger}_{a}\partial^{\nu}P_{ba}, \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{K^{(*)}K^{(*)}V} &= +\sqrt{2}\beta'g'_{V}K_{b}K^{\dagger}_{a}v' \cdot V_{ba} - 2\sqrt{2}\lambda'g'_{V} \\ &\times \epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta}v'^{\lambda}(K_{b}K^{*\mu\dagger}_{a} + K^{*\mu}_{b}K^{\dagger}_{a})(\partial^{\alpha}V^{\beta}_{ba}) \\ &- \sqrt{2}\beta'g'_{V}K^{*}_{b}\cdot K^{*\dagger}_{a}v' \cdot V_{ba} \\ &- i2\sqrt{2}\lambda'g'_{V}K^{*\mu}_{b}K^{*\nu\dagger}_{a}(\partial_{\mu}V_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}V_{\mu})_{ba}, \end{aligned}$$



### Results – $X_1(2900)$ as the P-wave $\overline{D}^*K^*$ resonance

•  $X_0(2900), X_1(2900)$  and an additional 1<sup>-</sup> state

$$T_{cs0}(2900) \sim {}^{1}S_{0}$$
$$T_{cs1}(2900) \sim {}^{1}P_{1} + {}^{5}P_{1}$$
$$T_{cs1}'(2900) \sim {}^{3}P_{1}$$

• Dependency on the unknown parameter  $\lambda'$ 

States	$\lambda' = 0.56$	$\lambda'=0.28$	$\lambda'=0.84$
$T_{cs0+}(2900)$	2.859* 2.857 – 0.012i	2.878* 2.876 – 0.016i	2.833* 2.832 – 0.008i
$T_{cs1-}(2900)$	$\begin{array}{l} 2.834-0.037 i^* \\ 2.828-0.054 i \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 2.835-0.052 i^* \\ 2.827-0.069 i \end{array}$	2.840 - 0.028i* 2.834 - 0.045i
$T'_{cs1-}(2900)$	$\begin{array}{l} 2.868-0.028 i^* \\ 2.861-0.049 i \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 2.869-0.033 i^* \\ 2.862-0.054 i \end{array}$	2.869 - 0.024i* 2.862 - 0.045i



FIG. 2. The pole trajectories of *S*-wave  $T_{cs0+}(2900)$ , *P*-wave  $T_{cs1-}(2900)$  and  $T'_{cs1-}(2900)$  with the varying cutoff parameter  $\Lambda$  from 0.5 to 0.6 GeV. Here, the circle and diamond points correspond to the poles in the physical and unphysical Riemann sheets, respectively. The hollow and solid points represent the results without and with the width effect of the  $K^*$  meson, respectively.





#### Summary and perspective

- Model-based calculation: unified description of X(3872),  $T_{cc}$ ,  $Z_c(3900)$  and G(3900), with additional states predicted
- Robustness from the pion exchange and P-wave mechanism
- The energy of P-wave molecule is not necessarily much higher than its S-wave partner
- More possible p-wave molecular states like  $X_1(2900)$
- Open question: what is the role of wide and near/below-threshold resonance? For example, even in nucleon-nucleon system?

## Thank you for your attention!





## Backup – Unified description of $D\overline{D}^*/DD^*$ potentials

• Total potentials in different channels:

weak I = 1 interactions, due to the cancel between  $\rho$  and  $\omega$  exchange



- Strong attraction:  $1^+(DD^*)$ ,  $1^{++}$ ,  $1^{+-}(D\overline{D}^*)$
- Weak attraction:  $0^{-}(DD^{*})$ ,  $0^{-+}$ ,  $1^{--}(D\overline{D}^{*})$  weaker:  $2^{-}(DD^{*})$ ,  $2^{--}$ ,  $2^{-+}(D\overline{D}^{*})$



#### Backup – Unified description of $D\overline{D}^*/DD^*$ potentials





## Backup —— Schrödinger equation on the 2nd Riemann sheet

- 2-channel example
- $k_1 = \sqrt{2\mu_1(E E_{th1})}$   $k_2 = \sqrt{2\mu_2(E E_{th2})}$
- Sheet I:  $Im(k_1) > 0$   $Im(k_2) > 0$ bound state
- Sheet II:  $Im(k_1) < 0$   $Im(k_2) < 0$ quasibound state (Feshbach-type resonance)
- Sheet III:  $Im(k_1) < 0$   $Im(k_2) < 0$ resonance
- Sheet IV:  $Im(k_1) < 0$   $Im(k_2) < 0$ "threshold cusp"





## Backup —— Schrödinger equation on the 2nd Riemann sheet

- 1st Riemann sheet: integrate along the real axis
- 2nd Riemann sheet: the residue of the pole must be include
- Or change the integral path
- E.g. complex scaling method:

$$\begin{split} E\tilde{\phi}_l(p) &= \frac{p^2 e^{-2i\theta}}{2m} \tilde{\phi}_l(p) \\ &+ \int \frac{p'^2 e^{-3i\theta} dp'}{(2\pi)^3} V_{l,l'}(p e^{-i\theta}, p' e^{-i\theta}) \tilde{\phi}_{l'}(p') \end{split}$$



24

• Avoid the branch cut in the potential





Local Regulator:  $V^{D}(\boldsymbol{q}) \rightarrow V^{D}(\boldsymbol{q}) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{2} - m^{2}}{\Lambda^{2} + \boldsymbol{q}^{2}}\right)^{2}$ , 26  $V^{C}(\boldsymbol{k}) \rightarrow V^{C}(\boldsymbol{k}) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{2} - m^{2}}{\Lambda^{2} + \boldsymbol{k}^{2}}\right)^{2}$ 

TABLE II. The poles in all channels of  $D\bar{D}^*$  and  $DD^*$ , up to the orbital angular momentum L = 1 with the regularization in Eq. (C2). The *B* and *V* superscripts denote the bound state and the virtual state, respectively. Otherwise the pole refers to a resonance.

		$D\bar{D}^*, C = +$		$D\bar{D}^*$ , $C$	$DD^*$		
		I = 0	I = 1	I = 0	I = 1	I = 0	I = 1
$\Lambda = 1.25 \text{ GeV}$	$1^+({}^3S_1)$	$-0.40^B, \chi_{c1}(3872)$	-	$-25.0^{V}$	$-39.6^V, Z_c(3900)$	$-0.79^B, T_{cc}(3875)$	-
	$0^{-}(^{3}P_{0})$	3.3 - 17.2i	-		-	-11.2 - 16.7i	-
	$1^{-}(^{3}P_{1})$	-	-	4.4 - 39.9i, Y(3872)	-	-96.6 - 87.3i	-
	$2^{-}(^{3}P_{2})$	-71.2 - 63.5i	-	-31.0 - 96.5i	-	-61.3 - 53.6i	-
	$1^+({}^3S_1)$	$-2.8^B, \chi_{c1}(3872)$	-	$-2.2^{V}$	$-38.5^V, Z_c(3900)$	$-8.8^B, T_{cc}(3875)$	-
$\Lambda = 1.35~{\rm GeV}$	$0^{-}(^{3}P_{0})$	6.6 - 11.6i	-	-	-	-10.2 - 18.0i	-
	$1^{-}(^{3}P_{1})$	-	-	10.2 - 33.7i, Y(3872)	-	-92.9 - 97.7i	-
	$2^{-}(^{3}P_{2})$	-68.0 - 75.4i	-	-23.3 - 97.2i	-	-58.4 - 59.6i	-



## Backup – G(3900)/Y(3872)

- pole search for the K matrix method
- 1<sup>st</sup> sheet for  $D^*\overline{D}^*$ , 2<sup>nd</sup> sheet for  $D\overline{D}^*$  and  $D\overline{D}$





G(3900) related pole:

3864 – 65*i* MeV





#### **Backup – Line shape/residue**

• Line shape of G(3872) in p-wave  $D\overline{D}^* \to D\overline{D}^*$ 



• Residues of S-matrix

$\Lambda = 0.5 { m ~GeV}$	$\Lambda = 0.6  {\rm GeV}$
$1^+({}^3S_1)$ -0.48 <sup>B</sup> (Res:2.26), $T_{cc}$	$-4.3^B$ (Res:27.1), $T_{cc}$
Nonlocal $0^{-}({}^{3}P_{0})$ $-9.8 - 9.7i$ (Res:20.1)	-10.1 - 12.2i (Res:24.6)
$1^{-}({}^{3}P_{1})$ $-31.6 - 70.7i$ (Res:129.7) -	-33.7 - 84.8i (Res:189.1)
$2^{-}({}^{3}P_{2})$ $-37.7 - 40.9i$ (Res:20.2)	-37.8 - 49.2i (Res:26.7)

TABLE IV. Add residue values  $|\text{Res}\{\text{Pole}\}|$  of I = 0  $DD^*$  cases.





$$D = (-D^+, D^0) \xrightarrow{G} \overline{D} = (\overline{D}^0, D^-) \xrightarrow{G} -D,$$
  
$$D^* = (-D^{*+}, D^{*0}) \xrightarrow{G} -\overline{D}^* = -(\overline{D}^{*0}, D^{*-}) \xrightarrow{G} -D^*$$

$$|D\bar{D}^*/\bar{D}D^*, G=\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|D\bar{D}^*\rangle \pm |\bar{D}D^*\rangle)$$



$$V_{\bar{D}D^* \to D\bar{D}^*}^C = (-G_m) V_{DD^* \to DD^*}^C$$
$$V_{\bar{D}D^* \to \bar{D}D^*}^D = V_{DD^* \to DD^*}^D.$$

 $V_{D\bar{D}^*/\bar{D}D^*,\,G_{MM}} = G_m V^D_{DD^* \rightarrow DD^*} - G_m G_{MM} V^C_{DD^* \rightarrow DD^*}$ 





• Different choice of  $q_0$  in the denominator

$$\frac{\iota}{q_0^2 - \boldsymbol{q}^2 - m_\pi^2}$$

- Direct diagram  $(P_c)$ 
  - $q_0 = p_0 p'_0$
- Cross diagram  $(T_{cc}^+)$

$$q_0 = E - p_0 - p'_0$$





## Backup —— left-hand cut & virtual state

• Partial-wave potential

$$V_{OPE}(p',p) = 2\pi \left( 2 + \frac{(-m_{\pi}^2 + i\epsilon) \ln \frac{(p+p')^2 + m_{\pi}^2 - i\epsilon}{(p-p')^2 + m_{\pi}^2 - i\epsilon}}{2pp'} \right)$$

- Branch point at  $p = p' \pm i m_{\pi}$
- Special contour





#### • CSM result

