### Experimental review on conventional heavy flavor spectroscopy

Jibo HE (何吉波), UCAS (中国科学院大学) 第六届强子谱与强子结构研讨会 (August 27-30, 2023)

#### Quark model & Strong interaction

- Flavor: *u*, *d*, *s*, *c*, *b*, *t*
- Color: R, G, B
- Hadron: Colorless
  - Meson:  $Q\bar{q}$

– Baryon:  $Qqq^{(\prime)}$ 

Volume 8, number 3	PHYSICS LETTERS	1 February 1964
A SCI	HEMATIC MODEL OF BARYONS AND ME	SONS *
	M. GELL-MANN	
	California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California	
	Received 4 January 1964	
We then refe	r to the members u3, d-	$\frac{1}{3}$ , and $s^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ of
he triplet as	''quarks'' 6) g and the m	embers of the
nti trinlot o	a anti-guarka a Baryon	s con now bo
unti-tripiet a	s anti-quarks q. Baryons	s call now be
constructed f	rom quarks by using the	combinations
aga), (aga	$(\bar{a})$ , etc., while mesons	are made out
444/3 (444	$(\mathbf{q})$ , $(\mathbf{r})$ , $(\mathbf{r})$	
<b>NT IAAN</b> IAAA	$(\alpha)$ of $(\alpha)$ if is assuming i	rnar rne lowes



## SU(4)

• Meson/Baryon by *u,d,s,c* 



Samuel C.C.Ting





#### Hadron spectroscopy

- Test our knowledge of QCD: mass & width
- Today's discovery, tomorrow's precision tool  $-B_{s2}^{*0}$  state, used to tag  $B^+$ ,  $E_{miss}$  reconstruction



#### **Present main players**









CMS







### New excited $B_s^0$ states

- Observed in  $m(B^+K^-)$ 
  - directly to  $B^+K^-$
  - through  $B^{*+}K^{-}$



 $m_1 = 6063.5 \pm 1.2 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.8 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV},$  $\Gamma_1 = 26 \pm 4 \text{ (stat)} \pm 4 \text{ (syst)} \text{MeV},$  $m_2 = 6114 \pm 3 \text{ (stat)} \pm 5 \text{ (syst) MeV},$  $\Gamma_2 = 66 \pm 18 \text{ (stat)} \pm 21 \text{ (syst) MeV},$  $f_1 = 0.47 \pm 0.11 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.15 \text{ (syst)},$ 

if through  $B^{*+}K^-$ ,  $B^{*+} \rightarrow B^+\gamma$  $m_1 = 6108.8 \pm 1.1 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.7 \text{ (syst) MeV},$  $\Gamma_1 = 22 \pm 5 \text{ (stat)} \pm 4 \text{ (syst) MeV},$  $m_2 = 6158 \pm 4 \text{ (stat)} \pm 5 \text{ (syst) MeV},$  $\Gamma_2 = 72 \pm 18 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 25 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV},$  $f_1 = 0.42 \pm 0.11 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.16 \text{ (syst)}.$ 

 $(\overline{b}s)$ 

### Charmonium(like) states



[F.-K. Guo (郭奉坤), PoS LATTICE 2022 (2023) 232]

## Charmonium in $B \rightarrow (K_S^0 K \pi) K$ ( $c\bar{c}$ )

• Best single measurement of  $\eta_c, \eta_c(2S)$ mass/width



Final state	p-val. [%]	Res.	Mass [MeV]	Width [MeV]	Yield
$K^0_{\rm S}K^+K^-\pi^+$	16.3	$\eta_c$	$2984.84 \pm 0.23 \pm 1.01$	$30.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2$	$17700 \pm 190$
		$J\!/\psi$	$-0.27 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.61$	0.0929 (fixed)	$3386 \pm 70$
$K^0_{ m S}K^+K^+\pi^-$	1.5	$\eta_c$	$2985.19 \pm 0.24 \pm 1.88$	$29.4\pm0.8\pm0.8$	$17210\pm210$
		$J/\psi$	$-0.81 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.67$		$3310 \pm 80$
Average		$\eta_c$	$2985.01 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.89$	$29.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$	
		$J\!/\psi$	$-0.54 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.45$		
$K^0_{\rm S}K^+K^-\pi^+$	46.6	$\eta_c(2S)$	$3636.92 \pm 0.71 \pm 1.50$	$11.70 \pm 2.04 \pm 1.39$	$1960 \pm 80$
		$\chi_{c1}$	$3509.32 \pm 0.70 \pm 0.84$	0.88  (fixed)	$1300 \pm 50$
$K^0_{ m S}K^+K^+\pi^-$	5.3	$\eta_c(2S)$	$3639.28 \pm 0.84 \pm 3.83$	$9.18 \pm 2.67 \pm 1.70$	$1720\pm100$
		$\chi_{c1}$	$3510.35 \pm 0.69 \pm 1.00$		$1460 \pm 70$
Average		$\eta_c(2S)$	$3637.90 \pm 0.54 \pm 1.40$	$10.77 \pm 1.62 \pm 1.08$	
		$\chi_{c1}$	$3509.84 \pm 0.69 \pm 0.64$		

## $B_c^+$ spectroscopy

#### • $B_c$ has a rich spectrum



**GKLRY** \*

State

Decav



# B<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub> mass measurement (b̄c) Six decay modes, with all Run1+2 data, precision improved by a factor of 2



### Bottomonium(like) states





#### New structure $\Upsilon(10753)$

• In the E-dependence of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^$ cross-sections

 $M = 10752.7 \pm 5.9^{+0.7}_{-1.1} \text{ MeV}$  $\Gamma = 35.5^{+17.6}_{-11.3} \stackrel{+3.9}{_{-3.3}} \text{ MeV}$ 



#### Charmed baryon spectroscopy



#### Evidence of $\Lambda_c^+(2910)$ • In $\overline{B}{}^0 \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455)^{++/0}\pi^{\pm}\bar{p}$ decays, 4.2 $\sigma$ , good candidate as $\Lambda_c^+(1/2-, 2P)$ [PRL 130 (2023) 031901] $m = 2913.8 \pm 5.6 \pm 3.8$ MeV Data All Fit



(cud)



[PRL 124 (2020) 222001

 $\Xi_c(2965)^0$ 

 $14.1 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.3$ 

18

## $\mathcal{Z}_{c}^{**0}$ in $B^{+}$ decay

- $B^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^- K^+$ 
  - $\mathcal{E}_c(2923)^0$  and  $\mathcal{E}_c(2939)^0$  confirmed

 $M(\Lambda_c^+ \overline{\Lambda}_c^- K^-)$  [MeV]

- Evidence for a new state  $\Xi_c(2880)^0$ Events / 10 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> Fotal non Ξ<sub>c</sub>(2930) Bkg Phase Space Sideband **Generic MC** Mass (MeV) Width (MeV) Significance State 15 10  $2881.8 \pm 3.1 \pm 8.5 \quad 12.4 \pm 5.2 \pm 5.8$ 3.8*σ*  $\Xi_c(2880)^0$  $\Xi_c(2923)^0$  2924.5 ± 0.4 ± 1.1 4.8 ± 0.9 ± 1.5  $> 10\sigma$  $\Xi_c(2939)^0$  2938.5 ± 0.9 ± 2.3 11.0 ± 1.9 ± 7.5 2.8 2.85 2.9 2.95  $> 10\sigma$  $M_{K\Lambda_c}$  (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) Candidates / (3 MeV) 250 (3 MeV  $\Xi_c(2923)^0$ 140 - data LHCb  $5 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ — total fit 200 120 ----- excited  $\Xi_c^0$ Candidates 100  $\Xi_c(2939)^0$ ····· non-resonant 150 ····· background 80 100 60 40  $\Xi_{c}(2880)^{0}?$ 50  $(2790)^0?$ 20 0 5250 5300 5350 2850 2900 2950 2800

 $M(\Lambda_c^+K^-)$  [MeV]

(csd)

## $\Omega_c^0$ mass

• Best measurement of  $\Omega_c^0$  mass while observing its CS decays  $\Omega^- K^+, \Xi^- \pi^+$ 

Candidates/(1 MeV 🕂 Data LHCb - Total fit  $m(\Omega_c^0) = 2695.28 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.30 \text{ MeV}$  $5.4 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ Signal Background 2695.2 ± 1.7 MeV, PDG 2023 Candidates/(1 MeV) 009 008 008 LHCb  $5.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 2700 2680 2720 2740 2660  $M(\Omega^{-}K^{+})$  [MeV] Candidates/(1 MeV) 000 000 000 000 LHCb  $5.4 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ 200 100

2720

 $M(\Omega^{-}\pi^{+})$  [MeV]

2740

2680

2660

2700

2720

 $M(\Xi^{-}\pi^{+})$  [MeV]

2680

2660

2700

20

2740

(css)

#### Observation of excited $\Omega_c^0$ states

- Five states observed in  $m(\Xi_c^+K^-)$  in 2017, nature unclear
  - Excited  $\Omega_c^0$  (css), molecular, pentaquark (cssq $\bar{q}$ )?



## $\Omega_c^{**0}$ in $\Omega_b^-$ decay

400

(css)

LHCb

 $+ \Xi_c^+ K^-$ 

-Full fit

 $\Omega_b^- \to \Xi_c^+ K^- \pi^-$ [PRD 104 (2021) L091102] Candidates / (12 MeV)



22

• Spin of  $\Omega_c^{**0}$  probed, not conclusive yet – Assignment of (1/2, 1/2, 3/2, 3/2) rejected by  $3.5\sigma$ 



#### Two new charmed hadrons



Difficult to distinguish threshold structure and feed-down

 $m(\Xi_c^+K^-)$  [MeV]

#### Doubly heavy baryons

- Production @ 13 TeV, in LHCb acceptance  $-\sigma(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = \sigma(\Xi_{cc}^{+}) \sim 40 \text{ nb}, \sigma(\Omega_{cc}^{+}) \sim 13 \text{ nb}$   $-\sigma(\Xi_{bc}^{+}) = \sigma(\Xi_{bc}^{0}) \sim 17 \text{ nb}, \sigma(\Omega_{bc}^{0}) \sim 5 \text{ nb}$ 
  - $-M(\mathcal{Z}_{cc}^{+}) \approx M(\mathcal{Z}_{cc}^{++}): 3.5-3.7 \text{ GeV}, M(\Omega_{cc}^{+}), +0.1-0.2 \text{ GeV}$  $-M(\mathcal{Z}_{bc}^{+}) \approx M(\mathcal{Z}_{bc}^{0}): 6.8-7.1 \text{ GeV}, M(\Omega_{bc}^{0}), +0.05-0.1 \text{ GeV}$
- Lifetime

$$-\tau(\Xi_{cc}^{+}) \approx \tau(\Omega_{cc}^{+}) \approx \frac{1}{3}\tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}), \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}): 0.2-1.05 \text{ ps}$$
  
$$-\Xi_{bc}^{+}, \ \Xi_{bc}^{0}, \ \Omega_{bc}^{0}: 0.1-0.5 \text{ ps}$$



Decay $\mathcal{Z}_{c}^{+}\pi^{+}$ [PRL 121 (2018) 162002]

 $\Xi_c^{\prime +} \pi^+_{\text{[JHEP 05 (2022) 038]}}$ 

#### Observation of $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$

- $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  identified as the most promising channel [F.-S. Yu *et al.*, CPC 42 (2018) 051001]
- $E^{\underline{z}_{cc}^{++}} = E_{cc}^{\underline{z}_{cc}^{++}} = \frac{\Lambda_c^{+}}{\underline{z}_{cc}^{++}} = \frac{\kappa^{-} \pi^{+}}{\underline{z}_{cc}^{+}} = \frac{\kappa^{-} \pi^{-}}{\underline{z}_{cc}^{+}} = \frac{\kappa^{-} \pi^{-}}{\underline$
- First observation, in 2016 (>12σ) & Run-I (>7σ)



[PRL 119 (2017) 112001]

### Precision measurement of $m(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$

 UROP, preparing to search for excited states, event-selection re-optimised



 $m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.55 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.30 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ c.f., 3620.6 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.31 MeV/c^2

## Search for $\Omega_{cc}^+$ (ccs)

- $\Omega_{cc}^+ \to \Xi_c^+ K^- \pi^+$ 
  - Hint at 3876 MeV, local (global) significance of  $3.2\sigma (1.8\sigma)$
  - Production relative to  $\mathcal{Z}_{cc}^{++}$





## Search for $\Xi_{bc}^+$ (bcu)

- $\Xi_{bc}^+ \to J/\psi \Xi_c^+$ 

  - Production relative to  $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$





#### **Beauty baryons**



#### Observation of $\mathcal{Z}_{h}(6100)^{-1}$

(bsd)

PRL 126 (2021) 252003]



32

## $E_b(6327)^0 \text{ and } E_b(6333)^0$ (bsu)

• Observed in  $\Lambda_b^0 K^- \pi^+$ , consistent w/ 1D doublets

$$\begin{split} m_{\Xi_b(6327)^0} &= 6327.28 \,{}^{+0.23}_{-0.21}(\text{stat}) \pm 0.12(\text{syst}) \pm 0.24(m_{A_b^0}) \,\,\text{MeV} \\ m_{\Xi_b(6333)^0} &= 6332.69 \,{}^{+0.17}_{-0.18}(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst}) \pm 0.22(m_{A_b^0}) \,\,\text{MeV} \\ \Delta m &\equiv m_{\Xi_b(6333)^0} - m_{\Xi_b(6327)^0} = 5.41 \,{}^{+0.26}_{-0.27}(\text{stat}) \pm 0.12(\text{syst}) \,\,\text{MeV} \\ \Gamma_{\Xi_b(6327)^0} &< 2.20 \,\,(2.56) \,\,\text{MeV} \,\,\text{at} \,\,90\% \,\,(95\%) \,\,\text{CL} \\ \Gamma_{\Xi_b(6333)^0} &< 1.60 \,\,(1.92) \,\,\text{MeV} \,\,\text{at} \,\,90\% \,\,(95\%) \,\,\text{CL} \end{split}$$



## $\Xi_{h}(6087)^{0}$ and $\Xi_{h}(6095)^{0}$

- Two new states observed
  - $-\Xi_{h}(6087)^{0} \rightarrow \Xi_{h}'(\Xi_{h}^{0}\pi^{-})\pi^{+}$  $-\Xi_{h}(6095)^{0} \rightarrow \Xi_{h}^{*-}(\Xi_{h}^{0}\pi^{-})\pi^{+}$



(bsu)

Confirmation

1st Obser

Improvements

Value [MeV]

 $6099.74 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.6 (\Xi_h^{-})$ 

 $6087.24 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.5 \ (\Xi_b^0)$ 

 $6095.36 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.5 (\Xi_b^0)$ 

 $5952.37 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.6 \ (\Xi_{h}^{-})$ 

 $5935.13 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.5 \ (\Xi_h^0)$ 

 $23.60 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02$ 

 $0.94 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.08$ 

 $16.20 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.06$ 

 $2.43 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.10$ 

 $24.32 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03$ 

 $0.50 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.11$ 

 $15.80 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$ 

 $0.87 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.05$ 

 $3.66 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.00$ 

 $0.03 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.03$ 

 $24.27 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01$ 

 $1.43 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.08$ 

 $Q_0(\Xi_b^-(6100))$ 

 $\Gamma$  ( $\Xi_{b}^{-}(6100)$ )

 $m_0(\Xi_h^-(6100))$ 

 $Q_0 (\Xi_b^0(6087))$ 

 $m_0(\Xi_b^0(6087))$ 

 $Q_0(\Xi_b^0(6095))$ 

 $\Gamma$  ( $\Xi_{b}^{0}(6095)$ )

 $m_0(\Xi_b^0(6095))$ 

 $Q_0(\Xi_b^{*0})$ 

 $\Gamma$   $(\Xi_{h}^{*0})$ 

 $m_0(\Xi_{h}^{*0})$ 

 $Q_0(\Xi_{b}^{'-})$ 

 $\Gamma$   $(\Xi_{b}^{\prime-})$ 

 $m_0(\Xi_b^{'-})$ 

 $Q_0(\Xi_b^{*-})$ 

 $(\Xi_{k}^{0}(6087))$ 

#### $\Omega_b^-$ mass

• Mass difference measured using  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^-(\Lambda K^-)$  and  $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Xi^-(\Lambda \pi^-)$ 



(bss)

## Summary

- Many results on the conventional heavy flavor spectroscopy in the past years
  - Meson:  $D_{s0}(2590)^+, B_c^{(*)}(2S)^+$
  - Quarkonium:  $\Upsilon(10753)$
  - Charmed baryon: excited  $\Omega_c/\Xi_c$  states
  - Doubly heavy baryon:  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$
  - Beauty baryon: excited  $\Omega_b/\Xi_b$  states

Much more will come soon, stay tuned

• Your suggestions are always welcome