ALP concurrent effect with photon and electron couplings within collider and beamdump searches arXiv:2304.05435

Muyuan Song

Peking University, CHEP

July 24, 2023

The 2nd International Conference on Axion Physics and Experiment (AXION 2023)



ALP searches with mass region within $\mathcal{O}(1)$ MeV to 10 GeV With Jia Liu and Yan Luo

Motivation:

• Axion \rightarrow Strong CP problem (PQ symmetry, $\bar{\theta}$)

[Peccei, Quinn, 1977; Wilczek, 1978; Weinberg, 1978]

- Axion-like particles (ALP) (independent f_a and m_a) (e.g., string theory [P. Svrček, E.Witten, 2006; A. Arvanitaki, et al. 2009])
- Concurrent effects (both couplings simultaneously) of ALP-electron and ALP-photon couplings would be essential.
- low masses ALPs (below eV scales) have covered the interplay of multiple couplings / concurrence (e.g., g_{aγ} and g_{ae})
- Searches for ALPs (MeVs to GeVs) derive singly coupling bounds while multiple couplings exist in UV.

Past searches with relevant couplings interplay

- Majority focus on eV scales and cosmology/astrophysics constraints or ALP-gauge boson couplings
- ightarrow ALP-electron/photon $(g_{ae},g_{a\gamma})$ [C. Gao et al, 2020; M. Xiao et al,2022]
- ightarrow ALP-nucleon/photon $(g_{aN}, g_{a\gamma})$ [L. Di Luzio et al.,2022]
- \rightarrow ALP-EW gauge bosons($g_{aWW}, g_{aBB}, ...$)

[F. Ertas and F. Kahlhoefer, 2020; J. Bonilla et al, 2021; G. Alonso-Álvarez et al, 2022]

- New Physics
- $ightarrow (g-2)_{\mu} \; (g_{a\mu},g_{a\gamma})$ [D. Chang et al, 2001; M.A. Buen-Abad et al, 2021, J. Liu et al, 2023]
- \rightarrow CP-violation/LFV ($g_{ae}, g_{a\mu}, g_{aW}, ...$)

[W.J. Marciano, 2016; C. Cornella, 2020; M. Bauer 2020]

Outline

- ALP UV models with one of the couplings missing in high energy but generate radiatively at low energy.
- \rightarrow KSVZ-like and DFSZ-like.
 - Collider and electron beamdump limit reinterpretation (ALP-electron and ALP-photon) for $m_a \rightarrow O(1)$ MeV to 10 GeV.

Low energy effective Lagrangian ALPs with electrons and photon

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{a}^{D\leq 5} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a \partial^{\mu} a - \frac{1}{2} m_{a}^{2} a^{2} - \frac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}} \times a F_{\mu\nu} \overleftarrow{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{a\overline{e}e}^{\text{eff}} \times \partial_{\mu} a \, \overline{e} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} e \\ \\ \text{dual field strength tensor} \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} \right) \end{split}$$

Decay of ALP

$$\Gamma_{a\to e\bar{e}} = \frac{(g_{a\bar{e}e}^{\text{eff}})^2 m_e^2 m_a}{8\pi} \left(1 - \frac{4m_e^2}{m_a^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Gamma_{a\to\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}})^2 m_a^3}{64\pi}$$

$$\rightarrow \ \mathcal{B}(a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) / \mathcal{B}(a \rightarrow e\bar{e}) \approx (g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}})^2 m_a^2 / 8 (g_{a\bar{e}e}^{\text{eff}})^2 m_e^2$$

 \implies Favor for diphoton at $\mathcal{O}(1)$ GeV, but dielectron could be comparable at lower mass (Beamdump).

KSVZ-like

→ Vector-like fermion (Q_L , Q_R) carry SM gauge charge ~ (1,1, Y) for $SU(3)_C$, $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$.

- ightarrow Singlet (Φ) \sim (1, 1, 0).
- $ightarrow \, {\cal Q}_L$, ${\cal Q}_R$ and Φ satisfy $U(1)_{\sf PQ} \sim (+1, \, -1 \, \, {\sf and} \, \, +2).$
- \rightarrow a is the axion after global $U(1)_{PQ}$ breaking.

$$\Phi = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_{a}+
ho_{a})e^{irac{a}{v_{a}}}$$

 \rightarrow After EWSB, chiral rotation of the $Q_{L/R}$ leaves chiral anomaly (no N):

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{KSVZ-like}} = 3Y^2 \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{a}{v_a} F \tilde{F} = E \frac{\alpha_{\text{QED}}}{4\pi} \frac{a}{v_a} F \tilde{F}$$

KSVZ-like

$$ightarrow \, g_{a\overline{e}e}^{
m eff} \; ({
m cut off} \sim v_a
ightarrow f_a)$$

[M. Srednicki, 1985; M. Bauer et al, 2017]

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_{a\overline{e}e}^{\mathrm{eff}} & \rightarrow & g_{a\overline{e}e}^{0}(=0) \\ & \approx & \displaystyle \frac{3\alpha_{\mathrm{QED}}}{4\pi} g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{eff}} \bigg[\ln \left(\displaystyle \frac{f_{a}^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}} \right) + g\left(\tau_{e} \right) \bigg] \end{array}$$



$$g(\tau_e) = -\frac{1}{6} \left(\ln \left(\frac{m_a^2}{m_e^2} \right) - i\pi \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3}, \text{ for } m_a^2 \gg m_e^2$$

 $ightarrow g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{
m eff}$

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{eff}} = E rac{lpha_{\mathrm{QED}}}{4\pi} rac{1}{f_a}$$

$$\rightarrow$$
 $F\tilde{Z}$ and $Z\tilde{Z}$ contribute for large f_a, m_a .

ALP concurrent effect with photon and electron couplings within collider and beamdump searches

DFSZ-like

$$ightarrow \, H_u \sim (1,2,1/2), \ H_d \sim (1,2,1/2), \ {
m and} \ \Phi \sim (1,1,0).$$

 \rightarrow Quarks couple with H_u (Type-Lepton specific 2HDM).

$$\rightarrow PQ \text{ charges } X_{H_u} = -1, X_{H_d} = +1, \text{ and} \\ X_{\Phi} = -X_{H_u} + X_{H_d} = +2$$

• $c_u, c_d, c_\ell = \cos^2 \beta, -\cos^2 \beta, \sin^2 \beta (v_u/v_d)$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset i(c_f \frac{a}{f_a}) m_f \overline{f} \gamma_5 f \supset \frac{c_\ell}{2} \frac{\partial_\mu a}{f_a} \overline{\ell} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \ell + c_u(...) + c_d(...)$$
$$- E(N_c^f, N_g, c_u, c_d, c_\ell) \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{a}{f_a} F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

where f_a is broken scale $(\propto v_{\phi})$. $\rightarrow E(N_c^f, N_g, c_u, c_d, c_\ell) \rightarrow 1$ $\rightarrow N \propto c_u + c_d = 0$ (no color anomaly coefficient for $G\tilde{G}$)

DFSZ-like

 $ightarrow \, g^{
m eff}_{a\gamma\gamma} \ (U(1)_{
m EM}$ anomaly and loop contribution)

$$g^{\mathrm{eff}}_{a\gamma\gamma} = rac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\sum_{i=e,\mu, au} (B_1(au_i) - 1) g^{\mathrm{eff}}_{aar{\ell}\ell}
ight)$$



$$\mathcal{B}(\tau_f) = 1 - \tau_f f^2(\tau_f), \ \tau_f \equiv \frac{4m_f^2}{m_a^2}, \ \tau_f \to \infty, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx -\frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f) \approx 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{12m_f^2}; \ \tau_f \to 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau_f)$$

 $\rightarrow g_{a\overline{e}e}^{\mathrm{eff}} \propto \sin^2 \beta$ (lepton couplings are same)





KSVZ-like

 $\frac{|g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}}|}{|g_{a\tilde{e}e}^{\text{eff}}|} = \frac{\sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \left(B(\tau_{\ell})-1\right) \frac{N_c Q_{\ell}^2 e^2}{16\pi^2} g_{a\tilde{\ell}\ell}^{\text{eff}}}{g_{a\tilde{e}e}^{\text{eff}}} \\ \frac{|g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}}|}{|g_{a\tilde{e}e}^{\text{eff}}|} = \frac{8\pi}{\alpha_{\text{QED}} \left| \left(6\ln\left(\frac{f_a^2}{m_e^2}\right) - \left(\ln\left(\frac{m_e^2}{m_e^2}\right) - i\pi\right)^2 + 4\right) \right|}$

 KSVZ-like favors for ALP-photon coupling while DFSZ-like favors for ALP-lepton/electron coupling. $\mathcal{B}(a \to \bar{e}e/\gamma\gamma)$ with UV models in $[g_{a\bar{e}e}^{eff}, g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{eff}]$



→ $\mathcal{B}(a \to \bar{e}e)$ increases when m_a decreases due to $m_a^2/(8m_e^2)$. → KSVZ-like favors for large $g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}}$ while DFSZ-like favors for $g_{a\bar{e}e}^{\text{eff}}$.

Collider and beamdump (electron) experiments

- For $m_a \rightarrow 0.2 \sim 10$ GeV, we focus on two main experiments $(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow a + \gamma \text{ follows } a \rightarrow \bar{e}e/\gamma\gamma)$
- ightarrow Belle-II ($\sqrt{s}=$ 10.58 GeV) limits $g^{
 m eff}_{a\gamma\gamma}$ [Belle-II,2020]
- \rightarrow BaBar limits $g_{a\overline{e}e}^{eff}$ [BaBar, 2020]
 - For $m_a \rightarrow O(1)$ MeV ~ 1 GeV, NA64 and E137 beamdump experiments are investigated $(e + N \rightarrow e + N + a)$.
- \rightarrow Both two experiments have constrained $g_{a\overline{e}e}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ and $g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ separately.

The concurrent effects of two couplings have a greater impact on electron beamdump searches.

Cross section for collider approach



$$\sigma(\mathrm{ee} \to \mathrm{a}\gamma) \propto \alpha_{\mathrm{qed}} \bigg[\frac{\left(g_{\mathrm{a}\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{eff}} \right)^2 \left(\mathrm{s} - m_{\mathrm{a}}^2 \right)^3}{24 \mathrm{s}^3} + \frac{m_{\mathrm{e}}^2}{\left(\mathrm{s} - m_{\mathrm{a}}^2 \right)} \bigg(\left(g_{\mathrm{a}\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{eff}} \right)^2 + \left(g_{\mathrm{a}\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{eff}} \right)^2 + g_{\mathrm{a}\gamma\gamma}^{\mathrm{eff}} g_{\mathrm{a}\bar{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{eff}} \bigg) \bigg] + \dots$$

- \rightarrow First term corresponds to *s*-channel/Primakoff effect (Left figure).
- \rightarrow Second term suppressed by (m_e^2/s) .

Collider scenario $[g_{a\overline{e}e}^{eff}, g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{eff}]$ with $m_a = 0.5$ GeV



- Left: σ_{int}/σ_{total}. Right: σ × B(a → ēe/γγ).
- Horizontal(Belle-II) and vertical(BaBar) dashed lines are existing bounds (left bottom region is survived).
- Concurrent effect: σ and B.
- $\rightarrow \sigma \uparrow$ when the other coupling is large (survived region squeezed)
- $\rightarrow \mathcal{B} \downarrow$ when the other coupling is large (survived region relaxed)

Beamdump exclusion for ALPs $(e^-N \rightarrow e^-aN)$ with Nuclei attached with photon)



 Improved Weizsäcker-Williams (IWW) approximation to reduce phase space integral (2 → 3 ⇒ 2 → 2) (γ_{vir} → γ_{real})

[C.F. Weizsäcker, 1934; E.J. Williams, 1934; Y.-S. Tsai and V. Whitis, 1966; K.J. Kim and Y.-S. Tsai, 1973]

→ $m_a << E_{e(in)}$. → Final states are highly collinear.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{QED}}}{4\pi^2} \frac{\sqrt{E_{e(\text{in})}^2 x^2 - m_a^2}}{E_{e(\text{in})}} \chi_\gamma \int d\tilde{u} \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\tilde{u}^2} \frac{1 - x}{x}$$

 $x = E_a/E_{e(in)}$, A (Amplitude), \tilde{u} (modified u), χ_{γ} (Photon flux)

Number of ALP events from electron beamdump

$$N_{a} \approx \frac{N_{e}X}{M_{target}} \int_{E_{min}}^{E_{0}} dE \int_{x_{min}}^{x_{max}} dx \int_{0}^{T} dt \, \mathcal{I}_{e} \, \frac{d\sigma}{dx} e^{-L_{sh}\left(\frac{1}{L_{a}} + \frac{1}{l_{\lambda}}\right)} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{L_{dec}}{L_{a}}}\right)$$

[Y.-S. Tsai and V. Whitis, 1966]

- \mathcal{I}_e Energy distribution of electrons passing through radiation length t
- N_a = 3 (95% C.L) for E137 (AI). N_a = 2.3 (90% C.L) for NA64 (Pb).
- L_a decay length of ALP $\propto \Gamma_a$ (ALP-photon/electron)

$$L_a = \frac{E_a}{m_a} \frac{1}{\Gamma_a}$$

 $\rightarrow L_a \downarrow$ when $\Gamma_a \uparrow$ (additional coupling add in).

Beamdump coupling contours $[g_{a\overline{e}e}^{eff}, g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{eff}]$ (UV models included)



- Concurrent effect of $g_{a\overline{e}e}^{eff}$, $g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{eff}$ for Blue(E137), Green(NA64).
- Left: $m_a = 0.01$ GeV. Right: $m_a = 0.05$ GeV.
- → (Right and Top region) couplings \uparrow affects $L_a \downarrow$ (surpass $\sigma \uparrow$) caused by $e^{-L_{sh}/L_a} \downarrow$ and $N_a \downarrow$.
- \rightarrow (Left bottom corner) excluded region shifts towards the lower coupling corner when $m_a \uparrow$.
- ⇒ a mild increase for N_a.

Summary

- ALP searches with electrons and photons from eV→ GeVs (cosmology/astrophysics, Beamdumps, and Colliders).
- Both Beamdumps and Colliders have less attention to the interplay of two couplings (concurrence).
- UV complete models would have both couplings generated non-trivially (one of the two generated radiately).
- \rightarrow (KSVZ/DFSZ)-like models.
 - Three physical effects (concurrence): σ (↑), B (relax bound) and Γ_a ↑ (L_a ↓).
 - Beamdump searches have more impact with L_a.
- \rightarrow Large coupling regions survived because $N_a \downarrow$ when $L_a \downarrow$.

Thanks

List of References

- [Peccei, Quinn, 1977; Wilczek, 1978; Weinberg, 1978]
 [Phys.Rev.Lett. 38 (1977) 1440; Phys.Rev.Lett. 40 (1978) 279; Phys.Rev.Lett. 40 (1978) 223]
- [A. Arvanitaki,et al. 2009; P Svrček, E.Witten, 2006]
 [0905.4720, hep-th/0605206]
- [J. Preskill, M. B. Wise and F. Wilczek, 1983]
 [Phys.Lett.B 120 (1983) 127-132]
- [C. Gao et al, 2020; M. Xiao et al, 2022]
 [2204.03121; 2006.14598]
- [L. Di Luzio et al,2022]
 [2111.06407]
- [M. Srednicki, 1985]
 [Nucl. Phys. B 260(1985) 689]
- [F. Ertas and F. Kahlhoefer, 2020; J. Bonilla et al, 2021; G. Alonso-Álvarez et al, 2022]
 [2004.01193; 2107.11392; 1811.05466]
- [Y. Nomura et al, 2008; L, Darmé et al 2021]
 [0810.5397; 2012.07894]
- [D. Chang et al, 2001; M.A. Buen-Abad et al, 2021, J. Liu et al, 2023] [hep-ph/0009292; 2104.03267; 2210.09335]
- [W.J. Marciano, 2016; C. Cornella, 2020; M. Bauer 2020] [1607.01022; 1911.06279; 1908.00008]
- [Belle-II,2020; BaBar,2020]
 [2007.13071; 1406.2980]
- [C.F. Weizsäcker, 1934; E.J. Williams, 1934; Y.-S. Tsai and V. Whitis,1966; K.J. Kim and Y.-S. Tsai, 1973]
 [Z.Phys.88(1934)612; Phys.Rev.45(1934)729; Phys.Rev.149(1966)1248; Phys.Rev.D 8(1973)3109]
- [R.R.Dusaev et al, 2020] [2004.04469]

Back up slides

$$\mathcal{L} \supset |\partial^\mu \Phi|^2 + i ar{\mathcal{Q}} ar{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q} - (\mathcal{Y}_\mathcal{Q} ar{\mathcal{Q}}_L \mathcal{Q}_R \Phi + ext{h.c}) - V(\Phi)$$

$$V(\Phi) = \lambda_{\Phi} \left(\Phi^* \Phi - \frac{v_a^2}{2} \right)^2.$$

one can perform the chiral rotation $(\mathcal{Q} \to e^{-i\gamma^5 \frac{a}{2\nu_a}}\mathcal{Q})$ as follows (remove ALP),

$$\mathcal{Q}_L
ightarrow e^{irac{a}{2v_a}}\mathcal{Q}_L, \ \mathcal{Q}_R
ightarrow e^{-irac{a}{2v_a}}\mathcal{Q}_R$$

DFSZ-like model

- $SU(2)_Y \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{PQ} \rightarrow U(1)_{EM}$ (2 pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons)
- The broken scale *f_a* is defined by

$$\frac{1}{f_{\mathsf{a}}} \equiv \frac{N_{\mathsf{g}} v_{\mathsf{SM}}}{\sqrt{v_1^2 v_2^2 + v_{\mathsf{SM}}^2 v_s^2}}$$

DFSZ-like model



- Large tan β > 10, coupling between ALP and fermions/leptons are of similar magnitude.
- Neglect ALP-quark coupling for $\tan \beta > 10$.

KSVZ-like and DFSZ-like correspond with f_a (PQ scale)



- Purple for KSVZ-like model
- \rightarrow Solid for $F\tilde{F}$ while dashed include both $F\tilde{Z}$ and $Z\tilde{Z}$.
 - Black for DFSZ-like model

IWW approximation continued

Effective photon flux χ_{γ}

$$\chi_{\gamma} = \int_{t_{\min}}^{m_a^2 + m_e^2} \frac{t - t_{\min}}{t} F^2(t), \ t_{\min} = \left(\frac{m_a^2}{2E_{e(\mathrm{in})}}\right)^2$$

 \rightarrow Elastic form factor F(t)

$$F(t) = \left(\frac{a^2t}{1+a^2t}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1+t/d}\right) Z$$

- → Elastic atomic form factor with $a \neq 111 Z^{1/3}/m_e$ → Elastic nuclear form factor (nuclear size) with
 - $d = 0.164 \, \text{GeV}^{-1} \, A^{-2/3}$

electron beamdump searches for ALPs (E137)



electron beamdump searches for ALPs continued

Experiment	E_e [GeV]	Target	$L_{\rm sh}[m]$	L _{dec} [m]	Year
E137	20	Al	179	204	1988(SLAC)
NA64(Invis)	100	Pb	~ 4.35	∞	2020(CERN)
KEK	7	W	~ 0.25	1	2013(KEK linac)
E141	9.0	w	0.12	35	1987(SLAC)
E774	275	W	0.3	28	1989(Fermilab)
Orsay(Higgs)	1.6	W	1	2	1989(LAL)

- NA64(Invis) represents the invisible signature configuration of NA64, where ALPs decay beyond all subdetectors of NA64.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Invisible \rightarrow one ECAL and three HCAL (${\it L}_{\rm sh})$ and ${\it L}_{\rm dec}$ as ∞

[R.R.Dusaev et al, 2020]



ALP concurrent effect with photon and electron couplings within collider and beamdump searches

Beamdump (concurrence) scenarios $[g_{a\overline{e}e}^{eff}, m_a], [g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{eff}, m_a]$



- Solid line (NA64), Dashed line (E137) are recent existing bounds (region inside excluded).
- (E137) Blue and orange with the other coupling equals to $(10^{-2}, 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-2})$.
- (NA64) Brown and green with the other coupling equals to $(10^{-2}, 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-2})$.
- \rightarrow $\Gamma_a \uparrow (\tau_a \downarrow)$ affects $L_a \downarrow$ and $N_a \downarrow$ in the large m_a region.
- → Presence of two couplings affect N_a \uparrow in the lower coupling region (hard to distinguish electron or photon in the final state).
- $\implies g^{\rm eff}_{a\gamma\gamma} \text{ has a large impact (hard for } g^{\rm eff}_{a\overline{e}e} \text{ to yield the enhancement)}.$

Modified existing limits for DFSZ/KSVZ-like model



- Downward shifts of beamdump limits due to σ ↑ and τ_a ↓.
- The impact of $g_{a\gamma\gamma}^{\text{eff}}$ greater than $g_{a\overline{e}e}^{\text{eff}}$ in KSVZ-like model, the modification is negligible.