



电子科技大学
University of Electronic Science and Technology of China

Role of the three-body/left-hand cut on the pole extraction of the $T_{cc}(3875)$

Meng-Lin Du


University of Electronic Science and Technology of China (UESTC)

In collaboration with A. Filin, V. Baru, X.-K. Dong, E. Epelbaum,
F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, J. Nieves, and Q. Wang

Based on Phys. Rev. D **105**, 014024 (2022) and arXiv: 2303.09441

第六届强子谱和强子结构研讨会
中国科学院大学雁栖湖校区

Doubly charmed tetraquark $T_{cc}^+ (cc\bar{u}\bar{d})$



Breit-Wigner fit

LHCb, Nature Phys. 18, (2022) 751

Parameter	Value
N	117 ± 16
δm_{BW}	-273 ± 61 keV
Γ_{BW}	410 ± 165 keV

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \sim 400$ keV.

Unitarized and analytical

LHCb, Nature Commun. 13 (2022), 3351


$$\delta m = m_{T_{cc}^+} - m_{D^{*+}} - m_{D^0}$$

$$\delta m_{\text{pole}} = -360 \pm 40_{-0}^{+4} \text{ keV}$$


$$\Gamma_{\text{pole}} = 48 \pm 2_{-14}^{+0} \text{ keV}$$

$\Rightarrow I = 0$: isoscalar

$\hookrightarrow D^+ D^0 \pi^+, D^+ D^+ \quad \times$



T_{cc}^+ as a hadronic molecule




T_{cc}^+ resides near D^*D thresholds

LHCb, Nature Commun. 13 (2022)

↪ approximate 90% of $D^0 D^0 \pi^+$ events contain a D^{*+} .

$\Rightarrow$



$\Rightarrow$ D^*D isoscalar ($I = 0$) and isovector ($I = 1$)

$$|D^*D, I = 0\rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^{*+}D^0 - D^{*0}D^+),$$

$$|D^*D, I = 1\rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^{*+}D^0 + D^{*0}D^+),$$

$$V_{CT}^{I=0}(D^*D \rightarrow D^*D; J^P = 1^+) = v_0,$$


$$V_{CT}^{I=1}(D^*D \rightarrow D^*D; J^P = 1^+) = v_1.$$

$\Rightarrow$ In the particle basis $\{D^{*+}D^0, D^{*0}D^+\}$

$$V_{CT}^{I=0}[D^*D, 1^+] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & -v_0 \\ -v_0 & v_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Including three-body cuts



- A coupled-channel analysis using an EFT approach




- LO Chiral Lagrangian (g determined from $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} g \text{Tr} \left(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{u}_{ab} H_b H_a^\dagger \right)$$

- Three-body cuts



$D^0 D^0 \pi^+$ mass distribution



$$\mathcal{E} \quad U_\alpha(M, p) = P_\alpha - \sum_\beta \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} V_{\alpha\beta}(M, p, q) G_\beta(M, q) U_\beta(M, q)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \quad G_\alpha(M, p) = \frac{1}{m_\alpha^* + m_\alpha + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_\alpha} - M - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_\alpha(M, p)}$$

$\mathcal{E}$ Fit Schemes:

► Scheme I (No 3-body cut):

↪ no OPE, $\Gamma_c(M, p) = 82.5 \text{ keV}$, $\Gamma_0(M, p) = 53.7 \text{ keV}$

► Scheme II (partial 3-body cut):

↪ no OPE, dynamical widths of D^* (self-energy)



► Scheme III (complete 3-body cut):

↪ OPE + dynamical widths of D^*

$\mathcal{E}$ Only two free parameters: $\mathcal{N}$, v_0

checked for $\Lambda = [0.5 - 1.2] \text{ GeV}$

Fit to the $D^0 D^0 \pi^+$ mass distribution $\Lambda = 0.5$ GeV



Scheme	III	II	I
Pole [keV]	$-356^{+39}_{-38} - i(28 \pm 1)$	$-333^{+41}_{-36} - i(18 \pm 1)$	$-368^{+43}_{-42} - i(37 \pm 0)$

🔍 The width of T_{cc}^+


$$56 \text{ keV} \xrightarrow[\text{OPE}]{\text{remove}} 36 \text{ keV} \xrightarrow[\text{M-dep. of } \Gamma^*]{\text{remove}} 74 \text{ keV}$$

Scheme III $\longrightarrow$ Scheme II $\longrightarrow$ Scheme I

Doubly Charm Tetraquark on the Lattice



Padmanath *et al*, PRL129,032002(2022)

	m_D (MeV)	m_{D^*} (MeV)	M_{av} (MeV)	$a_{l=0}^{(J=1)}$ (fm)	$r_{l=0}^{(J=1)}$ (fm)	$\delta m_{T_{cc}}$ (MeV)	T_{cc}
Lattice ($m_\pi \simeq 280$ MeV, $m_c^{(th)}$)	1927(1)	2049(2)	3103(3)	1.04(29)	$0.96^{(+0.18)}_{(-0.20)}$	$-9.9^{+3.6}_{-7.2}$	Virtual bound st.
Lattice ($m_\pi \simeq 280$ MeV, $m_c^{(l)}$)	1762(1)	1898(2)	2820(3)	0.86(0.22)	$0.92^{(+0.17)}_{(-0.19)}$	$-15.0^{(+4.6)}_{(-9.3)}$	Virtual bound st.
Experiment [2,41]	1864.85(5)	2010.26(5)	3068.6(1)	-7.15(51)	$[-11.9(16.9), 0]$	-0.36(4)	Bound st.




$$t = \frac{E_{cm}}{2} \frac{1}{p \cot \delta - ip},$$

$$p \cot \delta = \frac{1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{2} r_0 p^2,$$



three-body cut vs. left-hand cut



three-body cut

$$E > M_D + M_D + M_\pi$$

left-hand cut

$$\int_{-1}^1 d \cos \theta G_\pi(E, p, p)$$

$$G_\pi^{-1}(E, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \xrightarrow[\text{on shell: } k=k'=p]{\cos \theta = \pm 1}$$

$$E_{D^*}(p^2) - E_D(p^2) - \omega_\pi(4p^2/0) = 0$$


$$G_\pi(E, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \frac{1}{E - E_D(k^2) - E_D(k'^2) - \omega_\pi(q^2)}$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{E - 2M_D - \frac{k^2 + k'^2}{2M_D} - \omega_\pi(k^2 + k'^2 - 2kk' \cos \theta)}$$

$$(p_{\text{lhc}}^{1\pi})^2 \approx \frac{(\Delta M^2 - m_\pi^2)}{4},$$

$$(\tilde{p}_{\text{lhc}}^{1\pi})^2 \approx \frac{(\Delta M^2 - m_\pi^2)}{4} \frac{4M_D^2}{m_\pi^2}$$

three-body cut vs. left-hand cut



$$m_\pi = 280 \text{ MeV}$$

☞ two-body branch point:

$$E = M_D + M_{D^*}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{\text{rhc}2}^2 = 0$$

☞ three-body branch point:

$$E = M_D + M_D + m_\pi$$


$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{p_{\text{rhc}3}}{E_{DD^*}} \right)^2 = +0.019$$

☞ left-hand cut branch point:

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{p_{\text{lh}c}^{1\pi}}{E_{DD^*}} \right)^2 = -0.001$$

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{p}_{\text{lh}c}^{1\pi}}{E_{DD^*}} \right)^2 = -0.190$$

$$m_\pi = 280 \text{ MeV}$$







$$m_\pi \rightarrow \Delta M = M_{D^*} - M_D$$

$$p_{\text{rhc}2}^2 \rightarrow p_{\text{rhc}3}^2$$

$$(p_{\text{lh}c}^{1\pi})^2 \rightarrow (\tilde{p}_{\text{lh}c}^{1\pi})^2$$


Phase shift with the left-hand cut $v_0 = 2c + 2c_2(k^2 + k'^2)$

$$M_D = 1927 \text{ MeV}, M_{D^*} = 2049 \text{ MeV}, m_\pi = 280 \text{ MeV}$$




Pole trajectory ($v_0 = 2c$)


$$M_D = 1927 \text{ MeV}, M_{D^*} = 2049 \text{ MeV}, m_\pi = 280 \text{ MeV}$$




bound state $\longrightarrow$ virtual state $\longrightarrow$ resonances below threshold




The impact of the OPE: Modified coupling g





$$(g_{\text{Modified}}/g_{\text{lat}})^2 = 5$$



$$(g_{\text{Modified}}/g_{\text{lat}})^2 = 1/5$$



$$(g_{\text{Modified}}/g_{\text{lat}})^2 = 1/10$$



Summary

- T_{cc}^+ is the first doubly charmed (heavy quark) meson ($cc\bar{u}\bar{d}$)
- $m_{T_{cc}^+} > m_{DD\pi} \rightarrow$ **three-body cuts**
 $\hookrightarrow$ one-pion exchange + self-energy of D^*
- The width of T_{cc}^+ is sensitive to the details

$$56 \text{ keV} \xrightarrow[\text{OPE}]{\text{remove}} 36 \text{ keV} \xrightarrow[\text{M-dep. of } \Gamma^*]{\text{remove}} 74 \text{ keV}$$

★ Unphysical pion mass on the Lattice

$$M_D = 1927 \text{ MeV}, M_{D^*} = 2049 \text{ MeV}, m_\pi = 280 \text{ MeV}$$

$\hookrightarrow$ the three-body cut above the two-body cut

$\hookrightarrow$ the left-hand cut: $\sqrt{s_{\text{lhc}}} = 3968 \text{ MeV}$

★ ERE valid only in a very limited range

$\hookrightarrow$ An accurate extraction of the pole requires the OPE implemented

★ The similar lhc appear: BB^* , $B\bar{B}^*$, $D\bar{D}^*$, etc.

★ A direct comparison of the energy levels predicted in a finite volume with the lattice results.

Thank you very much for your attention!

Left-hand cuts from one-pion exchange

Propagator in TOPT,

$$\begin{aligned} D^\pi(q) &= \frac{1}{q_\mu q^\mu - m_\pi^2} = \frac{1}{q_0^2 - \omega_\pi^2(q^2)} = \frac{1}{2\omega_\pi(q^2)} \left(\frac{1}{q_0 - \omega_\pi(q^2)} - \frac{1}{q_0 + \omega_\pi(q^2)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\omega_\pi(q^2)} \left[\frac{1}{E - E_D(k^2) - E_D(k'^2) - \omega_\pi(q^2)} + \frac{1}{E - E_{D^*}(k^2) - E_{D^*}(k'^2) - \omega_\pi(q^2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Partial wave decomposition in the Feynman propagator,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} d \cos \theta \frac{1}{u - m_\pi^2} &= \frac{s}{\sqrt{\lambda(s, m_1^2, m_2^2)} \sqrt{\lambda(s, m_3^2, m_4^2)}} \log \left(\frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \right), \\ z &= \frac{2s(m_1^2 + m_3^2 - m_\pi^2) - (s + m_1^2 - m_2^2)(s + m_3^2 - m_4^2)}{\lambda^{1/2}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2) \lambda^{1/2}(s, m_3^2, m_4^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$z = \pm$ gives the branch points of the left-hand cut,

$$\begin{aligned} s^{(\pm)} &= \frac{1}{2m_\pi^2} \left[(m_3^2 - m_1^2)(m_2^2 - m_4^2) - m_\pi^4 + m_\pi^2(m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + m_4^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \pm \lambda^{1/2}(m_\pi^2, m_3^2, m_1^2) \lambda^{1/2}(m_\pi^2, m_2^2, m_4^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

T -matrix

Potential

$$\begin{aligned} V_C^{I=0}(k, k') &= c_0 + c_2(k^2 + k'^2), \\ V_{\text{OPE}}^{I=0}(E, k, k') &= \frac{g^2}{8f_\pi^2} \int_{-1}^1 dz D^\pi(E, k, k', z)(k^2 + k'^2 - 2kk'z), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$T(E, k, k') = V(E, k, k') - \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} V(E, k, q) G(E, q) T(E, q, k'),$$

The DD^* propagator is expressed as

$$G(E, q) = \left[M_{D^*} + M_D + \frac{q^2}{2\mu} - E - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma(E, q) \right]^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma(E, q) = \frac{g^2 M_D}{8\pi f_\pi^2 M_{D^*}} \left[\Sigma(s) - \Sigma_0(s) \theta(M_D + m_\pi - M_{D^*}) \right],$$

with $s = [E - M_D - q^2/(2\mu)]^2$,

$$\Sigma(s) = \left[\frac{\sqrt{\lambda(s, M_D^2, m_\pi^2)}}{2\sqrt{s}} \right]^3, \quad (5)$$

and $\Sigma_0(s) = \Sigma(M_{D^*}^2) + 2M_{D^*}(E - M_{D^*} - M_D - \frac{q^2}{2\mu})\Sigma'(M_{D^*}^2)$.

Chiral extrapolation of f_π and g

Upto the one-loop chiral perturbation theory,

$$f_\pi(\xi) = f_\pi^{\text{ph}} \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{f_0}{f_\pi^{\text{ph}}} \right) (\xi^2 - 1) - \frac{(m_\pi^{\text{ph}})^2}{8\pi^2 f_0^2} \xi^2 \log \xi \right],$$

where $\xi = m_\pi/m_\pi^{\text{ph}}$, $f_0 \equiv f_\pi(m_\pi = 0) = 85$ MeV and $f_\pi^{\text{ph}} = 92.1$ MeV.

$$g(\xi) = g^{\text{ph}} [1 + C_1(\xi^2 - 1) + C_2 \xi^2 \log \xi], \quad g^{\text{ph}} = 0.57. \quad (6)$$

$$C_1 = 1 - \left[1 - \frac{1 + 2g_0^2}{8\pi^2 f_0^2} (m_\pi^{\text{ph}})^2 \log \frac{m_\pi^{\text{ph}}}{\mu_{\text{lat}}} + \alpha_{\text{lat}} (m_\pi^{\text{ph}})^2 \right]^{-1},$$
$$C_2 = -\frac{1 + 2g_0^2}{8\pi^2 f_0^2} (m_\pi^{\text{ph}})^2 (1 - C_1),$$

where $g_0 = 0.46$, $\alpha_{\text{lat}} = -0.16 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, $\mu_{\text{lat}} = 1 \text{ GeV}$.

Specifically, for $m_\pi = 280$ MeV this gives $g(m_\pi = 280 \text{ MeV}) = 0.65$.