



A collider test of nano-Hertz gravitational waves from pulsar timing arrays

Ke-Pan Xie (谢柯盼)

Beihang University

2023.7.28 第十二届新物理研讨会@青岛

Based on arXiv: 2307.01086 with Shao-Ping Li

Recent big news (Jun 29, 2023)

Evidence of nano-Hertz gravitational waves





Recent big news (Jun 29, 2023)

Evidence of nano-Hertz gravitational waves



Previous: binary mergers



Now: stochastic GW background

Nano-Hertz stochastic GW background



Recent: nano-Hertz GWs

	NANOGrav [2306.16213]	CPTA [2306.16216]	EPTA [2306.16214]	PPTA [2306.16215]
Radio telescope	Arecibo, GBT, VLA	FAST	LEAP	Parkes 64-m
Duration	15 yr	3.4 yr	10.3 yr	30 yr
Number of Pulsars	67	57	25	18
HD correlation	3.5-4 <i>σ</i>	4.6 <i>σ</i>	3σ	2σ

Explanations of the GW background

Astrophysical interpretation: supermassive black hole binaries



Explanations of the GW background

Astrophysical interpretation: supermassive black hole binaries



New physics interpretation: echoes from the early Universe



Less than 1 s after the Big Bang

- Scalar induced
- Phase transitions [This talk]
- Domain walls
- Cosmic strings, etc

Bayesian analysis favors new physics interpretation^[NANOGrav, 2306.16219]

First-order phase transitions



First-order phase transitions



Explaining the nano-Hertz GWs

Relevant parameters^[JCAP 1604 (2016) 001]

- Phase transition temperature T_n
- $\alpha \approx \Delta V_{eff} / \left(\frac{\pi^2}{30}g_*T_n^4\right)$: FOPT latent heat over radiation energy
- β/H_n : Hubble time over FOPT duration
- Bubble expansion velocity v_w



Explaining the nano-Hertz GWs

Relevant parameters^[JCAP 1604 (2016) 001]

- Phase transition temperature T_n
- $\alpha \approx \Delta V_{\text{eff}} / \left(\frac{\pi^2}{30}g_*T_n^4\right)$: FOPT latent heat over radiation energy
- β/H_n : Hubble time over FOPT duration
- Bubble expansion velocity v_w



Question: can we test this explanation with other experiments?

The Higgs portal dark U(1)' model



The Higgs portal dark U(1)' model



谢柯盼 (Ke-Pan Xie) 北京航空航天大学

The Higgs portal dark U(1)' model



谢柯盼 (Ke-Pan Xie) 北京航空航天大学

Dark sector has different temperature!

After EW phase transition ($\sim 100 \text{ GeV}$) thermal contact



• $\Gamma_{SS^{\dagger}}(T)/H(T) \sim T^{3}$ Two sectors decouple below T_{dec} Defined by $\Gamma_{SS^{\dagger}}(T_{dec}) = H(T_{dec})$



 $T \neq T'$ at FOPT!

Dark sector has different temperature!

After EW phase transition ($\sim 100 \text{ GeV}$) thermal contact



Defined by $\Gamma_{SS^{\dagger}}(T_{dec}) = H(T_{dec})$ • $\Gamma_{\varsigma\varsigma^{\dagger}}(T)/H(T) \sim T^3$ Two sectors decouple below T_{dec}



Dark sector is "colder" than SM!

Dark, cold, and weak GWs...

Recall α parameter: FOPT latent heat over radiation energy

$$\alpha \approx \Delta V_{\rm eff} / \left(\frac{\pi^2}{30} \frac{\rm SM\,sector}{(g_{*,\rm SM}T_n^4 + g_*'T_n'^4)}_{\rm Dark\,sector} \right)$$

Dark, cold, and weak GWs...



• $\xi_n \sim 1/2$ yields a 10^{-3} suppression

Dark, cold, and weak GWs...



Our main result

- 1. Select the parameters of the dark sector: $\{m_{\phi}, v_s, g_X\}$.
- 2. Vary the mixing angle θ and consequently $\lambda_{hs} \approx \frac{m_{\phi}^2 m_h^2}{v_{ew}v_s} \theta$.
- Benchmark: $m_{\phi} = 8.46$ MeV; $v_s = 42.5$ MeV; $g_X = 1.01$



Our main result

- 1. Select the parameters of the dark sector: $\{m_{\phi}, v_s, g_X\}$.
- 2. Vary the mixing angle θ and consequently $\lambda_{hs} \approx \frac{m_{\phi}^2 m_h^2}{v_{ew}v_s} \theta$.
- Benchmark: $m_{\phi} = 8.46$ MeV; $v_s = 42.5$ MeV; $g_X = 1.01$



Our main result

- 1. Select the parameters of the dark sector: $\{m_{\phi}, v_s, g_X\}$.
- 2. Vary the mixing angle θ and consequently $\lambda_{hs} \approx \frac{m_{\phi}^2 m_h^2}{v_{ew}v_s} \theta$.
- Benchmark: $m_{\phi} = 8.46$ MeV; $v_s = 42.5$ MeV; $g_X = 1.01$

Projection: HL-LHC: Br($h \rightarrow \text{inv.}$) < 1.9% [de Blas *et al*, JHEP 01, 139 (2020)] CEPC: Br($h \rightarrow \text{inv.}$) < 0.26% [Tan *et al*, CPC 44, 123001 (2020)]



Could be reached by future particle experiments!

谢柯盼 (Ke-Pan Xie) 北京航空航天大学

Summary of benchmarks



谢柯盼 (Ke-Pan Xie) 北京航空航天大学

Closing remarks

A boiling Universe explains the recent reported nano-Hertz GWs



If the dark sector couples to SM via Higgs portal,

Then the FOPT explanation can be tested by $h \rightarrow \phi \phi \rightarrow inv$. at the LHC and future CEPC, ILC and FCC-ee!



Backup: gravitational waves

	Gravitational waves	Electromagnetic waves		
Physics	General relativity $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = \frac{8\pi}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}T_{\mu\nu}$	Maxwell equations $\partial_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = -J^{\mu};$ $\partial_{\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0$		
Source	Mass & energy	Electric charge		
Property	Tensor $h_{\mu u} pprox g_{\mu u} - \eta_{\mu u}$	Vector A_{μ}		
	Transverse wave, propagation in c			
Polarization	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
Multipole	Quadrupole	Dipole		
Astronomy	???			

Backup: potential

Joint potential

 $V(S,H) = \mu_h^2 |H|^2 + \mu_s^2 |S|^2 + \lambda_h |H|^4 + \lambda_s |S|^4 + \lambda_{hs} |H|^2 |S|^2$ The mass coefficients

$$\mu_{h,s}^2 = -\frac{1}{4} \left[m_h^2 + m_{\phi}^2 \mp \left(m_{\phi}^2 - m_h^2 \right) \left(\cos 2\theta \mp \left(\frac{v_s}{v_{ew}} \right) \sin 2\theta \right) \right]$$

The quartic coefficients

$$\lambda_{h} = \frac{1}{4v_{ew}^{2}} \left[m_{h}^{2} + m_{\phi}^{2} - \left(m_{\phi}^{2} - m_{h}^{2} \right) \cos 2\theta \right]$$
$$\lambda_{s} = \frac{1}{4v_{s}^{2}} \left[m_{h}^{2} + m_{\phi}^{2} + \left(m_{\phi}^{2} - m_{h}^{2} \right) \cos 2\theta \right]$$
$$\lambda_{hs} = \frac{1}{2v_{ew}v_{s}} \left(m_{\phi}^{2} - m_{h}^{2} \right) \sin 2\theta$$

Input parameters:

- Higgs mass $m_h = 125 \text{ GeV}$ and VEV $v_{ew} = 246 \text{ GeV}$
- light scalar mass m_{ϕ} and VEV v_s , and h-s mixing angle heta

Backup: thermal potential

One-loop thermal potential $V_{\rm eff}(\phi, T') = V_0(\phi) + V_{\rm CW}(\phi) + V_T(\phi, T')$ Coleman-Weinberg

$$V_{\rm CW}(\phi) = \sum_{i=\phi,A'} \frac{n_i M_i^4(\phi)}{64\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{M_i^2(\phi)}{\mu_R^2} - C_i \right) + V_{\rm CT}$$

One-loop thermal correction

$$V_{T}(\phi, T') = \sum_{i=\phi,\eta,A'} \frac{n_{i}T'^{4}}{2\pi^{2}} J_{B}\left(\frac{M_{i}^{2}(\phi)}{T'^{2}}\right)$$

Thermal integral $J_B(y) \equiv \int_0^\infty x^2 dx \log\left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{x^2 + y}}\right)$ Field-dependent masses $M_{\phi}^2(\phi) = -\frac{m_{\phi}^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{3\phi^2}{v_c^2}\right), M_{\eta}^2(\phi) =$

$$-\frac{m_{\phi}^{2}}{2}\left(1-\frac{\phi^{2}}{v_{s}^{2}}\right) \text{ and } M_{A'}(\phi) = g_{X}\phi$$

Coefficients $n_{\phi,\eta,A'} = 1, 1, 3$ and $C_{\phi,A'} = 3/2, 5/6$.

Backup: calculation of FOPT & GWs

Vacuum decay rate ^[Linde, NPB 216 (1983) 421] $\Gamma(T) \sim \left(\frac{S_3}{2\pi T}\right)^{3/2} T^4 e^{-S_3/T}$ FOPT criterion $\Gamma(T) \sim H^4(T)$ [Bubble nucleation]

$$\frac{S_3}{T_n'} \approx 4 \log \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{45}{\pi g_*(T_n)}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{T_n'} \right) \approx 190$$

GW spectrum at production

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\mathrm{gw},n}(f) &\approx 1.59 \times 10^{-1} \times v_w \left(\frac{\kappa_{\mathrm{sw}}\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\beta}{H_n}\right)^{-1} \\ &\times \left(\frac{f}{f_{\mathrm{sw}}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{7}{4+3(f/f_{\mathrm{sw}})^2}\right)^{7/2} \end{split}$$

GW spectrum today

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(f)h^2 = \frac{1}{\rho_c} \frac{d\rho_{\rm gw}}{d\log f} \approx 1.238 \times 10^{-5} \left(g_{*,\rm SM}(T_n) + g'_*(T'_n)\xi_n^4\right) \\ \times \left(\frac{g_{*s,\rm SM}(T_0)}{g_{*s,\rm SM}(T_n) + g'_{*,s}(T'_n)\xi_n^3}\right)^{4/3} \Omega_{\rm gw,n}\left(\frac{a_0}{a}f\right)$$

谢柯盼 (Ke-Pan Xie) 北京航空航天大学

Backup: NANOGrav fit for new physics

